# CE 311: Hydrology & Water Resources Engineering



## Prof. (Dr.) Rajib Kumar Bhattacharjya Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati Guwahati, Assam Email: rkbc@iitg.ernet.in

#### **Groundwater : Introduction**



#### Groundwater



#### Infiltration

If water is ponded on the surface, the infiltration occurs at the potential infiltration rate

If f is the infiltration rate, the cumulative infiltration F

$$F(t) = \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d\tau$$

$$f(t) = \frac{dF(t)}{dt}$$

#### Horton's equation

$$f(t) = f_c + (f_o - f_c)e^{-kt}$$

 $f_c$  constant infiltration rate  $f_o$  infiltration at the beginning k is the decay constant



#### Horton's equation

 $f(t) = f_c + (f_o - f_c)e^{-kt}$ 

$$F = f_c t + \frac{(f_o - f_c)}{k} \left(1 - e^{-kt}\right)$$



#### Phillip's Equation

 $F(t) = St^{1/2} + Kt$ 

Where S is a parameter called sorptivity which is a function of soil suction potential and K is the hydraulic conductivity

 $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}St^{-1/2} + K$ 

@rkbhattal f(t) = KAt  $t \to \infty$ 

For horizontal column

 $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}St^{-1/2}$ 

S is the suction term

K is the gravity term

#### **MOVEMENT OF GROUNDWATER**

 $\succ$  proportional to the cross sectional area (A) of the filter

proportional to the difference in piezometric heads

 $\succ$  inversely proportional to the length (*L*) of the filter

After combining these conclusions, we have

$$Q = KA\left(\frac{h_1 - h_2}{L}\right)$$

Where,

*Q* is the flow rate, *i.e.* the volume of water that flows through the sand filter per unit time.

*K* is the coefficient of proportionality and is termed as hydraulic conductivity of the medium. It is a measure of the permeability of the porous medium. It is also known as coefficient of permeability.



 $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are the piezometric heads.

Now, defining 
$$J = \frac{h_1 - h_2}{L}$$
 and  $q = \frac{Q}{A}$ 

Where J is the hydraulic gradient and q is the specific discharge, *i.e.* the discharge per unit area.

The equation 3.1 can also be written as,

q = KJ

	,∂h	
q = -	$-K \frac{1}{\partial x}$	

Orkbhattachariwalcell

$$Q = KA(\frac{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2}{L})$$

Or,  $q = K(\frac{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2}{L})$ Or, q = KJ

Where,  $J = \frac{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2}{L}$  and  $\varphi_1 = z_1 + \frac{p_1}{\gamma}$  and  $\varphi_2 = z_2 + \frac{p_2}{\gamma}$ 

 $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are the datum head or elevation head  $p_1/\gamma$  and  $p_2/\gamma$  are the pressure head

It should be noted here that q and K have the same dimension with the velocity. The value of q will be equal to K for unit hydraulic gradient. As such for the case of isotropic medium, the hydraulic conductivity (K) may be defined as the specific discharge (q) occurs under unit hydraulic gradient (J = 1).



The hydraulic conductivity is dependent on both porous matrix properties and fluid properties and can be expressed as

Where,  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid,

The Darcy's Law can be written as

$$q = \left(\frac{k\rho g}{\mu}\right) \mathsf{J}$$



In Darcy's law, we have neglected the kinetic energy of water. The velocity of water in case of porous medium is very low and along the flow path, the change in piezometric head is much smaller than the change in kinetic energy. Hence, kinetic energy can be neglected.

Further, it may be noted that the flow takes place from higher piezometric head to lower piezometric head and not from higher pressure to lower pressure. Only in case of horizontal flow ( $z_1 = z_2$ ), the flow takes place from higher pressure to lower pressure. Thus incase of horizontal flow, the Darcy's formula can be written as,

$$q = K\left(\frac{P_1 - P_2}{\gamma L}\right)$$

In case of flow through porous medium, the flow takes place only through the pores of the medium. Therefore, the cross sectional area through which the flow actually takes place is  $\eta A$ . Where  $\eta$  is the porosity of the porous medium. As such, the average velocity of the flow can be expressed as

$$V = \frac{Q}{\eta A} = \frac{q}{\eta} = \frac{KJ}{\eta}$$
CEINTG

#### Validity of Darcy's Law

The Reynolds number, which is expressed as the ratio between inertial force and viscous force acting on the fluid, can be written as,

$$Re = \frac{qd}{\vartheta}$$



Darcy's law also stated that the flow is proportional to the hydraulic gradient. As per the law, if there is a small hydraulic gradient, there should have a very small specific discharge. However, in real situation there is a threshold value of hydraulic gradient. The flow will take place only when the hydraulic gradient is more than a threshold gradient.



Suction head ( $\psi$ ): The part of total energy possessed by the fluid due to the soil suction force is referred to as the suction head.

Time (Week)	<i>z</i> <sub>1</sub> (mm)	<i>z</i> <sub>2</sub> (mm)	$\psi_1$ (mm)	$\psi_2$ (mm)	$h_1 = z_1 + \psi_1$ (mm)	$\begin{array}{c}h_2 = z_2 + \psi_2\\(\text{mm})\end{array}$	$h_1 - h_2$ (mm)
1	-700	-1500	-63	-48	-763	-1548	785
2	-700	-1500	-84	-54	-784	-1554	771
3	-700	-1500	-49	-60	-749	-1560	810
4	-700	-1500	-59	-58	-759	-1558	799
5	-700	-1500	-43	-59	-743	-1559	816
6	-700	-1500	-23	-49	-723	-1549	826
7	-700	-1500	-55	-33	-755	-1533	778
8	-700	-1500	-68	-49	-768	-1549	781
9	-700	-1500	-84	-59	-784	-1559	775
10	-700	-1500	-110	-64	-810	-1564	754
11	-700	-1500	-138	-74	-838	-1574	736
12	-700	-1500	-150	-84	-850	-1584	734
13	-700	-1500	-174	-94	-874	-1594	720
14	-700	-1500	-200	-105	-900	-1605	705





Time	$Z_1(\text{mm})$	<i>z</i> <sub>2</sub> (mm)	$\psi_1$ (mm)	$\psi_2$ (mm)	$h_1 = z_1 + \psi_1$ (mm)	$h_2 = z_2 + \psi_2$ (mm)	$h_1 - h_2$ (mm)
1	1100	300	-63	-48	1037	252	785
2	1100	300	-84	-54	1016	246	771
3	1100	300	-49	-60	1051	240	810
4	1100	300	-59	-58	1041	242	799
5	1100	300	-43	-59	1057	241	816
6	1100	300	-23	-49	1077	251	826
7	1100	300	-55	-33	1045	267	778
8	1100	300	-68	-49	1032	251	781
9	1100	300	-84	-59	1016	241	775
10	1100	300	-110	-64	990	236	754
11	1100	300	-138	-74	962	226	736
12	1100	300	-150	-84	950	216	734
13	1100	300	-174	-94	926	206	720
14	1100	300	-200	-105	900	195	705





Soil Type	Porosity	Residual	Effective	Wetting	Hydraulic	
	$(\eta)$	moisture	porosity	front soil	conductivity	7
		$(\theta_r)$	$(\theta_e)$	suction	( $K$ in cm/ $h$ )	
				head		0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500
				$(\psi \text{ in cm})$		Sand
Sand	0.437	0.020	0.417	4.950	11.780	Loamy Sand
Loamy						
Sand	0.437	0.036	0.401	6.130	2.990	) Sandy loam
Sandy						Loam
loam	0.453	0.041	0.412	11.010	1.090	Silt loam
Loam	0.463	0.029	0.434	8.890	0.340	Sandy clay loam
Silt loam	0.501	0.015	0.486	16.680	0.650	) Clay loam
Sandy clay						Silty clay loam
loam	0.398	0.068	0.330	21.850	0.150	
Clay loam	0.464	0.155	0.309	20.880	0.100	) Sandy clay
Silty clay						Silty clay
loam	0.471	0.039	0.432	27.300	0.100	Clay
Sandy clay	0.430	0.109	0.321	23.900	0.060	Residual moisture Effective porosity
Silty clay	0.479	0.056	0.423	29.220	0.050	)
Clay	0.475	0.090	0.385	31.630	0.030	)

#### **Calculation of soil moisture flux**



$$q = -K \frac{h_1 - h_2}{z_1 - z_2}$$

$$K = 250(-\psi)^{-2.11}$$

#### Green Ampt Model



#### Green Ampt Model

Increase in water in the control volume is  $L(\eta - \theta_i)$ 

The increase in water in the control volume is equal to the total infiltration

 $F(t) = L(\eta - \theta_i) = L\Delta\theta$ 

 $L = F(t) / \Delta \theta$ 

Darcy's law

$$q = -K\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}$$

In this case, the Darcy's flux q is constant throughout the depth and is equal to -f

For small value of  $h_o$ ,  $h_o$  can be neglected

$$f = K \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = K \frac{h_1 - h_2}{z_1 - z_2} = K \frac{h_0 - (-\psi - L)}{L}$$
$$f = K \frac{\psi + L}{L} = K \frac{\psi + F(t)/\Delta\theta}{F(t)/\Delta\theta} = K \frac{\psi \Delta\theta + F(t)}{F(t)}$$



$$f = K \left[ \frac{\psi \Delta \theta + F(t)}{F(t)} \right]$$

$$\frac{dF(t)}{dt} = K \left[ \frac{\psi \Delta \theta + F(t)}{F(t)} \right]$$

$$\left[ \frac{F(t)}{\psi \Delta \theta + F(t)} \right] dF = k dt$$

$$\left[ \frac{F + \psi \Delta \theta}{\psi \Delta \theta + F} - \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{\psi \Delta \theta + F} \right] dF = k dt$$

$$\left[ \frac{F + \psi \Delta \theta}{\psi \Delta \theta + F} - \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{\psi \Delta \theta + F} \right] dF = k dt$$

$$F - \psi \Delta \theta [ln(F + \psi \Delta \theta) - ln(\psi \Delta \theta)] = kt$$

$$F - \psi \Delta \theta \left[ ln\left(1 + \frac{F}{\psi \Delta \theta}\right) \right] = kt$$

$$F - \psi \Delta \theta \left[ ln \left( 1 + \frac{F}{\psi \Delta \theta} \right) \right] = Kt$$

This is the Green Ampt equation for the cumulative infiltration. Once F is obtained, the infiltration can be estimated

$$f = K\left[\frac{\psi\Delta\theta + F(t)}{F(t)}\right] = K\left[1 + \frac{\psi\Delta\theta}{F(t)}\right]$$

The equation for cumulative infiltration can be obtained using successive substitution method

$$F = Kt + \psi \Delta \theta \left[ ln \left( 1 + \frac{F}{\psi \Delta \theta} \right) \right]$$

- > Prior to time of ponding, all rainfall is infiltrated
- > Potential infiltration is a function of cumulative infiltration
- Ponding occurs when potential infiltration rate is less than intensity

#### Ponding time

$$f = K \left[ 1 + \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{F(t)} \right]$$
Cumulative infiltration at the time of ponding is
$$F_p = it_p \quad \text{Where } F_p \text{ is the cumulative infiltration at the time of ponding,} \\ t_p \text{ is the ponding time and } i \text{ is the rainfall intensity} \\ f = i \\ i = K \left[ 1 + \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{it_p} \right]$$
Solving
$$t_p = \frac{K\psi \Delta \theta}{i(i + K)}$$



Example: The infiltration capacity curve for a watershed is given by  $f_p = (291.5 - 20.75)e^{-0.07t} + 20.75$  where t is in min and  $f_p$  is in mm/hr. The storm pattern is as follows.

Time		Intensity (mm)
	0-10	87.5
1	LO-20	75
2	20-30	200
3	30-40	125
Z	10-50	37.5
5	50-60	62.5
E	50-70	37.5



Гime		Potential Infiltration Capacity $(f_p)$ (mm/hr)	$\Delta t$	Average <i>f</i> <sub>p</sub>	∆ <i>F</i> (mm)	Cumulative F (mm)	350.00 (f 300.00 250.00 tig 200.00				
	0	291.50				0.00	<u>9</u> 150.00				
	10	155.20	10	223.35	37.23	37.23	E TOTAL				
	20	87.52	10	121.36	20.23	57.45					
	30	53.91	10	70.71	11.79	69.24	至 50.00				
	40	37.21	10	45.56	7.59	76.83	0.00				
	50	28.93	10	33.07	5.51	82.34	0.00 20.00 40.00 60.00 80.00 100.00				
	60	24.81	10	26.87	4.48	86.82	Cumulative Infiltration (mm)				
	70	22.77	10	23.79	3.96	90.78					
Total reinfall during first two interval 27.08 mass											

Total rainfall during first two interval 27.08 mm Cumulative infiltration after 20 min 27.08 mm

Potential infiltration for F = 27.08 is 190 mm Considering  $f_o = 190$  mm

 $f_p = (190.00 - 20.75)e^{-0.07t} + 20.75$ 





# $\begin{array}{c|c} t & t + \Delta t \\ \hline f_t & f_{t+\Delta t} \\ F_t & F_{t+\Delta t} \end{array}$ $F_t \text{ is known, so } f_t \text{ can be calculated } f_t = K \left[ 1 + \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{F(t)} \right]$

If  $f_t$  is less than  $i_t$ , then there is a ponding at the beginning of the time period and  $F_{t+\Delta t}$  can be calculated using the following equation

$$F_{t+\Delta t} - F_t - \psi \Delta \theta \left[ ln \left( \frac{\psi \Delta \theta + F_{t+\Delta t}}{\psi \Delta \theta + F_t} \right) \right] = K \Delta t$$

If  $f_t$  is greater than  $i_t$ , then there is no ponding at the beginning of the time period

 $t + \Delta t$ t  $f_t \\ F_t$  $\begin{array}{c} f_{t+\Delta t} \\ F_{t+\Lambda t} \end{array}$ 

If  $f_t$  is greater than  $i_t$ , then there is no ponding at the beginning of the time period

Assume that there is no ponding throughout the interval, the tentative value of cumulative infiltration at  $t + \Delta t$  is

$$F_{t+\Delta t}' = F_t + i_t \Delta t$$

Now the corresponding infiltration at  $t + \Delta t$  is  $f'_{t+\Delta t} = K \left[ 1 + \frac{\psi \Delta \theta}{F'_{t+\Delta t}} \right]$ 

If  $f'_{t+\Delta t}$  is greater than  $i_t$ , then no ponding occurs during the interval, hence  $F_{t+\Delta t} = F'_{t+\Delta t}$ 

If  $f'_{t+\Lambda t}$  is less than  $i_t$ , then ponding occurs during the interval



Time (min) 0 10 20 30 40 @rkbhattachariwak 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160

rainfall (cm) 0 0.18 0.21 0.26 0.32 0.37 0.43 0.64 1.14 3.18 1.65 0.81 0.52 0.42 0.36 0.28 0.24 0.19 170

180

Incremental

0.17

Q. Rainfall hyetograph is given in Table. Estimate infiltration and excess rainfall using Green-Ampt Equation. Take K = 1.09 cm/h,  $\psi = 11.01 \text{ cm}, \Delta \theta = 0.247.$ 

Time	Rainfall		Infilt	Infiltration		Excess Rainfall		
	Incremental	Cumulative	Intensity	f (cm/h)	F (cm)	Cumulative	Incremental	
	rainfall (cm)	Rainfall (cm)	(cm/h)			(cm)	(cm)	
0	0	0	1.08		0	0	0	
10	0.18	0.18	1.26	17.55	0.18	0	0	
20	0.21	0.39	1.56	8.69	0.39	0	0	
30	0.26	0.65	1.92	5.65	0.65	0	0	
40	0.32	0.97	2.22	4.14	0.97	0	0	
50	0.37	1.34	2.58	3.3	1.34	0	0	
60	0.43	1.77	3.84	2.77	1.77	0	0	
70	0.64	2.41	6.84	2.43	2.21	0.2	0.2	
80	1.14	3.55	19.08	2.23	2.59	0.96	0.76	
90	3.18	6.73	9.9	2.09	2.95	3.78	2.82	щ
100	1.65	8.38	4.86	1.99	3.29	5.09	1.31	on
110	0.81	9.19	3.12	1.91	3.61	5.58	0.49	din
120	0.52	9.71	2.52	1.84	3.92	5.79	0.21	010
130	0.42	10.13	2.16	1.79	4.22	5.91	0.12	
140	0.36	10.49	1.68	1.74	4.51	5.98	0.07	
150	0.28	10.77	1.44	1.7	4.79	5.98	0	
160	0.24	11.01	1.14	1.67	5.07	5.94	0	
170	0.19	11.2	1.02	1.64	5.35	5.85	0	
180	0.17	11.37	0	1.61	5.62	5.75	0	







# Tensiometer







Net flow = Rate of change in storage

$$qdxdy - \left(q + \frac{\partial q}{\partial z}dz\right)dxdy = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}dxdydz$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = 0$$

This is the continuity equation for one dimensional unsteady flow in unsaturated flow in porous medium.

Darcy's law

$$q = -K \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}$$
$$W \frac{\partial (z + \psi)}{\partial z}$$

 $\partial z$ 

$$q$$

$$q = -K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} - K$$

$$q = -K \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + 1 \right]$$

$$q = -\left[ K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + K \right] = -\left[ D \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + K \right]$$

y

Z

 $q + \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} dz$ 

X

$$D \text{ is the soil water diffusivity } K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \text{ which has dimension of } [L^2/T]$$
The continuity equation
$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ -\left( D \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + K \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \left( D \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + K \right) \right]$$

This is the one dimensional form of Richard's Equation, the governing equation for unsteady unsaturated flow in porous medium





### Hydrologic system model

 $Q(t) = \Omega I(t)$ Where Q(t) is the input to the system and I(t) is output from the system Q(t) = CI(t)*C* is a constant Excess rainfall Pm  $\Omega = \frac{Q(t)}{I(t)} = C$ The transfer function is Watershed S = kQNow consider a linear reservoir system Direct runoff  $Q_n$  $\frac{dS}{dt} = I - Q$  $K\frac{dQ}{dt} + Q = I$  $\Omega =$ 



#### **Excess rainfall**

Excess rainfall or effective rainfall is that rainfall which is neither retained on the land surface nor infiltrated into the soil

 $\phi$  —index is the constant rate of abstraction (cm/hr) that will yield on excess rainfall hyetograph (ERH) with a total depth equal to the depth of direct runoff ( $r_d$ ) over the watershed.

$$r_d = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (R_m - \phi \Delta t)$$

 $R_m$  is the observed rainfall  $r_d$  is the direct runoff M is the total pulses of rainfall

#### **Runoff coefficient**

 $C = \frac{r_d}{\sum_{m=1}^M R_m}$ 

Abstraction may be accounted by means of runoff coefficient. A runoff coefficient is defined by the ratio of runoff to rainfall over a time period.

@rkbhattachariwa.

#### SCS method of abstractions

- $P_e$  is the depth of excess precipitation or direct runoff
- P is the precipitation
- $F_a$  is the additional depth of water retained in the watershed
- S is potential maximum retention
- $I_a$  is the initial abstraction



Time

The depth of excess precipitation or direct runoff  $P_e$  is always less than or equal to the depth of precipitation P.

The additional depth of water retained in the watershed  $F_a$  is less than or equal to some potential maximum retention S

There are some amount of rainfall  $I_a$  for which no runoff will occur. So potential runoff is  $P - I_a$ .

The hypothesis of the SCS method is that the ratio of two actual to the two potential quantities are equal

$$\frac{F_a}{S} = \frac{P_e}{P - I_a}$$

 $P = P_e + I_a + F_a$ 

$$P_e = \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{P - I_a + S}$$

 $P_e$  is the depth of excess precipitation or direct runoff

P is the precipitation

 $F_a$  is the additional depth of water retained in the watershed

S is potential maximum retention

 $I_a$  is the initial abstraction

This is the equation for computing depth of excess rainfall or direct runoff from a storm by SCS method

Experimental studies conducted showed that  $I_a = 0.2S$ 

$$P_e = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P - 0.2S + S} \qquad P_e = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S}$$



Solution of the SCS runoff equations. (Source: Soil Conservation Service, 1972, Fig. 10.1, p. 10.21)

The curve number and *S*are related by

$$S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10$$

Where S is in inches. The curve number shown in the Fig. apply for normal antecedent moisture content condition (AMC II). For the Dry (AMC I) or wet condition (AMC III), the equivalent curve number can be computed by

$$CN(I) = \frac{4.2CN(II)}{10 - 0.058CN(II)}$$

$$CN(III) = \frac{23CN(II)}{10 + 0.13CN(II)}$$

Group A: Deep sand, deep loess, aggregated silts

Group B: Shallow loess, sandy loam

Group C: Clay loams, shallow sandy loam, soil in low organic content, and soils usually high in clay

Group D: Soils that swell significantly when wet, heavy plastic clays and contain saline soils

For impervious and water surface CN = 100

For natural surface CN <100

**TABLE 5.5.2** 

Runoff curve numbers for selected agricultural, suburban, and urban land uses (antecedent moisture condition II,  $I_a = 0.2S$ )

Land Use Description	Hy	Hydrologic Soil Group					
2		Α	В	С	D		
Cultivated land1: without co	nservation treatment	72	81	88	91		
with conse	ervation treatment	62	71	78	81		
Pasture or range land: poor	condition	68	79	86	89		
good	condition	39	61	74	80		
Meadow: good condition		30	58	71	78		
Wood or forest land: thin sta	and, poor cover, no mulch	45	66	77	83		
good c	over2	25	55	70	77		
Open Spaces, lawns, parks,	golf courses, cemeteries, etc.	1					
good condition: grass c	over on 75% or more of the area	39	61	74	80		
fair condition: grass co	ver on 50% to 75% of the area	49	69	79	84		
Commercial and business are	eas (85% impervious)	89	92	94	95		
Industrial districts (72% imp	ervious)	81	88	91	93		
Residential3:					2.130		
Average lot size	Average % impervious4		- -				
1/8 acre or less	65	77	85	90	92		
1/4 acre	38	61	75	83	87		
1/3 acre	30	57	72	81	86		
1/2 acre	25	54	70	80	85		
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84		
Paved parking lots, roofs, dr	iveways, etc.5	98	98	98	98		
Streets and roads:							
paved with curbs and storm	98	98	98	98			
gravel		76	85	89	91		
dirt		72	82	87	89		

<sup>1</sup>For a more detailed description of agricultural land use curve numbers, refer to Soil Conservation Service, 1972, Chap. 9

2Good cover is protected from grazing and litter and brush cover soil.

<sup>3</sup>Curve numbers are computed assuming the runoff from the house and driveway is directed towards the street with a minimum of roof water directed to lawns where additional infiltration could occur.

4The remaining pervious areas (lawn) are considered to be in good pasture condition for these curve numbers. 5In some warmer climates of the country a curve number of 95 may be used. Q. Calculate the runoff from 5 inches of rainfall on a 1000 acre watershed. The soil is 60% in Group B and 40 % in Group C. Assume antecedent moisture condition II. The land use is open with fair grass cover.

Ans: The curve numbers for open land with fair grass cover are CN = 69 for Group B and 79 for Group C.

```
The average CN =0.6x69+0.4x79= 73
```

$$S = \frac{1000}{73} - 10 = 3.69$$

The average CN =0.6x69+0.4x79=73  

$$S = \frac{1000}{73} - 10 = 3.69$$

$$P_e = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S} = \frac{(5 - 0.2 \times 3.69)^2}{5 + 0.8 \times 3.69} = 2.28 \text{ in}$$

Ex. 01 Rainfall at a constant intensity of 6mm/hr falls on a homogeneous soil which has an initial uniform moisture content of 0.23. The soil property data obtained are K = 1.24mm/hr,  $\eta = 0.48$ ,  $\psi = 150$  mm. Determine rainfall excess. Assume no depression storage.



				1	16				
<i>t</i> (hr)	<i>F</i> (mm)	$\Delta t$ (hr)	$\Delta F$ (mm)	$i\Delta t$ (mm)	$ER = i\Delta - \Delta F$ (mm)				
1.63	9.80								
2.00	11.85	0.37	2.05	2.22	0.17				
3.00	16.39	1.00	4.54	6.00	1.46				
4.00	20.18	1.00	3.79	6.00	2.21				
5.00	23.55	1.00	3.37	6.00	2.63				
5.00 23.55 1.00 3.37 6.00 2.63									

Ex. 02 Rainfall occurs at a constant rate of 10.5 mm/hr on a sandy loam having an initial moisture content of 0.20. At saturation, the moisture content is 0.52 and the hydraulic conductivity is  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  mm/sec. The average capillary suction is 250 mm. Determine the rainfall excess for every hour up to 4 hours using Green-Ampt Model. Assume no Ar. Orkbhattachariwalcelur depression storage.

Ex. 03 Following are the rates of rainfall in cm/hr for a successive 30 min period from a storm of 180 min: 0.5, 0, 0, 4.5, 5.0, 3.0. Determine the rainfall excess in each successive period using Green-Ampt model. The soil is sandy with a porosity of 0.59 and a saturated hydraulic conductivity of  $3.3 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec. Initial moisture content is 0.15. and average capillary suction is 6.5 cm. Assume no depression storage.

Time (min)	<i>i</i> (cm/hr)	<i>F</i> (cm)	<i>f</i> (cm/hr)	$\Delta F$ (cm)	$i\Delta t$ (cm)	$ER = i\Delta t - \Delta F \text{ (cm)}$
0						
30	0.5	0.25	14.93	0.25	0.25	0.00
60	0	0.25	14.93	0.00	0.00	0.00
90	0	0.25	14.93	0.00	0.00	0.00
100.5	4.5	1.04	4.50	0.79	0.79	0.00
120	4.5	2.14	2.80	1.10	1.46	0.36
150	5	3.36	2.22	1.22	2.50	1.28
180	3	4.41	1.98	1.05	1.50	0.45