

Defⁿ: A set $E \subset X$ is said to be μ^* -measurable (or invisible) if (62)

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E),$$

$\forall A \subseteq X$. Subadditivity

By countable additivity we only need to verify

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E),$$

(1)

Since (1) is symmetric in E & E' , it follows that if E is invisible then E' will also invisible.

Let M_{μ^*} denote the class of all μ^* -measurable sets in X . Then $\emptyset, X \in M_{\mu^*}$.

If $\mu^*(E) = 0$, then for any $A \subseteq X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &\geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E) \\ &= 0 + \mu^*(A \setminus E). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E \in M_{\mu^*}$.

The space M_{μ^*} is a complete measure space wif $\mu^*(E) = 0$.

then $\mu^*(F) = 0$, $\forall F \subseteq E$. Hence $F \in M_{\mu^*}$.

Let $\mu = \mu|_{M_{\mu^*}}$. Then we will show

that μ is countably additive on M_{μ^*} .

Before proving this assertion, we need to show that μ_{ut} is closed under countable union. For this, let $E_1, E_2 \in \mu_{\text{ut}}$.

Then $E_1, E_2 \in \mu^*$. The proof is same as done in lemma (P.58) for μ^* .

$$\text{Thus, } E_1 \cup E_2 = (E_1^c \cap E_2^c)^c \in \mu^*$$

By induction, it follows that if $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are misible, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \in \mu_{\text{ut}}$.

Lemma: If $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a disjoint family of sets in μ_{ut} , then for any $A \subseteq X$,

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_i)$$

Proof: Since $E_i \in \mu_{\text{ut}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{*} \quad \mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_2), \\ \forall A \subseteq X. \text{ Replace } A &\mapsto A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \text{ in } \textcircled{*}. \text{ Then} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) &= \mu^*\{(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) \cap E_1\} \\ &\quad + \mu^*\{A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \setminus E_1\} \\ &= \mu^*(A \cap E_1) + \mu^*(A \cap E_2), \end{aligned}$$

(Since $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$).

Hence by induction, the above lemma follows

Further, if $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is disjoint family in M_{μ^*} ,
then by monotone property of μ^* , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)) &\geq \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \mu^*(A \cap E_i), \quad (\text{by previous lemma}).\end{aligned}\tag{64}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i).$$

By countable subadditivity of μ^* , it follows that

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{X}.$$

for $A = X$, we get

$$\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_i).$$

Thus, μ^* is countably additive on M_{μ^*} .

Cor.: If $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a family in M_{μ^*} ,
then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in M_{\mu^*}$.

Proof: Let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. Define $E'_i = E_i \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq i}^{\infty} E_k$.

Then $E'_i \in M_{\mu^*}$ (by finite case),

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E'_i, \quad E'_i \cap E'_j = \emptyset, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Hence, w.l.g., we can assume that

$\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a disjoint family in Max and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. Let $E_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$. 65

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap E_n) + \mu^*(A \cap E_n^c) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \\ &\quad (\text{by previous lemma}).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(A) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_i) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \\ &= \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \text{Max}$ for any family

$\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \text{Max}$. Thus, Max is a σ -algebra.

Notice that

$$\mu : \text{Max} \rightarrow [0, \infty] \text{ s.t.}$$

$$(i) \mu(\emptyset) = 0,$$

$$(ii) \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

Here, monotonicity of μ will be followed by (ii). $\mu(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$, for $A, B \in \text{Max}$ & $A \subset B$.

Thus, if \mathcal{S} is any σ -algebra of sets in X , then a set function

$$\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfies (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, (ii) $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$, it called a measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) .

Now, we will elaborate the idea of σ -algebra. 66

Defⁿ: let $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $S \subseteq P(X)$ be such that

$$(i) \emptyset \in S$$

$$(ii) A \in S \Rightarrow A^c \in S$$

$$(iii) \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset S \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in S.$$

Then S is called a σ -algebra on X and (X, S) is called measurable space with each member of S as measurable set.

Ex. $S_0 = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and $S_1 = P(X)$ are the smallest and the largest σ -algebra on X respectively.

Ex. For $X \neq \emptyset$, let $S = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$.

Then S is a σ -algebra.

Hence, $\emptyset, X \in S$, and if $A \in S \Rightarrow A^c \in S$.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset S$, and write

$$I_1 = \{i \in N : A_i \text{ countable}\} \quad &$$

$$I_2 = \{i \in N : A_i^c \text{ countable}\}.$$

Then $(\bigcup_{i \in N} A_i)^c = ((\bigcup_{i \in I_1} A_i) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in I_2} A_i^c))^c = (\bigcup_{i \in I_1} A_i) \cap (\bigcup_{i \in I_2} A_i^c)$
 $=$ Countable.

Ex. Let X be an infinite set. Then

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is finite}\}$$

is an algebra (i.e. closed under complement, and finite union, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$), but \mathcal{S} is not a σ -algebra.

(Hint: First do for $X = \mathbb{N}$, $A_n = \{2^n\}$.)

Write $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, X_1 - countable & X_2 infinite,

Ex. let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of sets in X . Then show that \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra iff \mathcal{A} is closed under countable increasing unions.

(i.e. $A_i \uparrow$, $A_i \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$).

(Hint: $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$, $B_i = A_i \cup \cup A_j$.)

σ -algebra generated by a family of sets.

Let $X \neq \emptyset$, $A \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{E} = \{A\} \subseteq P(X)$.

Then $\{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$ is a σ -algebra, & we write $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \{\emptyset, X, A, A^c\}$, the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{E} . However, if \mathcal{E} is a large family of sets, it is difficult to ...: innumerate the sigma algebra generated by \mathcal{E} . However, if \mathcal{E} is contained in many σ -algebras, like $P(X)$, the σ -algebras generated by \mathcal{E} will be $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma\{\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S} \text{, } \sigma\text{-algebra}\}$.

Hence, $\sigma(E)$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing E . Let $B(\mathbb{R})$ be the σ -algebra generated by all open sets. Then $B(\mathbb{R})$ can be (68) generated by any of the following collections.

- (i) $F_1 = \text{all closed sets in } \mathbb{R}$
- (ii) $F_2 = \{(-\infty, b] : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- (iii) $F_3 = \{(a, b] : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Let $B_i = \sigma(F_i)$; $i=1, 2, 3$. we prove that $B(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq B(\mathbb{R})$.

Since $B(\mathbb{R})$ contains all open sets & closed under complement, $B(\mathbb{R}) \supset F_1$. Given $B(\mathbb{R})$ is a σ -algebra, $B(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq \sigma(F_1)$.

As $(-\infty, b]$ is closed, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\supset F_2 \Rightarrow \sigma(F_1) \supseteq \sigma(F_2) \quad (\text{ex.}) \\ &\Rightarrow B_1 \supseteq B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, $(a, b] = (-\infty, b] \cap ((-\infty, a])^c$, we get

$$\sigma(F_2) \supset F_3 \Rightarrow B_2 \supseteq B_3.$$

Notice that $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b - \frac{1}{n}] \subset B_3 = \sigma(F_3)$.

Hence, each bounded open set of the form $O = \bigcup_{j=1}^n (g_j, b_j) \in \sigma(F_3)$.

Since $(-\infty, b] = (-\infty, a] \cup (a, b) \in \sigma(F_3)$, and
 similarly, $(a, \infty) \in \sigma(F_3)$. It follows that
 B_3 contains each open subset of \mathbb{R} . Thus,
 $B_3 \supseteq \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\text{o. open}\} = B(\mathbb{R})$. 69

If μ is a measure on the σ -algebra S
 of subsets of X , the (X, S, μ) is called
 measure space.

* (X, S, μ) is called finite measure space
 if $\mu(X) < \infty$.

* (X, S, μ) is called σ -finite measure space
 if X can be expressed as countable union
 of sets of finite measure.
 (i.e. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, $\mu(E_i) < \infty$, $\forall i$).

Ex. $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, m)$ is a σ -finite measure space
 but not finite measure space.

Ex. Let $Y \subset X$ & S be σ -algebras on X .
 Then $S|_Y = \{A \in S : A \cap Y \in \sigma\}$ is a σ -algebra
 which can be thought of relative σ -alg. of Y .

Ex. $([0, 1], \mathcal{M}/_{[0, 1]}, \mathcal{P}/_{[0, 1]})$ is a finite measure
 space.

Ex. $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$ with $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \#(A) & \text{if } A \text{ fin} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
 then μ is neither finite nor σ -finite.

Proposition: Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then (70)

- (i) \exists a σ -algⁿ in \mathcal{S} that satisfies σ -finite condition.
- (ii) \exists a disjoint seqⁿ in \mathcal{S} that satisfies σ -finite condition.

Proof: Given that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, $\mu(E_i) < \infty$.

(i) Let $F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$. Then $F_n \uparrow$ & $\mu(F_n) < \infty$,

and $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$.

(ii) Let $G_n = E_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i$, Then $X = \bigcup G_n$, $\mu(G_n) < \infty$ and $G_n \cap G_m = \emptyset$, if $n \neq m$.

Ex. Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space.

(i) If $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$, then for $\mu(F) < \infty$ and $F \subset E$, we have

$$\mu(E \setminus F) = \mu(E) - \mu(F).$$

(ii) For any $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \cap F) + \mu(E \cup F).$$

Proof (ii) is based on $\mu(E \setminus F) \cup F = \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(F)$.

$$E = (E \setminus F) \cup (E \cap F)$$

$$F = (F \setminus E) \cup (E \cap F)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mu(E) + \mu(F) &= \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(F \setminus E) + \mu(E \cap F) \\ &\quad + \mu(F \cap E) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mu(\text{EUF}) + \mu(\text{ENF}).$$

(71)

Proposition:

Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space.

(i) If $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an \cup sequence in \mathcal{S} , then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

(ii) If $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a \cap sequence in \mathcal{S} , with $\mu(E_1) < \infty$, then $\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n)$.

(Hint: Proof is similar to that of Lebesgue measure. am.)

Pre-measures:

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of sets in X .

A set function $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$

satisfying (i) $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$ &

(ii) If $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a disjoint sequence in \mathcal{A} with $\bigcup A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_n)$$

is called a premeasure on \mathcal{A} . Obviously, μ_0 is finitely additive.

Now, for $A \subseteq X$, define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i) : A \subseteq \bigcup E_i, E_i \in \mathcal{A} \text{ VEGA} \right\}$$

Then μ^* is an outer-measure on X .

Proof: (i) $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ & (ii) $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$, (72)

If $A \subseteq B$ are obvious. For countably subadditive, let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset P(X)$. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a cover $\{E_{n,j}\}$ of A_n such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_{n,j}) < \mu^*(A_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}.$$

Hence, $\{E_{n,j} : n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cover of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Thus,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_{n,j}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n) + \epsilon,$$

+ $\epsilon > 0$. Hence,

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n).$$

Lemma: Any set $E \in \mathcal{A}$ is a μ^* -measurable set and $\mu^*(E) = \mu_0(E)$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq X$, and $\epsilon > 0$. Then \exists a cover $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of A s.t.

$$\epsilon + \mu^*(A) > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Now, } E_j = (E_j \cap E) \cup (E_j \cap E^c).$$

From (1), $\epsilon + \mu^*(A) > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_j \cap E) + \mu_0(E_j \cap E^c)$
 $(\because \mu_0 \text{ is finitely additive}).$

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) + \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i^c\right)$$

$$\geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c),$$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, hence

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c).$$

That is $E \in M_{\mu^*}$. (class of μ^* -missible sets).

Next, $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu_0(E)$, since E covers itself.

on the other hand, let $E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$, $E_j \subset A$.

Write $E_j' = (E_j \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} E_i) \cap E$. Then $E_j' \cap E_k' = \emptyset$,

$\forall j \neq k$, & $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j'$, $E_j' \subset A$.

$$\text{Now, } \mu_0(E) = \mu_0\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j'\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_j') \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_j).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_0(E) \leq \mu^*(E). \text{ Hence } \mu_0(E) = \mu^*(E).$$

Further, let $\mu = \mu^*/\mu_{\mu^*}$. Then, as usual,
 μ is a measure on M_{μ^*} . notice that
 μ extends μ_0 to M_{μ^*} .

Theorem: If μ_0 is a σ -finite premeasure
on A . Then \exists ! measure μ on M_{μ^*} s.t.

$$\mu/A = \mu_0. \text{ (i.e } \exists \text{ ! } \mu \text{ on } M_{\mu^*} \text{ that extends } \mu_0).$$

Proof: Let ν be another extension of μ_0 .

$$\text{that is, } \nu/A = \mu_0. \text{ Then for } E \in M_{\mu^*},$$

and a cover of $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of E , we have

$$V(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V(E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_i) \quad (\because E_i \in \mathcal{A}).$$

$$\Rightarrow V(E) \leq \mu^*(E) = \mu(E). \quad (1) \quad (74)$$

If $\mu(E) < \infty$, then for $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a set

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \supseteq E \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\mu(F) \leq \sum \mu_0(E_i) < \mu(E) + \epsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(F \setminus E) \leq \epsilon \quad (\because \mu(E) < \infty).$$

Now, $V(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i) = \lim \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \mu(F).$

Since $E \subseteq F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &\leq \mu(F) = V(F) \quad (\because F = \bigcup E_i \in \mathcal{A}) \\ &= V(E) + V(F \setminus E) \\ &\leq V(E) + \mu(F \setminus E) \quad (\text{by (1), as } (2) \text{ holds for } \\ &< V(E) + \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0. \text{ all } E \in M_{\mu^*}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(E) \leq V(E) \leq \mu(E).$$

$\Rightarrow \mu(E) = V(E)$, $\forall E \in M_{\mu^*}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$. If $\mu(E) = \infty$, then by the fact that μ_0 is σ -finite, we have

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \quad \mu_0(E_i) < \infty, \quad E_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \mu(E) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E \cap E_i)\right) = \sum \mu(E \cap E_i) \\ &= \sum V(E \cap E_i) \\ &= V(E). \quad (\text{by finite case}) \end{aligned}$$