

Revisiting disc–jet coupling in black hole X-ray binaries: on the nature of disc dynamics and jet velocity

Sreetama Das Choudhury,^{1★} Bhuvana G. R.,² Santabrata Das^{1★} and Anuj Nandi^{3★}

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India

²Institute for Advanced Study, Gifu University, 1-1 Yanagido, Gifu 501-1193, Japan

³Space Astronomy Group, ISITE Campus, U. R. Rao Satellite Center, Outer Ring Road, Marathahalli, Bengaluru 560037, India

Accepted 2025 July 3. Received 2025 June 12; in original form 2024 December 9

ABSTRACT

We perform a comprehensive wide-band (3–100 keV) spectro-temporal analysis of 13 outbursting black hole X-ray binaries (BH-XRBs), utilizing data (quasi)simultaneous with radio observations, to unravel the complex disc–jet connection. *RXTE* observations are analysed for XTE J1859 + 226, GX 339 – 4 (2002, 2006, and 2010 outbursts), 4U 1543 – 47, H1743 – 322 (2003 and 2009 outbursts), XTE J1550 – 564, XTE J1752 – 223, XTE J1650 – 500, Swift J1753.5 – 0127, XTE J1748 – 288, and GRO J1655 – 40. For Swift J1727.8 – 1613 and MAXI J1535 – 571, we utilize *HXMT* data, while both *AstroSat* and *HXMT* observations are analysed for Swift J1658.2 – 4242. Type-C quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) observed in harder states (low hard state, hard intermediate state; $F_{nth} \geq 0.4$) exhibit positive lag for low-inclination sources ($i < 50^\circ$), whereas it generally exhibits negative lag for high-inclination sources ($i > 60^\circ$), except XTE J1550 – 564, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, H1743 – 322 (2003 outburst), and GRO J1655 – 40. Notably, type-A QPOs exhibit negative lags (~ 1 –10 ms) regardless of source inclination, while type-B QPOs show positive lags in low-inclination sources, and both positive and negative lags (~ 1 –15 ms) in high-inclination sources, typically occurring in soft intermediate state (SIMS) ($F_{nth} \lesssim 0.45$). Systematic appearance of type-A QPOs preceding radio flares in several sources suggests that type-A QPOs indicate telltale signs of jet ejection, while type-B QPOs are closely linked with radio flares (i.e. transient jets). Present findings suggest the corona evolves from a radially extended to a vertically elongated structure during the type-C to type-B transition via type-A QPOs, with type-B QPOs linked to radially compact or vertically extended coronal geometries, resembling jet ejection. The strong radio–X-ray luminosity correlation seems to provide compelling evidence of accretion-powered jets. Finally, we find that jets in SIMS are moderately relativistic in nature with velocities $\gtrsim 0.3$ – $0.8 c$ in BH-XRBs under consideration.

Key words: accretion, accretion disc – black hole physics – stars: individual – stars: jets – X-rays: binaries.

1 INTRODUCTION

Galactic black hole X-ray binaries (BH-XRBs) are considered as the ideal cosmic laboratories to understand the accretion–ejections mechanism (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1994; Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004; Fender, Homan & Belloni 2009; Belloni, Motta & Muñoz-Darias 2011; Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Radhika & Nandi 2014; Radhika et al. 2016; Bright et al. 2020; Espinasse et al. 2020; Nandi et al. 2024, and references therein). Indeed, the imprint of disc–jet connections are encoded in the spectro-temporal features of the outbursting BH-XRBs. During an outbursting phase, BH-XRBs exhibit outflow activities in the form of jets, which, after being ejected, expands adiabatically and emits synchrotron radiations in infrared and radio. A correlation between X-ray and radio light curves is observed in many BH-XRBs (Mirabel et al. 1998), where the radio emission is observed after a delay (Mirabel et al. 1998; Corbel

et al. 2005; Russell et al. 2019; Homan et al. 2020; Espinasse et al. 2020; Monageng et al. 2021) with respect to X-ray emission. Hence, these cosmic entities offer an excellent opportunity for exploring the accretion–ejection processes in strong gravity environment around black holes.

BH-XRBs are categorized either as persistent (Chen, Shrader & Livio 1997; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Tetarenko et al. 2016; Corral-Santana et al. 2016) or transient (Tanaka & Shibazaki 1996; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Sreehari et al. 2018) based on their overall characteristics and therefore these sources are expected to undergo evolution driven by their accretion dynamics. It is worth mentioning that the evolution of accretion discs of outbursting BH-XRBs are studied through the hardness intensity diagram (HID), also referred as Q-diagram (Maccarone & Coppi 2003; Belloni 2004; Belloni et al. 2005; Homan & Belloni 2005; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Motta, Belloni & Homan 2009; Nandi et al. 2012, 2024; Aneesh, Mandal & Sreehari 2019; Sreehari & Nandi 2021), which typically traces out all four spectral states, namely low hard state (LHS), hard intermediate state (HIMS), soft intermediate state (SIMS), and high soft state (HSS) (Homan et al. 2001; Fender et al.

* E-mail: sbdas@iitg.ac.in (SD); d.sreetama@iitg.ac.in (SC); anuj@urisc.gov.in (AN)

2004; Belloni et al. 2005; Nandi et al. 2012, 2018, 2024; Radhika & Nandi 2014; Sreehari et al. 2018; Baby et al. 2020). Interestingly, different spectral states are associated with the various types of quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs), which are generally classified into three categories, such as type-C, type-B, and type-A (Wijnands, Homan & van der Klis 1999; Homan et al. 2001; Remillard et al. 2002; Homan & Belloni 2005; Ingram, Done & Fragile 2009; Nandi et al. 2012; Radhika et al. 2018, and references therein). Type-C QPOs are characterized by frequencies $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 0.1\text{--}30$ Hz, quality factor $Q \sim 10$, and rms amplitudes $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 5\text{--}20$, and are observed during LHS, HIMS, and sometimes in SIMS (Casella et al. 2004). Their power density spectra (PDS) typically show flat-top noise at low frequencies, followed by red noise at higher frequencies, often accompanied by sub-harmonics and harmonics. Type-B QPOs are observed with $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 1\text{--}7$ Hz (see also Gao et al. 2014), $Q \sim 3\text{--}6$, and $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 3\text{--}5$. Their PDS is dominated by red noise and may occasionally show harmonics features. On contrary, type-A QPOs are weak and broad with $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 5\text{--}8$ Hz, $Q \leq 3$, and $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \leq 4$. Their PDS is dominated by red noise without any detection of harmonics. Type-A and Type-B QPOs are typically observed during the SIMS. What is more is that Casella et al. (2004) and Casella, Belloni & Stella (2005) introduced additional QPO classifications, such as type-C* and type-B cathedral QPOs, which are later reported by Rodriguez & Varnière (2011) and Radhika & Nandi (2014).

Usually, LHS and HIMS exhibit type-C QPOs along with compact radio emission characterized by the flat or inverted spectrum. In these spectral states, the X-ray energy spectra are mostly dominated by the Comptonized high-energy radiations over the thermal disc emission, which are often best described by the power-law distribution (Chakrabarti & Titarchuk 1995; Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996; Mandal & Chakrabarti 2005; Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007; Motta et al. 2009; Iyer, Nandi & Mandal 2015; Bhuvana et al. 2023; Aneesha et al. 2024; Banerjee et al. 2024, and references therein). In the outbursting evolutionary track, HIMS is followed by the SIMS, which usually remains softer compared to the HIMS with a relatively stronger dominance of thermal emission. Quite often, SIMS exhibits type-A and type-B QPOs (Belloni et al. 2005; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Fender et al. 2009; Motta, Muñoz-Darias & Belloni 2010; Radhika & Nandi 2014; Gao et al. 2014; Radhika et al. 2016; Homan et al. 2020; Harikrishna & Sriram 2022; Liu et al. 2022; Peirano et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2023; Ma et al. 2024), and optically thin transient relativistic radio jets are occasionally observed (Fender et al. 2009; McClintock et al. 2009; Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Carotenuto et al. 2021; Wood et al. 2021, 2024). Finally, the source is evolved to HSS with strong thermal emission and relatively weak signature of Comptonized emission, where the jet activity is suppressed completely and QPO signature disappears in the power spectra (Motta et al. 2010; Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Radhika & Nandi 2014; Radhika et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2023).

Generally, the ejection of jets occurs during the transition from the HIMS to SIMS, as denoted by the jet line in HID (Fender et al. 2004). Moreover, type-B or type-A QPOs are observed concurrent with radio flares, suggesting the occurrence of jet ejection (Fender et al. 2004, 2009; Varnière, Tagger & Rodriguez 2012; Radhika et al. 2016; Homan et al. 2020; Kylafis, Reig & Papadakis 2020; Sriram, Harikrishna & Choi 2021; Harikrishna & Sriram 2022; Zhang et al. 2023). Earlier work of Blandford & Znajek (1977) suggests that the rotational energy of the spinning BH can be extracted and subsequently utilized to power the jets. Indeed, this appealing mechanism indicates that spin of the black hole plays pivotal role in jet activities.

Meanwhile, a positive correlation between black hole spin and jet luminosity is observed in several BH–XRBs (Steiner, McClintock & Reid 2012; Narayan & McClintock 2013; McClintock, Narayan & Steiner 2014). However, conflicting claims are reported indicating weak correlation between source spin and the observed jet power (Fender, Gallo & Russell 2010; Russell, Gallo & Fender 2013; Aktar, Das & Nandi 2015; Aktar et al. 2017). Interestingly, in spite of having a plethora of X-ray and radio observations, the origin as well as the intrinsic mechanisms responsible for powering the jets still remain inconclusive.

Outbursting BH–XRBs exhibit two types of radio jets, namely compact/steady and transient (Fender et al. 2004). The quiescent state and LHS are characterized by low bulk velocity jets (Lorentz factor $\Gamma \leq 2$) (Fender et al. 1999; Miller-Jones et al. 2012). As X-ray luminosity increases, jet power also increases, showing a non-linear correlation $L_{\text{radio}} \propto L_X^{0.6\text{--}0.7}$ during LHS (Gallo, Fender & Pooley 2003; Corbel et al. 2003, 2013; Kylafis, Reig & Tsouros 2023). With increasing X-ray luminosity, jets in outbursting sources gradually become unstable followed by intense radio emissions indicating the ejection of a faster moving radio lobe (Fender et al. 2009, and references therein). When colliding with previously ejected jet material, internal shocks are generated, resulting in the production of bright optically thin relativistic jets (Miller-Jones et al. 2012). Besides this, an alternative scenario is also suggested, where the excess thermal gradient force across the centrifugally supported shocks diverts a part of the inflowing matter in the form of bipolar jets (Chakrabarti 1999; Das et al. 2001; Kumar & Chattopadhyay 2013; Aktar et al. 2015, 2017; Das et al. 2022; Joshi, Debnath & Chattopadhyay 2022). These jets are collimated and accelerated by the radiations emanated from the disc (Chattopadhyay 2005). This conjecture is supported by the reduction in hard flux component during SIMS, suggesting the evacuation of corona in launching the bipolar jets (Vadawale, Rao & Chakrabarti 2001; Nandi et al. 2001; Radhika & Nandi 2014; Nandi et al. 2018). Indeed, optically thick jets in hard states appear continuous, steady, and mildly relativistic ($\beta \sim 0.1$) (Dhawan, Mirabel & Rodríguez 2000), while optically thin jets in SIMS resolve into plasmoids moving at relativistic speeds ($\beta \sim 0.9$) (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1999). In BH–XRBs, the jet velocity is commonly determined by analysing resolved images that depict the proper motion of ejected material (Mirabel & Rodríguez 1994; Corbel et al. 2005; Miller-Jones et al. 2012). It is worth mentioning that assessing the relativistic nature of transient jets remains challenging for numerous BH–XRBs due to the non-availability of resolved images of radio ejecta (Fender et al. 2004).

Meanwhile, numerous efforts were made to understand the dynamics of the corona as well as the origin of QPOs through the time/phase lag studies (Reig et al. 2000; Belloni et al. 2005; Dutta & Chakrabarti 2016; van den Eijnden et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2023). In general, it is argued that positive (hard) lag occurs due to inverse Comptonization of soft photons in the corona (Payne 1980; Miyamoto et al. 1988; Reig et al. 2000; Dutta & Chakrabarti 2016; Méndez et al. 2022), whereas negative (soft) lag is caused due to Compton down-scattering (Reig et al. 2000), reverberation (Uttley et al. 2014; Karpouzas et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2023), and gravitational bending (Chatterjee, Chakrabarti & Ghosh 2017). Meanwhile, Gao et al. (2014) argued that the type-B QPO observed in GX 339–4 is associated with the inverse-Comptonization of soft photons in the corona. Similarly, Chatterjee et al. (2020) reported an increase in positive time lag with QPO frequency during the rising phase of the outburst, suggesting that outflows may possibly contribute to positive lags. Muñoz-Darias et al. (2010) observed unusually large time lags (~ 0.1 s), which

appear to be resulted from inverse-Comptonization occurring in the outflows.

Notably, numerous efforts were put forward to examine the origin of type-B QPOs in the realm of vertically extended corona. Belloni et al. (2020) suggested a jet-like corona in MAXI J1348–630 based on observed positive lags, whereas Homan et al. (2020) reported a ~ 2.5 h delay between type-B QPOs and jet ejection. Stevens & Uttley (2016) and Kylafis et al. (2020) proposed the precessing jet base as the hard X-ray source, while Méndez et al. (2022) argued for vertically elongated corona near radio flares in GRS 1915+105. In contrast, Zhang et al. (2023) attributed type-B QPOs involving the precession of an elongated corona, where hard photons fall back to the disc and are reprocessed resulting in negative lags. Furthermore, Liu et al. (2022) argued that type-B QPOs are linked to weaker jets than type-C QPOs.

Previous studies have examined the connections between the type of QPOs, source inclination angles, and time lags for selected BH-XRBs (Dutta & Chakrabarti 2016; den Ejnden et al. 2017). Incidentally, the role of source inclination in understanding the lag behaviour associated with type-A QPOs remains inconclusive. In addition, the connection between the lag characteristics of different QPO types and the jet ejection also remains elusive. Moreover, there has been lack of attempts to estimate jet velocities by correlating radio and X-ray properties during SIMS of BH-XRBs.

Keeping the above considerations in mind, we carry out an in-depth spectro-temporal analysis of X-ray data along with the available radio observations to understand the underlying physical processes active in BH-XRBs. Since jets are believed to originate from the inner accretion disc (Miller-Jones et al. 2012; Méndez et al. 2022; Kylafis et al. 2020), investigating the evolution of the corona characteristics during state transitions provides insight into the disc–jet connection. In this work, we explore the dynamics of the inner accretion disc through wide-band (3 – 100 keV) spectral and timing analyses of 13 BH-XRBs, namely XTE J1859 + 226, GX 339 – 4 (2002, 2006, and 2010 outbursts), 4U 1543 – 47, H1743 – 322 (2003 and 2009 outbursts), XTE J1550 – 564, XTE J1752 – 223, XTE J1650 – 500, Swift J1753.5 – 0127, XTE J1748 – 288, GRO J1655 – 40, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, MAXI J1535 – 571, and Swift J1658.2 – 4242, using *RXTE*, *HXMT*, and *AstroSat* observations. Source selection is done based on the good coverage in radio and X-ray observations, and the wide range of inclination angles ($\sim 35^\circ$ to $\sim 75^\circ$). With this, we investigate the spectro-temporal properties of these sources and using both X-ray and radio observations, we estimate the jet velocities during radio flares. Finally, we attempt to explore the spectro-temporal correlations and examine the role of accretion dynamics in powering jets.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we briefly describe the data reduction procedure both in X-rays and radio. In Section 3, we discuss the modelling of PDS, time lag calculations, modelling the energy spectra, and spin measurement using continuum fitting method. We present our results in Section 4. In Section 5, we estimate the source spin and jet velocity during the radio flares. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results in Section 6 and conclude.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

In this work, we examine the inherent disc–jet coupling in several Galactic outbursting BH-XRBs observed with *RXTE*,¹ *HXMT*,² and

AstroSat Agrawal (2017). In order to facilitate this, we make use of (quasi-)simultaneous radio observations available as well. We choose 16 outbursts from 13 such BH-XRBs, namely XTE J1859 + 226, H1743 – 322 (2003 and 2009 outbursts), GX 339 – 4 (2002, 2007, and 2010 outburst), 4U 1543 – 47, XTE J1752 – 223, XTE J1650 – 500, Swift J1753.5 – 0127, XTE J1550 – 564, XTE J1748 – 288, GRO J1655 – 40, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, MAXI J1535 – 571, Swift J1658.2 – 4242, respectively, which have adequate coverage in X-ray as well as radio. Among them, XTE J1859+226, XTE J1752–223, and Swift J1727.8–1613 showed multiple X-ray and radio peaks in a single outburst during 1999, 2009, and 2023, respectively, whereas remaining sources under considerations exhibited single(multiple) outburst(s) till date.

2.1 X-ray data

We use 13 *RXTE* and three *HXMT* and one *AstroSat* archival observations of outbursting BH-XRBs. For XTE J1859+226, the outburst was observed in 1999 – 2000 (Smith 1999), which was continued for 163 d (MJD 51 460 to MJD 51 626). GX 339–4 exhibited several outbursts. In this study, we focus on the 2002 – 2003 outburst of GX 339–4 (Smith et al. 2002), which lasted for 477 d, using data from MJD 52288.6 to MJD 52765.6 for our analysis. We also consider the 2007 outburst (Soldi et al. 2007), with data spanning from MJD 54102.31 to MJD 54256.58, consisting of 154 d and the 2010 outburst (Yamaoka et al. 2010), covering MJD 55208.48 to MJD 55260.07, comprising of 51 d. For 2002 outburst of 4U 1543–47 (Miller & Remillard 2002), we analyse 73 d (MJD 52442.8 to MJD 52515.5) of pointed observations. For H1743–322, the 2009 outburst (Kalemci et al. 2005; Miller-Jones et al. 2009) persisted for 73 d, spanning from MJD 54980.4 to MJD 55053.8. In addition, we consider the 2003 outburst of H1743–322 (Remillard 2003), specifically selecting observations that are pertinent to time lag analysis and jet velocity estimations. We consider 1998 outburst of XTE J1550–564 (Rutledge, Fox & Smith 1998) and analyse data for 254 d (MJD 51 065 to MJD 51 318). For 2009 outburst of XTE J1752–223 Markwardt et al. (2009), we analyse observations from MJD 55 130 to MJD 55 414, with a duration of 284 d. In case of XTE J1650–500, we consider the 2002 outburst (Tomsick et al. 2002) from MJD 52 158 to MJD 52 416 for a duration of 258 d. For Swift J1753.5–0127, we analyse 2005 outburst (Morgan et al. 2005) that lasts for 150 d from MJD 53 553 to MJD 53 703. For Swift J1727.8–1613, we consider 42 d of observations (MJD 60 181 to 60 222) from 2023 outburst (Negoro et al. 2023). For XTE J1748–288, we focus on the 1998 outburst (Smith, Levine & Wood 1998), considering observations spanning from MJD 50968.83 to MJD 51082.14. In the case of GRO J1655–40, we examine the 2005 outburst (Markwardt & Swank 2005), utilizing data recorded between MJD 53421.36 and MJD 53685.20, with 263 d of observations. For MAXI J1535–571, we analyse the 2017 outburst (Negoro et al. 2017), covering the period from MJD 58002.45 to MJD 58177.83, with 18 pointed observations. Finally, for Swift J1658.2–4242, we consider observations from its 2018 outburst (Grebenev et al. 2018), spanning MJD 58169.98 to MJD 58206.77 and consisting of seven pointed observations by *AstroSat* and 25 pointed observations by *Insight/HXMT*.

We use Binned mode, Event mode, and Good Xenon data of *RXTE* for our timing analysis. In order to extract the light curves in the energy range 2 – 15 and 15 – 30 keV, we utilize standard *FTOOLS* tasks, namely *saextract* for Binned mode data and *seextract* for Event mode and Good Xenon data (see Nandi et al. 2012; Radhika & Nandi 2014, and references therein). Subsequently, we use *lcmath*

¹<https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/W3Browse/w3browse.pl>

²<https://archive.hxmt.cn/proposal>

task to merge two light curves to obtain the resultant light curve in 2 – 30 keV energy range in obtaining the PDS.

For wide-band (3 – 100 keV) spectral modelling, we utilize Standard2 data products from PCA and extract the source spectrum, background spectrum, and response file using standard FTOOLS tasks, such as `seextract`, `pcabackest`, and `pcarsp`, respectively. In order to generate the background spectrum for PCA data, we use the bright background model³ and SAA passage history from SAA webpage.⁴ In case of HEXTE data, we separate the source and background raw FITS file from Science data product using `hxtback` task for all sources. We extract the source and background spectra, perform the deadtime correction, and finally generate the response file using `seextract`, `hxtdead`, and `hxtresp` tasks, respectively.

The *Hard X-ray Modulation Telescope* also known as *Insight-HXMT* (Zhang et al. 2014) comprises of three instruments: low-energy X-ray telescope (LE: 1 – 15 keV; Chen et al. 2020), medium-energy X-ray telescope (ME: 5 – 30 keV; Cao et al. 2020), and high-energy X-ray telescope (HE: 20 – 250 keV Liu et al. 2020). We utilize data from all three instruments for our spectro-temporal analysis of Swift J1727.8–1613, MAXI J1535 – 571, and Swift J1658.2 – 4242. However, data beyond MJD 60222 for Swift J1727.8 – 1613 are not available due to Sun constrain (Yu et al. 2024). We use the `hpipeline` under *Insight-HXMT* Data Analysis Software (`HXMTDAS`) version v2.06 to reduce the data. Data extraction is done using the criteria recommended by the *Insight-HXMT* team, i.e. pointing offset $\leq 0.04^\circ$, Earth elevation angle $\geq 10^\circ$, geomagnetic rigidity cut-off value ≥ 8 GV, and finally, 300 s before and after SAA. Similar to data from *RXTE*, the light curve is generated with time resolution 8 ms from energy range 2 – 30 keV.

AstroSat, India's first dedicated multiwavelength space observatory launched in 2015, is equipped with a suite of X-ray instruments, including the Soft X-ray Telescope (*SXT*) (Singh et al. 2017), the Large Area X-ray Proportional Counter (*LAXPC*) (Yadav et al. 2016; Antia et al. 2017), and the Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager (*CZTI*) (Yadav et al. 2016). For this study, we employed the *LAXPC10* and *LAXPC20* detectors for timing analysis of Swift J1658.2–4242, and used only *LAXPC20* for spectral analysis. The *LAXPC* instrument is sensitive to X-rays in the 3 – 80 keV energy range (Yadav et al. 2016; Agrawal et al. 2017; Antia et al. 2017). Data reduction from level-1 to level-2 was carried out using `LAXPCSOFTV3.4.4`⁵, the latest software package released on 2023 June 21 (Antia et al. 2017).

2.2 Radio data

We compile the radio flux data for BH-XRBs from the existing literature. In particular, observations of the source XTE J1859+226 across a range of radio frequencies are obtained from Brocksopp et al. (2002), and due to dense coverage within the 1.4 – 1.66 GHz band, we focus solely on radio fluxes within this frequency range for our analysis. The radio flux for XTE J1550–564 is obtained from Hannikainen et al. (2001) at 8.6 GHz. Radio flux data for 4U 1543–47 are obtained from Park et al. (2004) and Kalemci et al. (2005). Radio flux values from the 2009 outburst of H1743–322 are extracted from Miller-Jones et al. (2012). We use radio flux for XTE J1752–223 from Brocksopp et al. (2013). For XTE J1650–500, radio flux values are taken from Corbel et al. (2004). For GX

339–4, we utilize radio fluxes from its 2002, 2007, and 2010 outbursts as reported by Gallo et al. (2003), Corbel et al. (2013), and Islam & Zdziarski (2018). Radio observations of XTE J1748–288 are taken from Brocksopp et al. (2007), and for GRO J1655–40, we adopt the flux values from Shaposhnikov et al. (2007). MAXI J1535–571 and Swift J1658.2–4242 radio fluxes are obtained from Russell et al. (2019) and Bogensberger et al. (2020), respectively. Radio flux for Swift J1753.5–0127 is obtained from Soleri et al. (2010) and lastly, the radio observations in Swift J1727.8–1613 are taken from Peters et al. (2023). Moreover, flux values for the 2003 outburst of H1743–322 are explicitly used for jet velocity calculation (McClintock et al. 2009). Note that to estimate the jet velocity, we normalize the radio flux values for 5 GHz as

$$F(5 \text{ GHz}) = F(\nu) \times \left(\frac{5 \text{ GHz}}{\nu} \right)^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where α denotes the spectral index and ν is the frequency of observation.

3 ANALYSIS AND MODELLING

We revisit the archival data of 13 outbursting BH-XRBs and carry out the spectro-temporal analysis of these sources to extract key timing and spectral parameters. This comprehensive approach enables us to explore the underlying disc-jet connection, likely driven by the complex accretion processes occurring around these sources.

3.1 Timing analysis

We generate the PDS from 2 – 30 keV light curve in rms space using `powspec` task and apply `norm = -2` to remove the Poisson noise. The QPO feature is modelled in `XSPEC` environment (v12.14.0) using multiple `Lorentzian` and `powerlaw` model components. With this, we estimate total rms in the frequency range 0.01 – 62.5 Hz and QPO rms as $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% = \sqrt{P \times \Delta\nu} \times 100$, where P denotes the power in the unit of $\text{rms}^2 \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ and $\Delta\nu$ is the width of the frequency bin (Riemann 2004; Belloni & Hasinger 1990; Radhika et al. 2018; Bhuvana et al. 2021).

Time lag analysis is performed by generating light curves in the energy ranges 2 – 6 and 6 – 15 keV, with the 2 – 6 keV light curve serving as the reference energy band. The simultaneous photon counts of the light curves in these two energy bands at time t_k are denoted as $x_a(k)$ and $x_b(k)$. Their Fourier transforms are given by van der Klis (1988) and Majumder, Dutta & Nandi (2024),

$$X_a(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_a(k) \exp(2\pi i \nu_j t_k), \quad (2)$$

$$X_b(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_b(k) \exp(2\pi i \nu_j t_k), \quad (3)$$

where $x_a(k) \equiv x_a(t_k)$ and $X_a(j) \equiv X_a(\nu_j)$. Here, k and j represent the time and frequency bins, such that $k \in [0, N-1]$ and $j \in [-N/2, N/2-1]$. In addition, N indicates the total number of bins of the time series having time length T and time-step $\delta t = T/N$. Thus, $t_k = kT/N$ refers time in k_{th} bin and $\nu_j = j/T$ denotes frequency at j_{th} bin of the equidistant time and frequency series data.

Cross-spectrum between these two Fourier transforms is given as $C(j) = X_a^*(j)X_b(j)$ (Nowak et al. 1999), where $X_a^*(j)$ is the Fourier transform of 2 – 6 keV light curve and $X_b(j)$ is the Fourier transform of 6 – 15 keV light curve at frequency ν_j . The phase lag is given

³https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xte/pca.bkg_epoch.html

⁴<https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xte/pca.history.html>

⁵https://www.tifr.res.in/~AstroSat_LAXPC/LAXPCSoft.html

by the argument/position angle of $C(j)$ in the complex plane and is calculated as

$$\phi = \arg[C(j)]. \quad (4)$$

Finally, the time lag at the QPO frequency ν_j is estimated as

$$\delta t(j) = \frac{C(j)}{2\pi\nu_j}. \quad (5)$$

The time lag is computed as the average over the frequency range $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \pm \text{FWHM}$ (Reig et al. 2000), where FWHM denotes the full width at half-maximum of the QPO. To calculate the time lag energy spectrum, the 2 – 6 keV band is selected as the reference, with 6 – 10, 10 – 15, 16 – 20, 20 – 24, and 24 – 30 keV as the subject bands. The time lag is calculated using the *Stingray* v.1.1.2 module (Huppenkothen et al. 2019) in the *astropy* package of Python.

3.2 Spectral analysis

We perform wide-band (3 – 100 keV) spectral modelling using data from the PCA (3 – 40 keV) and HEXTE (20 – 100 keV) instruments of the *RXTE* mission. We use data from PCU-2/PCA and Cluster-A/HEXTE for all observations of all sources under considerations except for 2009 outburst of H1743–322, where Cluster-B data are analysed. For *Insight/HXMT* observations, we use LE (2 – 10 keV), ME (8 – 35 keV), and HE (28 – 120 keV) for broad-band spectral analysis. In case of *AstroSat*, we use *LAXPC20* (3 – 60 keV) for spectral analysis. Throughout the analysis, 1 percent systematic error is considered for spectral modelling using *XSPEC* (version 12.14.1d).

In order to carry out the spectral modelling, we first adopt a model combination $\text{tbabs} \times (\text{diskbb} + \text{cutoffpl}) \times \text{constant}$. The model component tbabs (Wilms, Allen & McCray 2000) is used to explain the interstellar absorption. The thermal and non-thermal emissions of the accretion disc are taken care by the model components diskbb (Makishima et al. 1986) and cutoffpl . We use this model combination for the spectral fitting of one BH-XRB (as an example XTE J1859+226) that yields reasonable fit with $\chi^2_{\text{red}} \sim 0.7 - 1.4$ for most of the observations. We obtain $E_{\text{cut}} \sim 50 - 90$ keV in LHS and HIMS. In SIMS and HSS, E_{cut} could not be directly constrained, and hence, we perform spectral modelling by fixing E_{cut} at discrete values in 5 keV intervals. The optimal E_{cut} is identified as the value that yielded the least variations in χ^2_{red} . Based on this analysis, E_{cut} is fixed in the range $\sim 50 - 60$ keV for SIMS and ~ 10 keV for HSS. However, cutoffpl being a phenomenological model failed to quantify certain physical parameters, such as scattering fraction and electron temperature.

Hence, we adopt the convolution model thcomp (Zdziarski et al. 2020) that successfully explains the spectral characteristics at high energies for BH-XRBs under considerations. Accordingly, we use a model combination $\text{tbabs} \times (\text{thcomp} \otimes \text{diskbb}) \times \text{constant}$. To account for the reflection and absorption features, we use *Gaussian* in the energy range 6 – 7 keV, and *smedge* (Ebisawa et al. 1994) based on its usefulness in previous studies (Sobczak et al. 1999; Tomsick & Kaaret 2000; Yamaoka et al. 2012; Aneesha et al. 2019; Dong et al. 2020). Note that *Gaussian* model suffices for all BH-XRBs except XTE J1859+226, where both *Gaussian* and *smedge* are required to obtain the best fit (Radhika & Nandi 2014; Nandi et al. 2018). The spectral modelling yields spectral index Γ in the range 1.6 – 1.8 during LHS for all sources. Notably, we are unable to constrain electron temperature kT_e for number of observations during SIMS, and hence, we freeze kT_e to obtain the best fit. In doing so, we perform

multiple spectral fits by fixing kT_e at discrete intervals of 5 keV as before, and select the value of kT_e with minimal change in χ^2_{red} . The cov_frac is computed respectively as ~ 0.8 in LHS, $\sim 0.4 - 0.5$ in SIMS and $\lesssim 0.02$ in HSS for all sources under considerations. However, for Swift J1727.8–1613, we adopt a model combination with an additional cut-off power-law component to compensate for high energy excess following Yang et al. (2024) as $\text{tbabs} \times (\text{thcomp} \otimes \text{diskbb} + \text{ga} + \text{cutoffpl}) \times \text{constant}$. We calculate the bolometric luminosity, and estimate the normalized Comptonized flux (F_{nth} , ratio between the Comptonized flux and the total flux) and normalized disc flux (ratio between the disc flux and the total flux) for the energy range of 1 – 100 keV.

We put efforts to estimate the spin of nine black hole sources using continuum fitting. We employ relativistic accretion disc model kerrbb2 (McClintock et al. 2006) and calculate the black hole spin considering the hardening factor lies in the range 1.4 – 2 (Davis et al. 2005; Davis & El-Abd 2019). With this, we adopt a model combination as $\text{tbabs} \times (\text{simpl} \otimes \text{kerrbb2}) \times \text{constant}$, where *simpl* is used for fitting the Comptonization component of the energy spectrum (Steiner et al. 2009). Observations in the HSS are considered for modelling if the scattering fraction, f_{scat} , is less than or equal to 25 percent (Steiner et al. 2011). However, this modelling does not apply to Swift J1753.5–0127, Swift J1727.8–1613, MAXI J1535 – 571, and Swift J1658.2 – 4242 as the conditions required were not satisfied in any of their observations.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Outburst profile and HID

In Fig. 1, we present the outburst profiles of 13 BH-XRBs under consideration, where bolometric (1 – 100 keV) X-ray fluxes are plotted as function of day (in MJD) starting from the beginning of the outburst (T_0). The open symbols connected with dotted lines denote the outburst profile for GX 339 – 4 (red, cyan, and blue for 2002, 2007, and 2010 outbursts), 4U 1543–47 (orange; 2002 outburst), Swift J1753.5–0127 (yellow; 2005 outburst), XTE J1752–223 (pink; 2009 outburst), XTE J1650–500 (light green; 2001 outburst), XTE J1859+226 (red; 1999 outburst), H1743–322 (cyan; 2009 outburst), XTE J1550–564 (blue; 1998 outburst), Swift J1727.8–1613 (orange; 2023 outburst), XTE J1748–288 (yellow; 1998 outburst), GRO J1655 – 40 (pink; 2005 outburst), MAXI J1535 – 571 (light green; 2017 outburst), and Swift J1658.2 – 4242 (grey; 2018 outburst) sources, respectively. The ‘plus’ symbol indicates the time of radio flares observed during an outburst. At the inset, we depict HID for all the sources using same point styles and colours as used for displaying outburst profiles.

During 1999 outburst, XTE J1859+226 traced all the canonical spectral states, such as LHS, HIMS, SIMS, and HSS, in its hysteresis loop depicted by open circles (in red) in HID of Fig. 1. The source exhibited its peak X-ray flux ($\sim 6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) along with multiple radio flares (Brocksopp et al. 2002; Fender et al. 2009; Radhika & Nandi 2014) during SIMS ($\text{HR} \lesssim 0.7$) as indicated by ‘plus’ symbol in Fig. 1 and dotted vertical lines (marked as F1, F2, F3, and F4) in Fig. 2. We observe a slight increase in X-ray flux preceding each radio flare. Similar to XTE J1859+226, GX 339–4 during its 2002, 2007, and 2010 outburst traced all canonical spectral states. It exhibited one X-ray peak in 2007 and 2010 outbursts with

⁶For type-A and type-B QPOs, acceptable fits are obtained by fixing $kT_e \sim 10\text{--}20$ keV in SIMS.




Figure 1. Evolution of (a) bolometric (1–100 keV) X-ray flux in low-inclination sources such as GX 339 – 4 (outbursts in 2002, 2006, and 2010 represented by red, cyan, and blue symbols, respectively), 4U 1543 – 47 (orange), Swift J1753.5 – 0127 (yellow), XTE J1752 – 223 (pink), and XTE J1650 – 500 (light green) and (c) in high-inclination sources such as XTE J1859 + 226 (red), H1743 – 322 (2009 outburst) (cyan), XTE J1550 – 564 (blue), Swift J1727.8 – 1613 (orange, using HXMT data), XTE J1748 – 288 (yellow), GRO J1655 – 40 (pink), MAXI J1535 – 571 (light green using HXMT data), and Swift J1658.2 – 4242 (grey, using AstroSat and HXMT data). The start date of the outbursts is denoted by T_0 . The HID for both low- and high-inclination sources are illustrated in (b) and (d), respectively. The radio flares are marked using the ‘plus’ symbol in the outburst profile and HID. See the text for details.

X-ray fluxes $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\sim 2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. During its 2002 outburst, it exhibited two peaks in X-rays (during SIMS with flux $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and HSS with flux $\sim 5.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$); however, radio flare is observed ~ 3 d before the X-ray peak in SIMS (HR ~ 0.6 ; Figs 1 and 4). The source 4U 1543–47 was observed in SIMS while transiting from HIMS, showing a single X-ray peak ($\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) during SIMS, with a radio flare observed approximately 2 d before the peak X-ray flux (HR ≥ 0.1 ; Figs 1 and 6). H1743–322 is seen to transit from HIMS to SIMS with a peak X-ray flux ($\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) during SIMS. As before, we find that the radio flare occurs ~ 1 d after the peak X-ray flux at HR ~ 0.6 (see Fig. 1). Similar to GX 339–4, XTE J1550–564 also evolved from HIMS to SIMS with multiple X-ray peaks ($\sim 2.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ during SIMS and $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ during HSS). The radio flare is observed approximately 1 d after the peak X-ray flux in the SIMS phase, when the hardness ratio (HR) is $\gtrsim 0.8$ (see Fig. 1).

The source XTE J1752–223 exhibited an outburst in 2009, but due to Sun constraints, no observations were available from MJD 55155.09 – 55215.90. During its outburst, the source reached a peak X-ray flux of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, accompanied by multiple radio flares (Brocksopp et al. 2013) in the SIMS, HSS, and during the transition from SIMS to HIMS in the decay phase. XTE J1752–223 displayed all canonical spectral states, with marginal rise in X-ray flux near the radio flares. XTE J1650–500 was also observed in all canonical spectral states with the peak X-ray flux of $4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ occurring during SIMS. The peak radio flux of $\sim 5.28 \text{ mJy}$ at 4.8 GHz was observed during HIMS, though radio observations were absent during the transition from HIMS to SIMS, potentially missing the flare event (Fender et al. 2009). Swift J1753.5–0127 underwent a failed outburst and evolve from HIMS to LHS in the HID. Similar to other canonical outbursting sources, the peak radio flux of 2.48 mJy at 8.4 GHz coincided with the X-ray peak flux of $2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Recently, Swift J1727.8–1613 exhibited the highest X-ray flux among the sources, reaching a peak of $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$




Figure 2. Evolution of (a) radio flux in 1.4 – 1.66 GHz (asterisk), (b) bolometric X-ray flux (1 – 100 keV), (c) flux ratios (normalized disc flux (triangle) and normalized Comptonized flux (diamond)), (d) photon index (Γ), (e) covering fraction (cov_frac), (f) centroid frequency of QPO (ν_{QPO}), (g) QPO rms (rms_{QPO}), (h) total rms (rms_{Total}), and (i) time lag at QPO frequency between energy range 6 – 15 and 2 – 6 keV of XTE J1859+226 during its 1999 outburst. Data points plotted with various colours represent different types of QPO (green: type-A QPO, blue: type-B QPO, magenta: type-B cathedral, red: type-C QPO and purple: type-C* QPO, orange: absence of QPO). The vertical dotted lines represent the peak of radio flares. The shaded regions represent the flaring zone consisting of rising phase, peak value and declining phase of radio flux in a particular flare. See the text for details.

erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². Multiple radio flares (≥ 100 mJy at 334 MHz) were observed as the source transits to SIMS. For GRO J1655–40, the source exhibits all four canonical spectral states, with two distinct peaks in X-ray flux: $\sim 6.4 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² during SIMS and $\sim 9.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² during HSS. XTE J1748–288 also makes

transition through all four spectral states, reaching a peak X-ray flux of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². MAXI J1535–571 is observed in LHS, HIMS, SIMS, and HSS with a maximum X-ray flux of $\sim 22 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² during SIMS. Swift J1658.2–4242, on the other hand, is observed during the HIMS and SIMS phases, exhibiting a peak X-ray flux of $\sim 3.1 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻².

It is worth mentioning that XTE J1859+226, H1743–322, XTE J1550–564, Swift J1727.8–1613, XTE J1748–288, GRO J1655–40, MAXI J1535–571, and Swift J1658.2–4242 are high-inclination sources ($i \geq 60^\circ$) (Hynes et al. 2002; Zurita et al. 2002; Jonker & Nelemans 2004; Shafee et al. 2006; Jonker et al. 2010; Orosz et al. 2011; Steiner et al. 2012; Corral-Santana et al. 2013; den Eijnden et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2018; Yanes-Rizo et al. 2022; Wood et al. 2024; Yu et al. 2024; Abdulghani, Lohfink & Chauhan 2024), and these sources typically show radio flares about 1 d after the X-ray peak in SIMS. In contrast, GX 339–4, 4U 1543–47, XTE J1752–223, XTE J1650–500, and Swift J1753.5–0127 are low-inclination sources with $i \sim 35^\circ - 50^\circ$.

4.2 Evolution of spectro-temporal properties during jet ejection

In this section, we study the evolution of spectro-temporal parameters during the entire outburst of all the sources under consideration (XTE J1859 + 226, GX 339 – 4 (2002, 2007 and 2010 outbursts), 4U 1543 – 47, H1743 – 322 (2009 outburst), XTE J1550 – 564, XTE J1752 – 223, XTE J1650 – 500, Swift J1753.5 – 0127, XTE J1748 – 288, GRO J1655 – 40, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, MAXI J1535 – 571, and Swift J1658.2 – 4242.) In Fig. 1, we denote the peak radio flux with ‘plus’ symbol which indicates the presence of the radio ejection in SIMS (as shown in the inset).

In Fig. 2, we present the evolution of spectro-temporal parameters of XTE J1859 + 226 during 1999 outburst. We depict the evolution of Radio flux, bolometric X-ray flux, Flux ratios (normalized disc and Comptonized fluxes), photon index (Γ), covering fraction (cov_frac), centroid frequency (ν_{QPO}), QPO rms (rms_{QPO}%), total rms (rms_{Total}%) and time lag at QPO frequency with time since the triggering of the outburst. XTE J1859+226 exhibits four radio flares (marked as F1, F2, F3, and F4 at the top of Fig. 2) along with X-ray peaks during SIMS (see Fig. 1).

During the rising phase of the outburst, XTE J1859+226 exhibits type-C QPOs (evolves from 0.45 – 6.20 Hz) with weak variation of radio emission (~ 10 mJy). When the source transits from HIMS to SIMS, a type-A QPO appears along with a peak X-ray flux ($\sim 6.14 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) and enhanced radio flux 100 mJy, indicating radio ejection marked as F1 (see also Fig. 3). It is worth noting that type-B/B-cathedral QPOs appear about 3 – 10 h before the radio flares F1, F2, F3, F4, which are marked by a positive time lag of around 5 – 8 ms. Generally, observations show type-A QPOs, weak type-C* QPOs, or the absence of QPOs prior to the appearance of type-B QPOs, with rms_{QPO}% around 1.0 per cent, compared to 2.5 per cent for type-B QPOs. In addition, type-A and type-C* QPOs are associated with a negative time lag of ~ 5 ms. Throughout the flaring periods, the disc flux (≥ 0.5) is observed to dominate over the Comptonized X-ray flux. Next, we focus on the evolution of PDS during the radio flare, specifically for flare F1, and examine how it correlates with variations in radio flux, X-ray flux, and time lag (see Fig. 3). As the source transits from HIMS to SIMS, it exhibits a type-C QPO on MJD 51466.9, followed by a type-A QPO on MJD 51467.6, type-B on MJD 51467.9 and type-C* on MJD 51468.5, respectively (see panel a). The time lag in these four observations flips from negative to positive (see panel (b)), coinciding with the onset of a radio flare. As QPO type alters from type-A to type-B,




Figure 3. Evolution of (a) PDS (scaled for better clarity), (b) time lag (open circle) corresponding to different types of QPOs, and (c) bolometric X-ray flux (open circle) and radio flux (marked by asterisks) near flare F1 (MJD 51467.9) of XTE J1859+226. Different colours denote various types of QPO (green: type-A, blue: type-B, red: type-C and purple: type-C*). See the text for details.

both X-ray flux (depicted by open circles) and radio flux (marked by asterisks) increases (see panel c). These results possibly suggest a change in the accretion dynamics in the vicinity of the source under consideration. After ~ 10 hrs, the nature of the QPO is again altered to type-C* yielding a negative time lag. Similar time lags associated with type-B QPOs are also observed for F2, F3 and F4 radio flares as well. Although the type-A QPO is observed before the radio ejection, type-B QPOs are observed with positive time lag for all four radio flares.

We investigate the remaining seven high-inclination sources, namely H1743–322 (Steiner et al. 2012; Molla et al. 2017), XTE J1550–564 (Jonker & Nelemans 2004; Jonker et al. 2010; Orosz et al. 2011), Swift J1727.8–1613 (Wood et al. 2024; Yu et al. 2024), GRO J1655–40 (Markwardt & Swank 2005), XTE J1748–288 (Brocksopp et al. 2007), MAXI J1535–571 (Negoro et al. 2017), and Swift J1658.2–4242 (Grebenev et al. 2018). During the initial phase of 2009 outburst of H1743–322, type-C QPOs are observed in HIMS with ν_{QPO} increasing from 0.9–3.6 Hz. Subsequently, type-A QPO appears as the source transits from HIMS to SIMS. The appearance of type-A QPO ($\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 3.4$ Hz, $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 2$ per cent and $\text{rms}_{\text{Total}}\% \sim 6.1$ per cent) during state transition coincides with the observation exhibiting the peak X-ray flux in the entire outburst. After ~ 1 d, the radio flare (~ 7 mJy at 8.46 GHz) is observed. The subsequent observation in X-ray band does not exhibit QPO in the PDS followed by type-C* QPO ($\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 6.8$ Hz, $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 2.5$ per cent and $\text{rms}_{\text{Total}}\% \sim 6.6$ per cent) and type-B QPO ($\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 3.7$ Hz,

$\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 3.5$ per cent and $\text{rms}_{\text{Total}}\% \sim 7.2$ per cent). Type-C, type-C* and type-A QPOs display negative time lags (~ 0.3 – 2 ms, ~ 3 ms and ~ 10 ms), whereas type-B QPOs demonstrate positive time lag ($\sim 5 \pm 2.8$ ms) during 2009 outburst. The appearance of type-A QPO during the state-transition corresponding to the peak X-ray flux just before the appearance of radio flare marks the onset of ejection phenomena.

During 1998 outburst, XTE J1550–564 underwent spectral state transition from HIMS to SIMS with the detection of radio flare (234 mJy at 8.6 GHz) and appearance of type-A QPO ($\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 12$ Hz and $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 0.5$ per cent and $\text{rms}_{\text{Total}}\% \sim 2$ per cent). Type-C QPO again appears in the PDS after the radio flare, with ν_{QPO} decreasing from ~ 5.4 Hz to ~ 2.6 Hz, then rising again from ~ 2.7 Hz to ~ 5.2 Hz. Interestingly, type-A and type-B QPOs re-appear in the PDS just before the source transits from SIMS to HSS, with ν_{QPO} values of ~ 6.9 Hz and ~ 4.5 Hz, respectively. The time lag associated with type-C QPOs varies between positive and negative values, while the type-A QPOs show a negative lag of ~ 5 ms, and type-B QPOs exhibit time lag as -2.3 ± 1.6 ms (MJD 51106.95), 1.7 ± 0.5 ms (MJD 51108.07) and -4.0 ± 2.6 ms (MJD 51110.26), respectively.

Swift J1727.8–1613 shows type-C QPOs with monotonically increasing frequency as 0.37–8.74 Hz before F1 radio flare Nandi et al. (2024) during 2023 outburst. The appearance of the type-B ($\nu \sim 6.97$ Hz, $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\% \sim 4.91$ per cent) QPO on MJD 60206.13, prior to F1, suggests that this observation marks the transition from HIMS to SIMS as indicated by Nandi et al. (2024) based on the HR value ~ 0.19 – 0.02 using *MAXI/GSC* observations. After F1, ν_{QPO} increases till 8.85 Hz and finally disappears before F2 (see Fig. 6). We observe both positive and negative time lags associated to type-C QPOs with high normalized Comptonized flux (F_{nth}) (see Fig. 7).

Next, we examine the spectro-temporal characteristics of low-inclination source, namely GX 339–4. We find that GX 339–4 exhibits only one radio flare during the entire 2002–2003 outburst. In Fig. 4, we present the evolution of the timing as well as spectral parameters obtained during the outburst. As the outburst progresses, the frequency of type-C QPOs increases with the enhancement of the X-ray flux with peak value $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². During the transition from HIMS to SIMS, radio flare is observed with peak flux (55 mJy) and type-A QPO appears followed by type-B QPO. It is worth mentioning that the time lag decreases from ~ 21 to ~ -3.5 ms, where negative lag is observed corresponding to one of the type-A QPOs. Furthermore, we notice that the normalized Comptonized flux and disc flux alter before the radio flare. In Fig. 5, we depict the evolution of the PDS along with the X-ray and radio fluxes during the radio flare (MJD 52410.5 to MJD 52412.1) of GX 339–4. We observe that type-A and type-B QPO emerge ~ 2 d after the radio flare. We infer that this delay possibly arises due to a lack of X-ray monitoring. Furthermore, we also analysed 2007 and 2010 outbursts of GX 339–4 and the obtained results are presented in Figs 6–8.

4U 1543–47 is another low-inclination source with $i \sim 20^\circ$ – 40° (Orosz et al. 1998; Chen & Wang 2024). During 2002 outburst, the source transits from HIMS to SIMS exhibiting peak X-ray flux ($\sim 12.5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) accompanied by a radio flare (~ 22 mJy at 1026.75 MHz). After the radio flare, QPO disappears from the PDS. A subsequent weak radio flare (≤ 0.3 mJy) was detected Russell et al. (2020), and type-A and type-B QPOs were observed near the time of the radio flare (see Fig. 6). Type-B QPO displays positive time lags (~ 2.3 – 6.2 ms) and type-A QPO is observed with negative time lags (~ 1.7 – 5.5 ms). Note that a type-C QPO of $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 9$ Hz is observed towards the end of the outburst.

XTE J1650–500 exhibits a canonical outburst, with the peak radio flux of approximately ~ 5.3 mJy at 4.8 GHz and a spectral index of




Figure 4. Same as Fig. 2, but for GX 339–4 during its 2002 – 2003 outburst. See the text for details.

~ -0.27 observed during the HIMS. Type-C QPOs are observed to evolve with $\nu_{QPO} \sim 0.97 - 6.88$ Hz. Near the HIMS to SIMS transition, type-B QPOs ($\nu_{QPO} \sim 16 - 17$ Hz, $\text{rms}_{QPO} \sim 3$ per cent) appear and then disappear in the PDS, with no radio observations available near the transition (see Fig. 6). Both type-C and type-B QPOs exhibit a positive lag.

Swift J1753.5–0127 displays a failed outburst, remaining in LHS and HIMS, with its HID mimicking the decay phase of a canonical outburst (see Fig. 1). At the beginning of the outburst, the source is in HIMS, as indicated by its high bolometric flux ($\geq 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$) and HR (≤ 2.4). The peak radio flux (≥ 1.5 mJy at 8.4 GHz with a spectral index of ~ -0.08) is observed near the peak X-ray flux. The source transits into LHS during the decay phase




Figure 5. Evolution of (a) PDS (scaled for better clarity), (b) time lag (open circle) corresponding to different types of QPOs, and (c) bolometric X-ray flux (open circle) and Radio flux at 4.8 GHz (asterisk) near flares (MJD 52408.31) of GX 339–4. Different colours denote various types of QPO (green: type-A, blue: type-B, red: type-C and orange: absence of QPO. See the text for details.

of the outburst. Type-C QPOs are observed with positive time lags throughout the outburst and ν_{QPO} is seen to increase from 0.60 to ~ 0.85 Hz at the beginning and then decreases during the decay phase.

XTE J1748–288 underwent a canonical outburst during its 1998 outburst. Observations commenced while the source was in HIMS, during which it exhibited a peak bolometric X-ray flux of $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$. At the onset of the outburst, the PDS revealed the presence of type-C QPO with centroid frequencies in the range $\nu \sim 17 - 31$ Hz and fractional rms amplitudes of ~ 1.7 per cent – 4.3 per cent. The Q factor associated with these QPOs spans $\sim 3 - 12$. Note that the QPOs vanish from the PDS prior to the detection of a radio flare.

During its 2005 outburst, GRO J1655–40 exhibited all four canonical spectral states, reaching a peak bolometric X-ray flux of $\sim 6.4 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ during the transition from HIMS to SIMS. In the early phase of the outburst, type-C QPOs were detected during the HIMS, with centroid frequencies (ν_{QPO}) increasing from ~ 0.11 Hz to 2.3 Hz and corresponding fractional rms amplitudes rising from ~ 4 per cent to 14 per cent. As the source was transited from HIMS to SIMS, the type-C QPOs disappeared, and a type-B QPO emerged with $\nu_{QPO} \sim 6.4$ Hz and rms amplitude of ~ 4 per cent during the SIMS.




Figure 6. Evolution of QPO rms ($\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$) with time in GX 339 – 4 ($i \sim 50^\circ$), 4U 1543 – 47 ($i \sim 36^\circ$), XTE J1752 – 223 ($i \sim 35^\circ$), XTE J1650 – 500 ($i \geq 47^\circ$), Swift J1753.5 – 0127 ($i \geq 40^\circ$), XTE J1859 + 226 ($i \sim 65^\circ$), H1743 – 322 ($i \sim 75^\circ$), XTE J1550 – 564 ($i \sim 74^\circ$), Swift J1727.8 – 1613 ($i \leq 74^\circ$), XTE J1748 – 288 ($i \geq 60^\circ$), GRO J1655 – 40 ($i \geq 67^\circ$), MAXI J1535 – 571 ($i \geq 67^\circ$) and Swift J1658.2 – 4242 ($i \geq 65^\circ$). Colourbar represents the normalized Comptonised flux (F_{nth}). Square, circle, diamond and triangle denote different QPO types, and radio flux is indicated using plus symbol. Variation of ν_{QPO} with days is shown in the inset. The presence of radio flux is indicated using plus symbol. For each sources, the duration of the various spectral states are indicated using different shades. See the text for details.




Figure 7. Variation of time lag with F_{nth} for (upper panel) low-inclination sources (GX 339 – 4, 2002 outburst: Diamond, 2007 outburst: octagon, 2010 outburst: Cross, 4U 1743 – 47: Triangle, XTE J1752 – 223: Asterisk, XTE J1650 – 500: Hexagon, Swift J1753.5 – 0127: Inverted Triangle) and (lower panel) high-inclination sources (XTE J1859 + 226: Circle, H1743 – 322: Eight pointed asterisk (2003 outburst) and Pentagon (2009 outburst), XTE J1550 – 564: Square, Swift J1727.8 – 1613: Left-caret, XTE J1748 – 288: Right-caret, MAXI J1535 – 571: Small Diamond, Swift J1658.2 – 4242: Plus and GRO J1655 – 40: Six pointed star). Vertical line (cyan) separates HIMS and SIMS in both the panels. Green, blue, red and purple colours represent type-A, type-B, type-C and type-C* QPOs. See the text for details.

We have analysed 2018 outburst of MAXI J1535–571 using *Insight/HXMT* data. The source exhibits type-C QPOs during HIMS where ν_{QPO} increases from ~ 2.1 Hz to 8.9 Hz and $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ decreases from 5.8 per cent to 3.2 per cent. Type-A QPO is observed in the SIMS at $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 6.3$ Hz and with $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}} \sim 0.99$ per cent before the radio flare.

Swift J1658.2–4242 exhibits type-C QPOs with centroid frequencies ranging from ~ 1.5 to 6.6 Hz during the rising phase of the outburst. Near the peak of the outburst, a type-A QPO is detected at $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 6 - 7$ Hz with a fractional rms amplitude of $\sim 2 - 5$ per cent. Additionally, a type-B QPO is observed at $\nu_{\text{QPO}} \sim 4$ Hz, exhibiting a higher fractional rms amplitude of $\sim 6 - 8$ per cent.

4.3 Dependence of source inclination on spectro-temporal properties

In the previous section, we study the evolution of spectro-temporal properties of 13 outbursting BH sources under consideration. Among them, five are low-inclination systems (GX 339–4, 4U 1543–47, XTE J1752–223, XTE J1650–500, Swift J1753.5–0127), while




Figure 8. Evolution of time lag with energy in GX 339 – 4 ($i \sim 50^\circ$), 2002 and 2007 outburst, 4U 1543 – 47 ($i \sim 36^\circ$), XTE J1752 – 223 ($i \sim 35^\circ$), XTE J1650 – 500 ($i \geq 47^\circ$), Swift J1753.5 – 0127 ($i \geq 40^\circ$), XTE J1859 + 226 ($i \sim 65^\circ$), H1743 – 322 ($i \sim 75^\circ$), XTE J1550 – 564 ($i \sim 74^\circ$) and Swift J1727.8 – 1613 ($i \leq 74^\circ$), GRO J1655 – 40 ($i \geq 74^\circ$), XTE J1748 – 288 ($i \geq 60^\circ$), MAXI J1535 – 571 ($i \geq 67^\circ$), Swift J1658.2 – 4242 ($i \geq 65^\circ$). Different QPOs are represented by different coloured symbols (green square: type-A, blue circle: type-B, red diamond: type-C and purple triangle: type-C*). See the text for details.

the remaining eight are high-inclination systems (XTE J1859+226, H1743–322, XTE J1550–564, Swift J1727.8–1613, XTE J1748 – 288, GRO J1655 – 564, MAXI J1535 – 571 and Swift J1658.2 – 4242). For these sources, we examine the correlation of spectro-temporal properties (i.e. $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$, normalized Comptonized flux F_{nth} , time lag and energy dependent time lag) during the radio flares including spectral state transitions. Subsequently, we put efforts to decode the imprint of inherent coupling between corona and jets for these sources with varied inclination angles ($\sim 35^\circ - 75^\circ$).

In Fig. 6, we illustrate the evolution of $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ as well as ν_{QPO} (see the insets) with time during the rising phase of the outburst, highlighting different spectral states – LHS, HIMs, and SIMS – denoted by different shaded regions in the respective panels. The corresponding normalized Comptonized flux (F_{nth}) variations for each source are shown using different colours, where F_{nth} lies in the range $\sim 0.02 - 0.93$ as indicated by the colourbar at the top of the figure. For low-inclination sources, type-A and/or type-B QPOs are generally observed during the radio flares particularly when source transits from HIMs to SIMS, except for Swift J1753.5–0127, where the source transits from HIMs to LHS exhibiting only type-C QPOs during the decay phase of the outburst. Similarly, for high-inclination sources, radio flares are observed during the transition from HIMs to SIMS with the clear presence of type-A and/or type-B QPOs. Moreover, absence of QPO is also occasionally observed after the radio flare in SIMS (see Fig. 6). We further notice that type-A and/or type-B QPOs are often observed during the SIMS with relatively lower Comptonized flux values for majority of the sources except for sources, namely XTE J1550–564, Swift J1727.8–1613, XTE J1859+226 and H1743–322 (2003 outburst), where type-C QPOs are also detected. We observe that $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ and F_{nth} are significantly higher for type-C QPOs (usually observed in LHS and HIMs) compared to type-A and type-B QPOs. Near the radio flare, both $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ and F_{nth} associated with type-A and type-B are decreased. In fact, for all sources, $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ for type-C QPOs increases with F_{nth} indicating that type-C QPOs possibly originate from the Comptonized corona.

In Fig. 7, we examine the possible correlation between F_{nth} and the time lag for type-A, type-B, type-C, and type-C* QPOs across all BH-XRBs under consideration. All together, we have identified 26 type-A, 54 type-B, 199 type-C and 21 type-C* QPOs (see Table 1). The obtained results for low-inclination and high-inclination sources are presented in upper and lower panels, respectively. For low-inclination sources, type-B and type-C QPOs show positive time lags, while type-A QPOs exhibit negative time lags except for one outlier observed during 2002 outburst of GX 339–4. In general, a positive correlation seems to exist between F_{nth} and time lag regardless of the type of QPOs, except for Swift J1753.5–0127. For high-inclination sources, type-A, type-C and type-C* QPOs generally exhibit negative time lags, except XTE J1550 – 564, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, 2003 outburst of H1743 – 322 and GRO J1655 – 40, where both positive and negative lags are observed in type-C QPOs. Type-B QPOs demonstrate both positive and negative lag in high-inclination sources. In certain high-inclination sources such as XTE J1859 + 226, XTE J1550 – 564 and H1743 – 322, we notice that some type-C QPOs with higher F_{nth} show relatively smaller negative time lags compared to few type-C* and type-A QPOs. As the sources move toward SIMS from HIMs (demarcated by vertical cyan line), they show a shift to negative time lags, accompanied by a decrease in F_{nth} . Note that type-B QPOs generally show positive time lag (2 – 12 ms) with relatively smaller F_{nth} ($\lesssim 0.4$) regardless of the inclination angle of the sources under consideration except H1743 – 322 (2003 outburst), XTE J1550 – 564, GRO J1655 – 40

Table 1. Number of type-C, type-B, type-A, and type-C* QPOs identified at the onset of outbursts in the BH-XRBs under consideration.

Source	Outburst (Year)	Number of QPOs			
		Type-C	Type-B	Type-A	Type-C*
GX 339 – 4	2002	10	5	2	-
	2007	10	3	3	-
	2010	13	12	-	-
4U 1543 – 47	2004	-	5	3	-
XTE J1752 – 223	2009	3	1	1	-
XTE J1650 – 500	2001	11	2	-	-
Swift J1753.5 – 0127	2005	20	-	-	-
XTE J1859 + 226	1999	14	11	4	17
H1743 – 322	2003	4	5	2	-
	2009	6	2	3	2
XTE J1550 – 564	1998	23	3	2	2
Swift J1727.8 – 1613	2023	56	1	-	-
GRO J1655 – 40	2005	9	1	-	-
XTE J1748 – 288	1998	7	-	-	-
MAXI J1535 – 571	2018	6	-	1	-
Swift J1658.2 – 4242	2018	7	3	5	-

and Swift J1727.8 – 1613. We discuss the implications of these findings for examining different disc configurations in Section 6.

The evolution of time lag as a function of energy for all BH-XRBs is shown in Fig. 8, with a particular focus on QPOs observed during radio flares, as well as additional detection of type-A and type-B QPOs (see Table 1). Square (green), circle (blue), diamond (red) and triangle (purple) denote type-A, type-B, type-C and type-C* QPOs, respectively. We find that type-C QPOs are observed across all energy bands. For low-inclination sources, type-B and type-C QPOs exhibit positive time lags that increase with energy. Type-A QPOs are observed up to 15 keV in XTE J1752 – 223, 4U 1543 – 47 and GX 339 – 4 (2007 outburst), and up to 20 keV in 2002 outburst of GX 339 – 4. During the 2002 outburst of GX 339 – 4, the positive time lag and the negative lag associated with type-A QPOs exhibit minimal variation across different energy bands. In high-inclination sources, time lags associated with type-C QPOs show minimal variation with energy. Type-A QPOs are detected up to 10 – 15 keV and generally exhibit little change in time lag across energies, with the exception of XTE J1550 – 564, which displays a pronounced increase in negative time lag with energy.

Since type-B QPOs often correlate with radio flares, we investigate the relationship between the time lags of type-B QPOs and both radio and X-ray fluxes. Fig. 9 presents the variation of time lag with radio flux in panel (a) for low-inclination sources and in panel (b) for high-inclination sources. Panels (c) and (d) display the correlation between time lag and X-ray flux for low- and high-inclination sources, respectively. We normalized the radio flux values to 5 GHz. In low-inclination sources, we find a strong anti-correlation between time lag and radio flux, with a Pearson correlation coefficient ~ -0.93 . We also identify a weak anti-correlation between time lag and X-ray flux, with a Pearson correlation coefficient ~ -0.41 . In high-inclination sources, we observe a moderate anti-correlation between radio flux and time lag, yielding a Pearson correlation coefficient ~ -0.56 after excluding the source GRO J1655 – 40. Furthermore, we detect a strong anti-correlation between X-ray flux and time lag, with a Pearson correlation coefficient ~ -0.81 , again excluding GRO J1655 – 40. We also mention that for XTE J1752 – 223, flare F1 is associated with a type-B QPO, while a type-A QPO is detected prior to flare F2. No QPOs are observed in association with the remaining flares.




Figure 9. The figure presents the variation of time lag (in milliseconds) with radio flux (in mJy) (in panel (a) and (b)) and bolometric X-ray flux (in panel (c) and (d)) for different BH-XRBs. Panels (a) and (c) correspond to low-inclination sources (XTE J1752 – 223 (red asterisk), GX 339 – 4, 2002 outburst (green diamond), 2010 outburst (orange right-caret), and 4U 1543 – 47 (purple triangle)), while panels (b) and (d) represent high-inclination sources (XTE J1859 + 226 (blue circle), XTE J1550 – 564 (orange square), H1743 – 322 (red pentagon), GRO J1655 – 40 (magenta six-pointed asterisk), Swift J1727.8 – 1613 (violet left-caret), and MAXI J1535 – 571 (green small-diamond)).

5 ESTIMATION OF SPIN AND JET VELOCITY

So far, we have explored the evolution and correlations of the spectro-temporal properties during radio flares and spectral state transitions for the BH-XRBs under consideration. We observe an increase in X-ray flux along with the appearance of type-A/type-B QPOs or their absence near the radio flares across all the sources. In this section, we aim to estimate the jet velocity during the radio flares (i.e. transient jets) in SIMS. To do so, we first calculate the mass accretion rate,

which is influenced by radiative efficiency – a factor directly tied to the spin of the sources. In the next sub-section, we present the spin estimates derived using the continuum-fitting method for nine sources, while for the remaining four, we rely on previously reported spin values, as the continuum-fitting method could not be applied (see Section 3.2).

5.1 Estimation of spin

We constrain the spin (a_k) of nine BH-XRBs by fixing their mass (M_{BH}), inclination (i), and distance (D) as described in Section 3.2. For each source, we select three observations that meet the continuum fitting conditions, namely, $f_{\text{scat}} < 25$ per cent and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 0.3$ (Steiner et al. 2011), where L_{Edd} represents the Eddington luminosity and L_{bol} refers to the bolometric luminosity. The estimated spin (a_k) and accretion rate (\dot{M}) of each source is presented in Table 2. It is worth mentioning that the estimated a_k values for all sources are consistent with their previous estimates (see references in Table 2). Moreover, a good agreement is observed for the mass accretion rate \dot{M} as obtained using model combination `tbabs*(thcomp+diskbb)`. For Swift J1753.5–0127 and Swift J1727.8–1613, the spin values (a_k) are constrained using reflection modelling as $0.989^{+0.007}_{-0.035}$ (Draghis et al. 2024) and $0.98^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$ (Peng et al. 2024), respectively. For MAXI J1535–571 and Swift J1658.2–4242, spin values were adopted from the literature. The continuum-fitting method could not be applied to Swift J1658.2–4242, as it was not observed in the HSS. In the case of MAXI J1535–571, reliable spin estimation was not possible due to the poor quality of low-energy data Chen et al. (2020). Subsequently, we calculate the radiative efficiency of accretion disc (η_{acc}) using source spin values a_k following Thorne (1974) and Hobson, Efstathiou & Lasenby (2006) and present in Table 2.

5.2 Estimation of jet velocity

Following Longair (2011), we estimate the jet velocity by applying minimum energy condition which implies that the minimum energy contained in the blob of ejected plasma is given by,

$$W_{\text{min}} = 3 \times 10^6 \eta^{4/7} V^{3/7} v^{2/7} S_v^{4/7} D^{8/7} \text{ Joule}, \quad (6)$$

where S_v is the observed radio flux at radio frequency ν , D is the distance of the source and V is the volume of the spherical plasma blob. Here, η denotes the relativistic correction factor assumed to be unity (Brocksopp et al. 2002; Nandi et al. (2018)). Considering $V = (\beta ct)^3$, where t is the rise-time of the radio event and β is the jet velocity in the unit of c , the observed jet power is estimated as,

$$L_{\text{jet}} = \frac{W_{\text{min}}}{t} = 3 \times 10^{33} \left(\frac{S_v}{\text{mJy}} \right)^{4/7} \left(\frac{D}{\text{kpc}} \right)^{8/7} \left(\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{2/7} \left(\frac{t}{\text{s}} \right)^{2/7} \beta^{9/7} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

We use equation (1) to normalize the radio flux at frequency $\nu = 5$ GHz. Following Fender (2001b) and Miller-Jones, Fender & Nakar (2006), we apply Doppler correction and obtain the intrinsic radio flux (S_v^{int}) using observed radio flux (S_v) as $S_v^{\text{int}} = \delta^{\alpha-k} S_v$, where $\nu = \delta \times \nu^{\text{int}}$, $\delta^{-1} = \gamma(1 - \beta \cos i)$, δ being the Doppler factor and $\gamma (= 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2})$ is the Lorentz factor. We assume the radio flux to follow a power law $S_v = \nu^\alpha$, where t transforms as $t = t^{\text{int}}/\delta$ for simplicity. The parameter k accounts for the characteristics of the ejecta, where $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ refer steady continuous jet and discrete jet, respectively Rybicki & Lightman (1979). With this, the

intrinsic jet power is calculated as,

$$L_{\text{jet}}^{\text{int}} = \delta^{4(\alpha-k)/7} \times L_{\text{jet}} = [\gamma(1 - \beta \cos i)]^{4(k-\alpha)/7} \times L_{\text{jet}}. \quad (8)$$

During accretion, a part of the inflowing matter may deflect along the rotation axis of the black hole producing bipolar jets. For a given mass accretion rate (\dot{M}), we compute the jet kinetic power as,

$$L_{\text{jet}}^{\text{est}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\text{jet}} \times \varepsilon \times \dot{M} \times c^2, \quad (9)$$

where η_{jet} is the jet radiative efficiency factor assumed as ~ 0.1 Fender (2001a) and ε accounts the fraction of energy transferred to jets from the disc (Chakrabarti 1999; Das et al. 2001; Aktar, Nandi & Das 2019).

Given the unabsorbed X-ray flux (F_x) of a source with distance D , the accretion rate can be calculated using the X-ray luminosity $L_x (= 4\pi D^2 F_x)$ as,

$$\dot{M} = 8.73 \times 10^{-17} \times \eta_{\text{acc}} \left(\frac{F_x D^2}{c^2} \right) \left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1} \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}, \quad (10)$$

where $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = 1.47 \times 10^{18} (M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) \text{ g s}^{-1}$. In this study, we focus on observations taken at the peak bolometric X-ray flux or during type-A/type-B QPOs in SIMS, particularly around the radio flares, while for HIMS, the X-ray flux is determined using the closest available X-ray observation relative to the radio flare. The rise time t is estimated as the interval between the X-ray observation used to calculate \dot{M} and the observation with radio flare. Using equation (7) in equation (8) and then equating with equation (9), we estimate the jet velocity β in unit of c . Following Fender et al. (2004, 2009), we adopt $k = 2$ during the HIMS for continuous jets, and $k = 3$ during the SIMS, when jets are discrete.

In Fig. 10, we depict the variation of jet velocity (β) with ε for BH-XRBs under consideration. Panel (a) shows the results for sources with low-inclination, including GX 339–4 (2002 and 2010 outbursts), 4U 1543–47, XTE J1752–223, XTE J1650–500, and Swift J1753.5–0127. Panel (b) displays the results for sources with high-inclination, such as XTE J1859 + 226, MAXI J1535 – 571, H1743 – 322, (2003 and 2009 outbursts), XTE J1550 – 564, Swift J1727.8 – 0127 and GRO J1655 – 40. The shaded regions denote the range of velocities resulting due to the uncertainty in estimating η_{acc} that largely depends on the black hole spin (a_k) estimate (see Table 2). Note that jet velocity couldn't be reliably estimated for Swift J1658.2–4242 and XTE J1748–288 due to large uncertainties arising from the observational gap between X-ray and radio data. The radiative efficiency of the disc is expressed $\eta_{\text{acc}} = 1 - \mathcal{E}_{\text{ISCO}}$ (Thorne 1974; Hobson et al. 2006; Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983), where the specific energy ($\mathcal{E}_{\text{ISCO}}$) at the innermost stable circular orbit (R_{ISCO}) is given by, $\mathcal{E}_{\text{ISCO}} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\text{ISCO}}} \pm a_k \sqrt{\frac{M}{R_{\text{ISCO}}^3}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{3M}{R_{\text{ISCO}}} \pm 2a_k \sqrt{\frac{M}{R_{\text{ISCO}}^3}} \right)^{-1/2}$. For a Kerr black hole, R_{ISCO} is expressed as (Bardeen, Press & Teukolsky 1972; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), $R_{\text{ISCO}} = M (3 + Z_2 \mp \sqrt{(3 - Z_1)(3 + Z_1 + 2Z_2)})$, where $Z_1 = 1 + (1 - (a_k/M)^2)^{1/3} [(1 + a_k/M)^{1/3} + (1 - a_k/M)^{1/3}]$, $Z_2 = \sqrt{3(a_k/M)^2 + Z_1^2}$ and M is the mass of the black hole. Here, the negative sign corresponds to prograde orbits, while the positive sign applies to retrograde orbits. We observe that β increases with ε for all sources. For a given ε , β has both upper and lower limits: the upper limit corresponds to the minimum estimate of a_k , while the lower limit corresponds to the maximum estimate of a_k . These findings suggest that the impact of black hole spin a_k on determining the jet velocity β appears to be minimal.

Table 2. Fitted parameters using model `kerrbb2` with 90 per cent confidence in GX 339 – 4, 4U 1543 – 47, XTE J1752 – 223, XTE J1650 – 500, XTE J1859 + 226, H1743 – 322, XTE J1550 – 564, and GRO J1655 – 40, XTE J1748 – 288 and their corresponding radiative efficiency of the accretion disc η_{acc} . For Swift J1753.5 – 0127, Swift J1727.8 – 1613, Swift J1658.2 – 4242, and MAXI J1535 – 571, the continuum fitting method cannot be applied, and the spin values are taken from the literature.

Source	Date (MJD)	D (kpc)	i ($^\circ$)	M_{BH} (M_\odot)	a_k	\dot{M}_{acc}^{\boxplus} (\dot{M}_{Edd})	η_{acc}	References
GX 339 – 4	52660.64 52667.02 52671.25	8.4	50	9.4	0.53 ± 0.02 $0.60^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$ 0.58 ± 0.03	0.12^\dagger $0.11^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ 0.10 ± 0.01	0.08 – 0.09	[1], [2], [3], [4], [5]
4U 1543 – 47	52468.72 52469.23 52473.17	7.5	35	9.4	0.44 ± 0.05 $0.43^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ $0.52^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$	0.16 ± 0.01 0.16 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.01	0.07 – 0.09	[6], [7]
XTE J1752 – 223	55252.59 55256.74 55260.80	7.1	35	12	$0.52^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$ $0.62^{+0.02}_{-0.06}$ $0.46^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$	0.08^\dagger 0.06 ± 0.01 0.08 ± 0.01	0.07 – 0.10	[8], [9], [10], [11], [12]
XTE J1650 – 500	52174.29 52217.47 52352.65	2.6	50	5.1	$0.63^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ 0.65 ± 0.05 $0.62^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	0.10^\dagger 0.03^\dagger 0.04 ± 0.01	0.09 – 0.11	[13], [14], [15], [16]
XTE J1859 + 226	51502.25 51504.32 51520.08	6	67	7.8	$0.34^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$ $0.32^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ $0.34^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	0.13 ± 0.01 0.13 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.01	0.07 – 0.08	[12], [17], [18], [19], [20]
H1743 – 322	54997.26 54999.74 55220.36	8.5	75	11.2	$0.36^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$ $0.43^{+0.06}_{-0.09}$ $0.39^{+0.14}_{-0.15}$	0.17 ± 0.01 0.14 ± 0.01 0.13 ± 0.03	0.07 – 0.08	[21], [22]
XTE J1550 – 564	51266.87 51270.74 51274.47	4.4	75	9.1	$0.35^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ $0.38^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$ $0.42^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	0.16 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.01 0.10 ± 0.01	0.07 – 0.08	[23], [24], [25]
GRO J1655 – 40	53449.84 53616.79 53619.87	3.2	65	6.0	$0.82^{+0.010}_{-0.003}$ 0.78 ± 0.01 $0.76^{+0.01}_{-0.04}$	0.12 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01	0.11 – 0.13	[26], [27], [28], [29], [30]
XTE J1748 – 288	50986.93 50991.48 50996.75	8.7	65	7.6	0.80 ± 0.01 0.81 ± 0.01 0.83 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.01 0.09 ± 0.01 0.07 ± 0.01	0.12 – 0.13	[12], [31]
Swift J1753.5 – 0127	-	7.1	≥ 40	7.4	$0.989^{+0.007}_{-0.035}$	-	0.19 – 0.29	[32], [33], [34], [35]
Swift J1727.8 – 1613	-	3.7	≤ 74	3.1	$0.98^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$	-	0.16 – 0.30	[36], [37], [38], [39]
MAXI J1535 – 571	-	3.7	≥ 67	3.1	0.992 ± 0.001	-	0.26 – 0.28	[40], [41], [42], [43], [44]
Swift J1658.2 – 4242	-	6.3	≥ 65	14	≥ 0.96	-	≥ 0.20	[45], [46]

Notes. $\boxplus \dot{M}_{Edd} = 1.47 \times 10^{18} M_{BH}/M_\odot \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. † Error is insignificant upto two decimal places.

Reference: [1] Heida et al. (2017), [2] Fürst et al. (2015), [3] Parker et al. (2016), [4] Zdziarski, Ziółkowski & Mikołajewska (2019), [5] Shidatsu et al. (2011), [6] Dong et al. (2020), [7] Chen & Wang (2024), [8] Miller-Jones et al. (2011), [9] Shaposhnikov et al. (2010), [10] García et al. (2018), [11] Debnath et al. (2021), [12] Abdulghani et al. (2024), [13] Slaný & Stuchlík (2008), [14] Orosz et al. (2004), [15] Homan et al. (2006), [16] Mondal (2009), [17] Hynes et al. (2002), [18] Motta et al. (2022), [19] Corral-Santana et al. (2013), [20] Yanes-Rizo et al. (2022), [21] Steiner et al. (2012), [22] Molla et al. (2017), [23] Jonker et al. (2010), [24] Jonker & Nelemans (2004), [25] Orosz et al. (2011), [26] Ponti et al. (2012), [27] Shafee et al. (2006), [28] Greene, Bailyn & Orosz (2001), [29] Kuulkers et al. (2000), [30] Hjellming & Rupen (1995), [31] den Eijnden et al. (2017), [32] Shaw et al. (2016), [33] Gandhi et al. (2019), [34] Neustroev et al. (2014), [35] Draghis et al. (2024), [36] Mata Sanchez et al. (2025), [37] Peng et al. (2024), [38] Wood et al. (2024), [39] Yu et al. (2024), [40] Sreehari et al. (2019), [41] Sridhar et al. (2019), [42] Liu et al. (2022), [43] Chauhan et al. (2019), [44] Miller et al. (2018), [45] Xu et al. (2018), [46] Mondal & Jithesh (2023).

Meanwhile, the jet velocity during the radio flare has been reported for few sources. For H1743 – 322, the jet velocities during the 2003 and 2009 outbursts were measured as $\beta \sim 0.8$ and $\beta \sim 0.2$, respectively, based on the proper motion of the jet ejecta (Corbel et al. 2005; Miller-Jones et al. 2012). Using these, we estimate $\varepsilon \sim 0.10$ for 2003 outburst and 0.01 for 2009 outburst. For the 1998 outburst of XTE J1550–564, Hannikainen et al. (2009) reported the jet velocity $\beta \geq 0.8$ that holds for $\varepsilon \geq 0.06$. For MAXI J1535 – 571, β is reported as ~ 0.69 (Russell et al. 2019), which corresponds to

$\varepsilon \geq 0.12$. In the case of F4 in XTE J1752 – 223, the jet velocity was estimated as $\beta \geq 0.66$ (Miller-Jones et al. 2011) yielding $\varepsilon \sim 0.03$. The open circles in Fig. 10 represent the observed values of β . These findings clearly indicate that the observed β is resulted from approximately 1 – 12 per cent of the accreting matter being ejected from the disc as jets in BH–XRBs. For these sources, the predicted values of ε are in good agreement with the difference between the normalized Comptonized fluxes (F_{nth}) of successive observations during radio flares. Considering this, we estimate the efficiency ε




Figure 10. Variation of jet velocity (shaded region) with ϵ (accounts the fraction of energy transferred to jets from disc) for (a) low-inclination sources such as, GX 339 – 4 (green for 2002 outburst and grey for 2010 outburst), 4U 1543 – 47 (skyblue), XTE J1752 – 223 (orange), XTE J1650 – 500 (blue), Swift J1753.5 – 0127 (pink) and (b) high-inclination sources such as, XTE J1859 + 226 (pink), MAXI J1535 – 571 (violet), H1743 – 322, 2009 outburst (orange), H1743 – 322, 2003 outburst (skyblue), XTE J1550 – 564 (green), Swift J1727.8 – 0127 (grey), GRO J1655 – 40 (red). The values of radiative efficiency of disc η_{acc} are taken from Table 1. Asterisks represent the jet velocity β predicted from our calculations and circles represent the velocity reported earlier.

during the radio flares and predict the jet velocity β for the remaining sources, which are marked using asterisk in Fig. 10 for each sources, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

Since the observed radio luminosity $L_R (= \nu S_\nu D^2)$ is likely to be influenced by Doppler boosting, we investigate the relationship between L_R and the intrinsic radio luminosity $L_R^{\text{int}} (= \delta^{\alpha-k-1} L_R)$ to assess the impact of Doppler correction on the measured values. In Fig. 11, we present the variations of L_R and L_R^{int} with X-ray luminosity (L_{bol}). Results obtained for different sources are represented by distinct symbols: GX 339–4 (2002 and 2010 outbursts are denoted with diamond and cross), 4U 1543–47 (triangle), XTE J1752–223 (asterisk), XTE J1650–500 (hexagon), Swift J1753.5–0127 (right-caret), XTE J1859+226 (circle), H1743–322 (2003 and 2009 outbursts are shown with pentagon and inverted triangle), XTE J1550–564 (square), Swift J1727.8–1613 (left-caret), GRO J1655 – 40 (six-pointed stars) and MAXI J1535 – 571 (small diamond). Opaque and semi-transparent symbols represent L_R^{int} and L_R , respectively, with colours indicating the range of normalized Comptonized flux (F_{nth}), as shown by the colourbar at the top and right of the figure. All sources exhibit a normalized Comptonized flux value of $F_{\text{nth}} > 0.5$ in HIMS and $F_{\text{nth}} \leq 0.5$ in SIMS. Sources in HIMS show lower radio and X-ray luminosities compared to those in SIMS. The difference between L_R and L_R^{int} possibly suggests the influence of relativistic beaming on jet emissions. Furthermore, we observe that both L_R and L_R^{int} increase with the increase of X-ray luminosity. However, in XTE J1752–223, corresponding to F6 and F7, the source is in the soft state, where both X-ray and radio luminosities as well as the normalized Comptonized flux are lower. It is important to note that for low-inclination sources, the observed

Table 3. Estimated jet velocities (β) during radio flares in the BH-XRBs under consideration. For sources exhibiting multiple radio flares, the resulting β values vary accordingly.

Source	Date [†]		ϵ	β
	Before flare	After flare		
GX 339 – 4	52406.0	52408.0	0.021	0.52 – 0.58
GX 339 – 4	55310.0	55314.1	0.033	0.45 – 0.50
4U 1743 – 47	52443.2	52445.5	0.02	0.81 – 0.91
XTE J1752 – 223 (F1)	55218.1	55218.8	0.061	0.96 – 0.98
XTE J1752 – 223 (F2)	55220.37	55222.92	0.061	0.37 – 0.75
XTE J1752 – 223 (F3)	55221.08	55223.86	0.007	0.33 – 0.61
XTE J1752 – 223 (F4)	55231.61	55238.93	0.032	0.53 – 0.87
XTE J1752 – 223 (F5)	55249.65	55250.00	0.012	0.26 – 0.42
XTE J1752 – 223 (F6)	55258.71	55260.83	0.005	0.13 – 0.17
XTE J1752 – 223 (F7)	55278.58	55278.96	0.005	0.14 – 0.18
XTE J1650 – 500	52160.4	52161.1	0.012	0.23 – 0.34
Swift J1753.5 – 0127	53560.5	53567.0	0.003	0.03 – 0.06
XTE J1859 + 226 (HIMS)	51464.1	51464.6	0.002	0.08 – 0.09
XTE J1859 + 226 (F1)	51467.9	51468.5	0.040	0.75 – 0.78
XTE J1859 + 226 (F2)	51474.3	51474.8	0.030	0.72 – 0.76
XTE J1859 + 226 (F3)	51477.1	51478.8	0.030	0.65 – 0.69
XTE J1859 + 226 (F4)	51483.9	51484.07	0.020	0.65 – 0.69
H1743 – 322 (2009)	54987.2	54989.1	0.010	0.18 – 0.22
H1743 – 322 (2003)	52735.7	52737.5	0.100	0.80 – 0.82
XTE J1550 – 564	51075.9	51078.1	0.061	0.78 – 0.81
Swift J1727.8 – 1613	60206.1	60211.1	0.050	0.70 – 0.84
Swift J1727.8 – 1613	60221.8	60222.1	0.020	0.55 – 0.73
GRO J1655 – 40	53446.89	53448.01	0.006	0.49 – 0.69
MAXI J1535 – 571	58019.1	58019.9	0.122	0.65 – 0.67

Note. [†]Successive X-ray observations during radio flare are used to estimate ϵ .

luminosity (L_R) tends to be higher than the intrinsic luminosity (L_R^{int}). This pattern also holds for high-inclination sources when the jet velocity remains in the range $\beta \sim 0.13 - 0.65$. However, for highly inclined sources ($i \gtrsim 60^\circ$) with higher jet velocities, the intrinsic luminosity (L_R^{int}) exceeds the observed luminosity (L_R).

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this work, we perform a comprehensive spectro-temporal analyses of 13 BH-XRBs during their entire outbursts using *RXTE*, *Insight/HXMT* and *AstroSat* observations. We examine the spectro-temporal properties during the radio flares to investigate the disc–jet connection. Further, we focus on estimating the accretion rate (\dot{m}) and black hole spin (a_k), while computing the velocity (β) of the plasma ejected in the form of jets.

6.1 Spectro-temporal properties to probe disc–jet connection

The transition from HIMS to SIMS is characterized by an increase in X-ray flux, which coincides with the onset of radio flares, as shown in Fig. 1. This transition is also linked to the appearance of type-A and type-B QPOs near radio flares in both high- and low-inclination sources (see Figs 2 and 4). Similar coincidence of X-ray peak and radio flare is also observed in SIMS, both in presence and absence of QPOs (see Fig. 6). In high-inclination sources, such as XTE J1859+226 and H1743–322, type-B QPOs emerge near




Figure 11. Variation of intrinsic radio luminosity L_R^{int} (opaque) and L_R (semi-transparent) observed radio luminosity with X-ray luminosity for GX 339–4 (2002 and 2010 outbursts denoted with diamond and cross), 4U 1543–47 (triangle), XTE J1752–223 (asterisk), XTE J1650–500 (hexagon), Swift J1753.5–0127 (right-caret), XTE J1859+226 (circle), H1743–322 (2003 and 2009 outbursts are shown with pentagon and inverted triangle), XTE J1550–564 (square), Swift J1727.8–1613 (left-caret), GRO J1655–40 (six-pointed stars) and MAXI J1535–571 (small diamond). Colourbars at the top and right of the figure denote the range of F_{nth} obtained from spectral modelling. See the text for details.

radio flares, displaying a positive time lag, in contrast to the negative time lag observed with type-C QPOs (see Fig. 7). Similarly, in XTE J1550–564, H1743–322 (2003 outburst), GRO J1655–40 and Swift J1727.8–1613, type-A and type-B QPOs are detected near radio flares with negative time lag, while type-C QPOs show positive time lag with relatively higher F_{nth} . For low-inclination sources, such as GX 339–4, 4U 1543–47, and XTE J1752–223, type-B QPOs are observed near radio flares with positive time lags, while XTE J1650–500 lacks concurrent radio observations during the occurrence of type-A or type-B QPOs (see Fig. 6). During the failed outburst of Swift J1753.5–0127, only type-C QPOs are detected with positive time lag.

We observe that higher $\text{rms}_{\text{QPO}}\%$ in type-C QPOs is correlated with normalized Comptonized flux (F_{nth}) in all sources (see Fig. 6). These findings indicate that type-C QPOs are possibly originated from the disc-corona (see also Titarchuk & Fiorito 2004; Ingram et al. 2009; Nandi et al. 2012, 2024; Iyer et al. 2015; Motta et al. 2015). Meanwhile, the origin of type-B QPOs has also been attributed to the corona (Gao et al. 2014; Motta et al. 2015; García et al. 2021; Belloni et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2023), although Fender et al. (2009), Radhika & Nandi (2014), Radhika et al. (2016), Harikrishna & Sriram (2022), and Zhang et al. (2023) suggest a potential link between type-B QPOs and the radio jets. This emphasizes the crucial role of the corona in driving both QPO variability and jet ejections, and hence, we investigate how the time-lag varies with F_{nth} (see Fig. 7). In low-inclination sources, type-C and type-B QPOs generally show positive lags, whereas type-A QPOs exhibit both positive and negative lags. In high-inclination sources, type-C QPOs display both positive and

negative lags depending on the Comptonized emission, while Type-C* and type-A QPOs predominantly exhibit negative lags. Type-B QPOs having similar F_{nth} as that of type-A QPO shows both positive and negative lag. Our results align with the finding of den Eijnden et al. (2017) for type-C QPOs and type-A QPOs, which suggests that the time lag of type-C QPOs is influenced by the source inclination, except for Swift J1727.8–1613, GRO J1655–40, XTE J1550–564 and 2003 outburst of H1743–322. In contrast, the time lag for type-A QPO appears to be independent of sources inclination. For type-B QPOs, the time lag does show an inclination dependence, particularly low-inclination sources exhibit positive lags, while high-inclination sources display both positive and negative lags.

It is worth mentioning that positive time lags are generally attributed due to the inverse Comptonization of soft photons in the corona Reig et al. (2000), while negative time lags are associated with multiple physical processes, including Compton down-scattering in the corona Reig et al. (2000), reprocessing of hard photons in the accretion disc Uttley et al. (2014); Karpouzas et al. (2020), and gravitational bending Dutta & Chakrabarti (2016); Chatterjee et al. (2017). Meanwhile, Dutta & Chakrabarti (2016) argued that a larger Comptonizing region reduces QPO frequency, thereby increasing the time lag. The observed rise in positive time lags with increasing Comptonized emissions strongly suggests that the size of the corona significantly influences the time lag characteristics. We find that the positive time lags of type-C QPOs generally increase with energy, while negative time lags show marginal variation (see Fig. 8). The increase in positive time lag with energy suggests that as seed photons are reprocessed for longer time in the corona, emergent radiations gain energy through up-scattering, resulting in longer delays.

Furthermore, for type-C QPOs, up-scattered photons directly reach the observer in low-inclination sources. For high-inclination sources, the initially large corona produces up-scattered X-ray photons through inverse Compton scattering. Most of these up-scattered photons escape without interacting significantly with the disc and leading to positive time lags. As the corona shrinks, a greater fraction of hard photons are redirected back toward the disc, where they interact with the thermalized disc, lose energy, and contribute to the softer X-ray emissions. This reprocessing mechanism eventually gives rise to negative lags. During the LHS and HIMS, the non-thermal flux fraction (F_{nth}) is high ($\gtrsim 0.8$), indicating a large coronal size in both low- and high-inclination systems. In low-inclination sources, as the corona begins to shrink during HIMS ($F_{\text{nth}} \sim 0.65–0.7$), the lag remains positive. Under similar conditions, however, high-inclination sources begin to show negative lags, suggesting more efficient reprocessing due to the increased interaction between coronal photons and the disc. When F_{nth} decreases further ($\lesssim 0.5$) and the corona becomes more radially compact, both low- and high-inclination sources exhibit negative lags. Interestingly, type-A QPOs are generally associated with minimal hard X-ray emissions compared to other QPOs and show a negative lag, except one observation in 2002 outburst of GX 339–4, suggesting that they originate from a smaller corona. In contrast, type-B QPOs, which appeared with Comptonized emissions similar to type-A QPOs but significantly lower than type-C QPOs, show positive lag for low-inclination sources and both positive and negative lags for high-inclination sources. With this, we argue that the corona associated with type-B QPOs is likely to differ in geometry from that of type-C QPOs, possibly being vertically elongated as it appears to be connected with radio ejections. This finding also corroborates the previous studies (Belloni et al. 2020; Homan et al. 2020; García et al. 2021; Méndez et al. 2022; Ma et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2023).




Figure 12. Schematic representation of disc-jet scenarios: (I) Corona is radially extended and large in size, (II) Corona is radially extended but smaller in size, (III) Radial extent of corona is reduced and begins to elongate vertically, and (IV) Radial extent of corona is small and extends vertically. Soft photons reaching the observer directly from the disc are marked in green, while reprocessed photons are shown in blue. Hard photons reaching the observer from the radial corona is marked in yellow. Hard photons originating from the vertical corona, reaching the observer is shown in red. Soft photons incident on the corona from the disc and hard photons from corona to disc are shown in black. In (I), (II), (III) and (IV), the double headed arrows in the radial corona represent the oscillation of the corona causing type-C, type-A and type-B (negative lag) QPOs. In (IV), the dotted line marks the black hole's spin axis, while the dashed line represents the misaligned jet axis, causing the precession. See the text for details.

This study indicates that type-A QPOs, which exhibit negative time lags, act as precursors to radio ejections in sources such as XTE J1752–223, XTE J1859+226, H1743–322, XTE J1550–564, and MAXI J1535–571, and may serve as potential indicators of changes in the coronal geometry. Notably, when type-B QPOs are observed with positive lags, a vertically elongated corona emerges leading to jet ejections in the form of radio flares (see also Stevens & Uttley 2016; Belloni et al. 2020; Chatterjee et al. 2020; Kylafis et al. 2020; García et al. 2021; Harikrishna & Sriram 2022; Liu et al. 2022; Méndez et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2023). In contrast, type-B QPOs exhibiting negative lags are likely produced by a radially compact corona that is in the process of transitioning toward a vertical geometry, which possibly later results in jet ejection. The decrease in time lag of type-B QPOs with increasing radio and X-ray fluxes (Fig. 9) for both low- and high-inclination sources further substantiates the scenario in which the radial extent of the corona diminishes as more coronal mass is redirected vertically, eventually leading to discrete jet ejection. This interpretation is further supported by the consistent disappearance of QPOs following such type-B events, although concurrent radio observations are not always available to confirm jet activity.

6.2 Possible disc configurations with source inclination

Following the discussions in the previous section, we explore the possible configuration of the disc-jet geometry in the context of time lag behaviour and Comptonized emissions for type-A, type-B and type-C QPOs, as shown in Fig. 12. Four different possible disc-jet configurations are identified as delineated below.

(i) Configuration-I (Large Radially Extended Corona): A large radially extended corona leads to minimal reprocessing of up-scattered photons in the disc for both high and low-inclination sources resulting in positive lags for type-C QPOs with high normalized Comptonized flux.

(ii) Configuration-II (Small Radially Extended Corona): Reduced coronal size increases the possibility of reprocessing of hard photons in the disc causing negative lags in high-inclination sources. The time lags remain positive in low-inclination sources as the effect of reprocessing remains weaker.

(iii) Configuration-III (Radially and Vertically Compact Corona): As the radial extent of the corona shrinks and it begins to elongate vertically, reprocessing of hard photons in the disc increases regardless of the source inclination, resulting in negative lag. The modulation of the radially compact corona gives rise to type-A QPO, which seems to act as precursors to the subsequent jet ejections.

(iv) Configuration-IV (Vertically Elongated Corona or Radially Compact Corona): The vertical elongation of the corona reduces the possibility of interaction between up-scattered photons and the disc, leading to a positive lag of type-B QPOs. This happens irrespective to the source inclinations. The presence of radio flares near type-B QPOs along with the reduced Comptonized X-ray flux further substantiates the concept of a vertically extended corona. When such a elongated corona precesses around the black hole's rotation axis, it could modulate the emerging hard radiation, leading to the formation of type-B QPOs. Moreover, in high-inclination sources, type-B QPOs with negative time lags possibly arise when up-scattered photons from the radially compact corona undergo additional reprocessing after interacting with the disc before reaching the observer.

We illustrate all four disc-jet configurations in Fig. 12, where the arrows marked with numbers represent the different photon paths. For example, (1) soft photons from the Keplerian disc incident upon the corona, (2) up-scattered photons reaching the observer at low-inclination, (3) up-scattered photons observed at high-inclination, (4) soft photons from the disc reaching the observer at low-inclination, (5) soft photons reaching the observer at high-inclination, (6) up-scattered photons returning to the disc, (7) reprocessed photons reaching the observer at low-inclination, and (8) reprocessed photons reaching the observer at high-inclination. (9) Soft photons interacting with the vertically extended corona, (10) up-scattered photons reaching a low-inclination observer from the vertically elongated corona, and (11) up-scattered photons reaching a high-inclination observer from the same vertically elongated corona. To distinguish between reprocessed soft photons and direct photons from the disc, we denote those reaching the observer in blue and green, respectively. The up-scattered photons reaching the observers from radially extended corona is shown in yellow, whereas photons reaching the observer from vertically elongated corona is shown in red. We show all other arrows in black.

6.3 Jet velocity: role of mass accretion and spin

We utilize the spectro-temporal properties of BH-XRBs under consideration to estimate their jet velocities. In doing so, we constrain the source spin and compute the disc radiative efficiency (η_{acc} ; Thorne 1974; Hobson et al. 2006) while obtaining the jet velocity (β) as a function of ε . The details of the estimated β and ε for different observations across all sources are provided in Table 3.

We observe that β generally increases with ε (see Fig. 10) and the estimated β values (see Table 3) are in good agreement with previously reported values measured from the proper motion of jet ejecta in different sources (Corbel et al. (2005; Miller-Jones et al. 2011, 2012; Hannikainen et al. 2009). We notice that in harder states (LHS and HIMS), jet velocity is sub-relativistic ($\lesssim 0.3c$) in nature, while in SIMS, it appears to be moderately relativistic ($\gtrsim 0.3 - 0.8c$) in presence of enhanced X-ray and radio luminosities, and sub-relativistic ($\lesssim 0.3c$) when luminosities are low. Furthermore, the correlation between intrinsic radio and X-ray luminosities (see Fig. 11), indicating that higher mass accretion rates lead to more powerful jets. This possibly indicates a weak dependence between jet velocity and black hole spin while showing a much stronger correlation with accretion rates, in line with earlier studies (Fender et al. 2010; Russell et al. 2013; Fender & Gallo 2014; Aktar et al. 2015).

Furthermore, we investigate the relationship between jet velocity (β) and bolometric X-ray luminosity (L_X) during SIMS, where L_X is generally higher than in HIMS. Following the approach of Curran (2014), we generate 10^6 synthetic data sets using a bootstrap Monte Carlo method. Each data set is constructed by sampling with replacement from the original β and L_X values. To incorporate measurement uncertainties, each sampled point is perturbed using a normal distribution centred on the sampled value with a standard deviation equal to its observational error. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 13, where the best fitted dashed line corresponds to $\log \beta = (0.33 \pm 0.11) \log L_X - (0.37 \pm 0.06)$. Different symbols represent individual sources, and the colour bar denotes the corresponding F_{nth} values. The shaded regions indicate the 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ confidence intervals, with increasing transparency. The Pearson correlation coefficient ~ 0.52 indicates a moderate positive correlation, suggesting that higher X-ray luminosity (equivalently




Figure 13. Correlation between X-ray luminosity and jet velocity. The dashed line represents the best-fitting regression, while the shaded regions indicate the 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ confidence intervals. The colour bar encodes values of F_{nth} . Individual sources under consideration are marked using different symbols. See the text for details.

mass accretion rate) is associated with faster jet velocities (β) during SIMS.

Finally, we mention that the present formalism bears limitations. The Doppler correction is expected to depend on both inclination (i) and jet velocity (β). Moreover, the assumption that the changes in normalized Comptonized flux during radio flaring events directly correspond to ε may be overly simplistic, as these flux variations could also reflect intrinsic changes in the accretion rate, rather than solely the outflow. Despite of all these, we argue that the basic conclusions of this work are expected to remain qualitatively unchanged.

We summarize the key findings of this study in the context of disc-jet connection in BH-XRBs below:

(i) Strong Comptonized emissions with a radially extended corona appear to exhibit type-C QPOs in the BH-XRBs under consideration. Type-C QPOs show positive time lags for low-inclination sources, while negative time lags are observed for high-inclination sources, with the exception of Swift J1727.8–1613, XTE J1550–564, GRO J1655–40, and H1743–322 (2003 outburst).

(ii) Generally, type-A QPOs exhibit negative time lags with minimal Comptonized emissions regardless of source inclination angles. Our results indicate that type-A QPOs precede radio flares in several BH-XRBs, including XTE J1752–223, XTE J1859+226, H1743–322, XTE J1550–564, and MAXI J1535–571. While this association points to a possible connection between type-A QPOs and jet ejection events, their infrequent detection calls for further observations to establish a robust relationship.

(iii) Type-B QPOs are typically observed in the SIMS or during the spectral state transition from HIMS to SIMS with weak Comptonized emissions similar to type-A QPOs. We observed that type-B QPOs are strongly associated with radio flares and exhibit positive lag for low-inclination sources and both positive and negative lags for high-inclination sources. This strongly suggests that type-B QPOs are linked to the geometry of a vertically extended corona.

(iv) Our findings demonstrate a strong correlation between the observed radio and X-ray luminosities, providing compelling evidence

that jets are predominantly powered by accretion. We observe that during SIMS, the jet velocity appears to be moderately relativistic ($\gtrsim 0.3 - 0.8 c$) when both X-ray and radio luminosities are high, and remains sub-relativistic ($\lesssim 0.3 c$) when the luminosities are low for the BH-XRBs under consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the reviewer for detailed and constructive comments that help to improve the quality of the manuscript. SDC and SD thank the Department of Physics, IIT Guwahati, for providing the facilities to complete this work. SDC also acknowledges the financial support provided by Prime Minister Research Fellowship program to carry out this work. BGR thanks Gifu University, Japan for support. AN thanks GH, SAG; DD, PDMSA, and Director, URSC for encouragement and continuous support to carry out this research. This research has made use of data obtained from High Energy Astrophysics Archive Research Center (HEASARC) online services, provided by NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center.

7 DATA AVAILABILITY

The data utilized in this work are available on the websites <https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/W3Browse/w3browse.pl> and <https://archive.hxmt.cn/proposal> for *RXTE* and *HXMT*, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Abdulghani Y., Lohfink A. M., Chauhan J., 2024, *MNRAS*, 530, 424
 Agrawal P. C., 2017, *JA&A*, 38, 27
 Agrawal P. C. et al., 2017, *JA&A*, 38, 30
 Aktar R., Das S., Nandi A., 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 3414
 Aktar R., Das S., Nandi A., Sreehari H., 2017, *MNRAS*, 471, 4806
 Aktar R., Nandi A., Das S., 2019, *Ap&SS*, 364, 22
 Aneesha U., Mandal S., Sreehari H., 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 2705
 Aneesha U., Das S., Katoch T. B., Nandi A., 2024, *MNRAS*, 532, 4486
 Antia H. M. et al., 2017, *ApJS*, 231, 10
 Baby B. E., Agrawal V. K., Ramadevi M. C., Katoch T., Antia H. M., Mandal S., Nandi A., 2020, *MNRAS*, 497, 1197
 Banerjee S. et al., 2024, *ApJ*, 964, 189
 Bardeen J. M., Press W. H., Teukolsky S. A., 1972, *ApJ*, 178, 347
 Belloni T., 2004, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.*, 132, 337
 Belloni T., Hasinger G., 1990, *A&A*, 230, 103
 Belloni T., Homan J., Casella P., van der Klis M., Nespoli E., Lewin W. H. G., Miller J. M., Méndez M., 2005, *A&A*, 440, 207
 Belloni T. M., Motta S. E., Muñoz-Darias T., 2011, *Bull. Astron. Soc. India*, 39, 409
 Belloni T. M., Zhang L., Kylafis N. D., Reig P., Altamirano D., 2020, *MNRAS*, 496, 4366
 Bhuvana G. R., Radhika D., Agrawal V. K., Mandal S., Nandi A., 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 5457
 Bhuvana G. R., Aneesha U., Radhika D., Agrawal V. K., Mandal S., Katoch T., Nandi A., 2023, *MNRAS*, 520, 5828
 Blandford R. D., Znajek R. L., 1977, *MNRAS*, 179, 433
 Bogenberger D. et al., 2020, *A&A*, 641, A101
 Bright J. S. et al., 2020, *Nat. Astron.*, 4, 697
 Brocksopp C. et al., 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 765
 Brocksopp C., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Fender R. P., Stappers B. W., 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 1111
 Brocksopp C., Corbel S., Tzioumis A., Broderick J. W., Rodriguez J., Yang J., Fender R. P., Paragi Z., 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 931
 Cao X. et al., 2020, *Sci. China: Phys. Mech. Astron.*, 63, 249504
 Carotenuto F. et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, 504, 444
 Casella P., Belloni T., Homan J., Stella L., 2004, *A&A*, 426, 587
 Casella P., Belloni T., Stella L., 2005, *ApJ*, 629, 403
 Chakrabarti S. K., 1999, *A&A*, 351, 185
 Chakrabarti S., Titarchuk L. G., 1995, *ApJ*, 455, 623
 Chatterjee A., Chakrabarti S. K., Ghosh H., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 3902
 Chatterjee A., Dutta B. G., Nandi P., Chakrabarti S. K., 2020, *MNRAS*, 497, 4222
 Chattopadhyay I., 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 145
 Chauhan J. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, L129
 Chen J., Wang W., 2024, *MNRAS*, 527, 238
 Chen W., Shrader C. R., Livio M., 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 312
 Chen Y. et al., 2020, *Sci. China: Phys. Mech. Astron.*, 63, 249505
 Corbel S., Nowak M. A., Fender R. P., Tzioumis A. K., Markoff S., 2003, *A&A*, 400, 1007
 Corbel S., Fender R. P., Tomsick J. A., Tzioumis A. K., Tingay S., 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 1272
 Corbel S., Kaaret P., Fender R. P., Tzioumis A. K., Tomsick J. A., Orosz J. A., 2005, *ApJ*, 632, 504
 Corbel S., Coriat M., Brocksopp C., Tzioumis A. K., Fender R. P., Tomsick J. A., Buxton M. M., Bailyn C. D., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 2500
 Corral-Santana J. M., Casares J., Shahbaz T., Zurita C., Martínez-Pais I. G., Rodríguez-Gil P., 2013, in *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica Conference Series*, 42, p.3
 Corral-Santana J. M., Casares J., Muñoz-Darias T., Bauer F. E., Martínez-Pais I. G., Russell D. M., 2016, *A&A*, 587, A61
 Curran P. A., 2014, preprint ([arXiv:1411.3816](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.3816))
 Das S., Chattopadhyay I., Nandi A., Chakrabarti S. K., 2001, *A&A*, 379, 683
 Das S., Nandi A., Stalin C. S., Rakshit S., Dihingia I. K., Singh S., Aktar R., Mitra S., 2022, *MNRAS*, 514, 1940
 Davis S. W., El-Abd S., 2019, *ApJ*, 874, 23
 Davis S. W., Blaes O. M., Hubeny I., Turner N. J., 2005, *ApJ*, 621, 372
 Debnath D., Chatterjee K., Chatterjee D., Jana A., Chakrabarti S. K., 2021, *MNRAS*, 504, 4242
 Dhawan V., Mirabel I. F., Rodríguez L. F., 2000, *ApJ*, 543, 373
 Done C., Gierliński M., Kubota A., 2007, *A&AR*, 15, 1
 Dong Y., García J. A., Steiner J. F., Gou L., 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 4409
 Draghis P. A., Miller J. M., Costantini E., Gallo L. C., Reynolds M., Tomsick J. A., Zoghbi A., 2024, *ApJ*, 969, 40
 Dutta B. G., Chakrabarti S. K., 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 101
 Ebisawa K. et al., 1994, *PASJ*, 46, 375
 Espinasse M. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 895, L31
 Fender R., 2001a, *Ap&SS*, 276, 69
 Fender R. P., 2001b, *MNRAS*, 322, 31
 Fender R., Gallo E., 2014, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 183, 323
 Fender R. P., Garrington S. T., McKay D. J., Muxlow T. W. B., Pooley G. G., Spencer R. E., Stirling A. M., Waltman E. B., 1999, *Astrophys. Lett. Commun.*, 38, 229
 Fender R. P., Belloni T. M., Gallo E., 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 1105
 Fender R. P., Homan J., Belloni T. M., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 1370
 Fender R. P., Gallo E., Russell D., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 1425
 Fürst F. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 122
 Gallo E., Fender R. P., Pooley G. G., 2003, in *Durouchoux P., Fuchs Y., Rodriguez J.eds, New Views on Microquasars*. p. 209 preprint ([arXiv:astro-ph/0207551](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0207551)), Center for Space Physics, Kolkata, India
 Gandhi P., Rao A., Johnson M. A. C., Paice J. A., Maccarone T. J., 2019, *MNRAS*, 485, 2642
 Gao H. Q. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 341
 García J. A. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 25
 García F., Méndez M., Karpouzas K., Belloni T., Zhang L., Altamirano D., 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 3173
 Grebenev S. A., Mereminskiy I. A., Prosvetov A. V., Ducci L., Bozzo E., Savchenko V., Ferrigno C., 2018, *Astron. Telegram*, 11306, 1
 Greene J., Bailyn C. D., Orosz J. A., 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 1290
 Hannikainen D., Campbell-Wilson D., Hunstead R., McIntyre V., Lovell J., Reynolds J., Tzioumis T., Wu K., 2001, *Ap&SS*, 276, 45
 Hannikainen D. C. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 569
 Harikrishna S., Sriram K., 2022, *MNRAS*, 516, 5148
 Heida M., Jonker P. G., Torres M. A. P., Chiavassa A., 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 132
 Hjellming R. M., Rupen M. P., 1995, *Nature*, 375, 464

- Hobson M. P., Efstathiou G. P., Lasenby A. N., 2006, *The Kerr Geometry*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 310
- Homan J., Belloni T., 2005, *Ap&SS*, 300, 107
- Homan J., Wijnands R., van der Klis M., Belloni T., van Paradijs J., Klein-Wolt M., Fender R., Méndez M., 2001, *ApJ*, 132, 377
- Homan J., Wijnands R., Kong A., Miller J. M., Rossi S., Belloni T., Lewin W. H. G., 2006, *MNRAS*, 366, 235
- Homan J. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 891, L29
- Huppenkothen D. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 881, 39
- Hynes R. I., Haswell C. A., Chaty S., Shrader C. R., Cui W., 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 169
- Ingram A., Done C., Fragile P. C., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, L101
- Islam N., Zdziarski A. A., 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 4513
- Iyer N., Nandi A., Mandal S., 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 108
- Jonker P. G., Nelemans G., 2004, *MNRAS*, 354, 355
- Jonker P. G. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 1255
- Joshi R. K., Debnath S., Chattopadhyay I., 2022, *ApJ*, 933, 75
- Kalemcı E., Tomsick J. A., Buxton M. M., Rothschild R. E., Pottschmidt K., Corbel S., Brocksopp C., Kaaret P., 2005, *ApJ*, 622, 508
- Karpouzas K., Méndez M., Ribeiro E. M., Altamirano D., Blaes O., García F., 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 1399
- Kumar R., Chattopadhyay I., 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 386
- Kuulkers E. et al., 2000, *A&A*, 358, 993
- Kylafis N. D., Reig P., Papadakis I., 2020, *A&A*, 640, L16
- Kylafis N. D., Reig P., Tsouros A., 2023, *A&A*, 679, A81
- Liu C. et al., 2020, *Sci. China: Phys. Mech. Astron.*, 63, 249503
- Liu H. X. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 938, 108
- Longair M. S., 2011, *High Energy Astrophysics*, Cambridge University Press
- Ma R., Méndez M., García F., Sai N., Zhang L., Zhang Y., 2023, *MNRAS*, 525, 854
- Ma R. et al., 2024, *MNRAS*, 528, 3864
- Maccarone T. J., Coppi P. S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 338, 189
- Majumder P., Dutta B. G., Nandi A., 2024, *MNRAS*, 527, 4739
- Makishima K., Maejima Y., Mitsuda K., Bradt H. V., Remillard R. A., Tuohy I. R., Hoshi R., Nakagawa M., 1986, *ApJ*, 308, 635
- Mandal S., Chakrabarti S. K., 2005, *A&A*, 434, 839
- Markwardt C. B., Swank J. H., 2005, *Astron. Telegram*, 414, 1
- Markwardt C. B. et al., 2009, *Astron. Telegram*, 2258, 1
- Mata Sanchez D., Torres M. A. P., Casares J., Munoz-Darias T., Armas Padilla M., Yanes-Rizo I. V., 2025, *A&A*, 693, A129
- McClintock J. E., Shafee R., Narayan R., Remillard R. A., Davis S. W., Li L.-X., 2006, *ApJ*, 652, 518
- McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., Rupen M. P., Torres M. A. P., Steeghs D., Levine A. M., Orosz J. A., 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1398
- McClintock J. E., Narayan R., Steiner J. F., 2014, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 183, 295
- Méndez M., Karpouzas K., García F., Zhang L., Zhang Y., Belloni T., Altamirano D., 2022, *Nat. Astron.*, 6, 761
- Miller J. M., Remillard R. A., 2002, *Astron. Telegram*, 98, 1
- Miller-Jones J. C. A., Fender R. P., Nakar E., 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 1432
- Miller-Jones J. C. A. et al., 2009, *Astron. Telegram*, 2062, 1
- Miller-Jones J. C. A., Jonker P. G., Ratti E. M., Torres M. A. P., Brocksopp C., Yang J., Morrell N. I., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 306
- Miller-Jones J. C. A. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 468
- Miller J. M. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 860, L28
- Mirabel I. F., Rodríguez L. F., 1994, *Nature*, 371, 46
- Mirabel I. F., Rodríguez L. F., 1999, *ARA&A*, 37, 409
- Mirabel I. F., Dhawan V., Chaty S., Rodríguez L. F., Marti J., Robinson C. R., Swank J., Geballe T., 1998, *A&A*, 330, L9
- Miyamoto S., Kitamoto S., Mitsuda K., Dotani T., 1988, *Nature*, 336, 450
- Molla A. A., Chakrabarti S. K., Debnath D., Mondal S., 2017, *ApJ*, 834, 88
- Monageng I. M., Motta S. E., Fender R., Yu W., Woudt P. A., Tremou E., Miller-Jones J. C. A., van der Horst A. J., 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 5776
- Mondal S., 2009, *ApJ*, 708, 1442
- Mondal S., Jithesh V., 2023, *MNRAS*, 522, 2065
- Morgan E., Swank J., Markwardt C., Gehrels N., 2005, *Astron. Telegram*, 550, 1
- Motta S., Belloni T., Homan J., 2009, *MNRAS*, 400, 1603
- Motta S., Muñoz-Darias T., Belloni T., 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 1796
- Motta S. E., Casella P., Henze M., Muñoz-Darias T., Sanna A., Fender R., Belloni T., 2015, *MNRAS*, 447, 2059
- Motta S. E., Belloni T., Stella L., Pappas G., Casares J., Muñoz-Darias A. T., Torres M. A. P., Yanes-Rizo I. V., 2022, *MNRAS*, 517, 1469
- Muñoz-Darias T., Motta S., Pawar D., Belloni T. M., Campana S., Bhat-tacharya D., 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, L94
- Nandi A., Manickam S. G., Rao A. R., Chakrabarti S. K., 2001, *MNRAS*, 324, 267
- Nandi A., Debnath D., Mandal S., Chakrabarti S. K., 2012, *A&A*, 542, A56
- Nandi A. et al., 2018, *Ap&SS*, 363, 90
- Nandi A., Das S., Majumder S., Katoch T., Antia H. M., Shah P., 2024, *MNRAS*, 531, 1149
- Narayan R., McClintock J. E., 2013, preprint (arXiv:1312.6698)
- Negoro H. et al., 2017, *Astron. Telegram*, 10699, 1
- Negoro H. et al., 2023, *Astron. Telegram*, 16205, 1
- Neustroev V. V., Veledina A., Poutanen J., Zharikov S. V., Tsygankov S. S., Sjöberg G., Kajava J. J. E., 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 2424
- Nowak M. A., Vaughan B. A., Wilms J., Dove J. B., Begelman M. C., 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 874
- Orosz J. A., Jain R. K., Bailyn C. D., McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., 1998, *ApJ*, 499, 375
- Orosz J. A., McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., Corbel S., 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 376
- Orosz J. A., Steiner J. F., McClintock J. E., Torres M. A. P., Remillard R. A., Bailyn C. D., Miller J. M., 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 75
- Park S. Q. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 610, 378
- Parker M. L. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 821, L6
- Payne D. G., 1980, *ApJ*, 237, 951
- Peirano V., Méndez M., García F., Belloni T., 2023, *MNRAS*, 519, 1336
- Peng J.-Q. et al., 2024, *ApJ*, 960, L17
- Peters W. M., Polisensky E., Clarke T. E., Giacintucci S., Kassim N. E., 2023, *Astron. Telegram*, 16279, 1
- Ponti G., Fender R. P., Begelman M. C., Dunn R. J. H., Neilsen J., Coriat M., 2012, *MNRAS*, 422, L11
- Radhika D., Nandi A., 2014, *Adv. Space Res.*, 54, 1678
- Radhika D., Nandi A., Agrawal V. K., Seetha S., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 4403
- Radhika D., Sreehari H., Nandi A., Iyer N., Mandal S., 2018, *Ap&SS*, 363, 189
- Reig P., Belloni T., van der Klis M., Méndez M., Kylafis N. D., Ford E. C., 2000, *ApJ*, 541, 883
- Remillard R., 2003, *Astron. Telegram*, 138, 1
- Remillard R. A., McClintock J. E., 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 49
- Remillard R. A., Sobczak G. J., Muno M. P., McClintock J. E., 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 962
- Riemann B., 2004, *Collected Papers*, German edn. Kendrick Press, Heber City, UT
- Rodríguez J., Varnière P., 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 79
- Russell D. M., Gallo E., Fender R. P., 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 405
- Russell T. D. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 883, 198
- Russell D. M., Casella P., Kalemcı E., Vahdat Motlagh A., Saikia P., Pirbhoy S. F., Maitra D., 2020, *MNRAS*, 495, 182
- Rutledge R., Fox D., Smith D. A., 1998, *Astron. Telegram*, 37, 1
- Rybicki G. B., Lightman A. P., 1979, *Radiative Processes in Astrophysics*, Wiley-VCH; 1st edition (15 May 1985)
- Shafee R., McClintock J. E., Narayan R., Davis S. W., Li L., Remillard R. A., 2006, *ApJL*, 636, L113
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
- Shapiro S. L., Teukolsky S. A., 1983, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars. The Physics of Compact Objects*. Wiley, New York
- Shaposhnikov N., Swank J., Shrader C. R., Rupen M., Beckmann V., Markwardt C. B., Smith D. A., 2007, *ApJ*, 655, 434
- Shaposhnikov N., Markwardt C., Swank J., Krimm H., 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 1817
- Shaw A. W., Charles P. A., Casares J., Hernández Santisteban J. V., 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 1314
- Shidatsu M. et al., 2011, *PASJ*, 63, S785
- Singh K. P. et al., 2017, *JA&A*, 38, 29
- Slaný P., Stuchlík Z., 2008, *A&A*, 492, 319
- Smith D. A., 1999, *Astron. Telegram*, 47, 1

- Smith D. A., Levine A., Wood A., 1998, *Astron. Telegram*, 25, 1
- Smith D. M., Belloni T., Heindl W. A., Kalemci E., Remillard R., Nowak M., Swank J. H., Corbel S., 2002, *Astron. Telegram*, 95, 1
- Sobczak G. J., McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., Levine A. M., Morgan E. H., Bailyn C. D., Orosz J. A., 1999, *ApJ*, 517, L121
- Soldi S., Miller J., Kuulkers E., Caballero-Garcia M. D., Diaz Trigo M., 2007, *Astron. Telegram*, 986, 1
- Soleri P. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 1471
- Sreehari H., Nandi A., 2021, *MNRAS*, 502, 1334
- Sreehari H., Nandi A., Radhika D., Iyer N., Mandal S., 2018, *JA&A*, 39, 5
- Sreehari H., Ravishankar B. T., Iyer N., Agrawal V. K., Katoch T. B., Mandal S., Nandi A., 2019, *MNRAS*, 487, 928
- Sridhar N., Bhattacharyya S., Chandra S., Antia H. M., 2019, *MNRAS*, 487, 4221
- Sriram K., Harikrishna S., Choi C. S., 2021, *ApJ*, 911, 127
- Steiner J. F., Narayan R., McClintock J. E., Ebisawa K., 2009, *PASP*, 121, 1279
- Steiner J. F. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 941
- Steiner J. F., McClintock J. E., Reid M. J., 2012, *ApJ*, 745, L7
- Stevens A. L., Uttley P., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 2796
- Tanaka Y., Shibazaki N., 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 607
- Tetarenko B. E., Sivakoff G. R., Heinke C. O., Gladstone J. C., 2016, *ApJS*, 222, 15
- Thorne K. S., 1974, *ApJ*, 191, 507
- Titarchuk L., Fiorito R., 2004, *ApJ*, 612, 988
- Tomsick J. A., Kaaret P., 2000, *ApJ*, 537, 448
- Tomsick J. A., Kalemci E., Corbel S., Kaaret P., 2002, *IAU Circ.*, 7837, 3
- Uttley P., Cackett E. M., Fabian A. C., Kara E., Wilkins D. R., 2014, *A&AR*, 22, 72
- Vadawale S. V., Rao A. R., Chakrabarti S. K., 2001, *A&A*, 372, 793
- Varnière P., Tagger M., Rodriguez J., 2012, *A&A*, 545, A40
- van den Eijnden J., Ingram A., Uttley P., Motta S. E., Belloni T. M., Gardenier D. W., 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 2643
- van der Klis M., 1988, in Ögelman H., van den Heuvel E. P. J.eds, *NATO Advanced Science Institutes (ASI) Series C Vol. 262, NATO Advanced Science Institutes (ASI) Series C*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, p. 27
- Wijnands R., Homan J., van der Klis M., 1999, *ApJ*, 526, L33
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 914
- Wood C. M. et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 3393
- Wood C. M. et al., 2024, *ApJ*, 971, L9
- Xu Y. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 18
- Yadav J. S. et al., 2016, in den Herder J.-W. A., Takahashi T., Bautz M.eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9905, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 99051D
- Yamaoka K. et al., 2010, *Astron. Telegram*, 2380, 1
- Yamaoka K. et al., 2012, *PASJ*, 64, 32
- Yanes-Rizo I. V. et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, 517, 1476
- Yang Z.-X. et al., 2024, *ApJ*, 970, L33
- Yu W. et al., 2024, *MNRAS*, 529, 4624
- Zdziarski A. A., Johnson W. N., Magdziarz P., 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 193
- Zdziarski A. A., Ziolkowski J., Mikołajewska J., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 1026
- Zdziarski A. A., Szanecki M., Poutanen J., Gierliński M., Biernacki P., 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 5234
- Zhang S., Lu F. J., Zhang S. N., Li T. P., 2014, in Takahashi T., den Herder J.-W. A., Bautz M.eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9144, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 914421
- Zhang Y. et al., 2023, *MNRAS*, 520, 5144
- Zurita C. et al., 2002, *MNRAS*, 334, 999

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.