

- The problem of finding a MIS in undirected graphs has no polynomial time  $\frac{1}{n^{1-\epsilon}}$ -approximation algorithm for any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  unless  $P = NP$ . ← not proved in class
- For any input graph  $G(V, E)$ , consider the following greedy algorithm to compute an approximate MIS of  $G$ : Repeatedly remove a node  $v$  of minimum degree and every neighbor  $u$  of  $v$  from the current graph, and place  $v$  in  $S$ .
  - It is easy to see that  $S$  is an independent set of  $G$ .
  - And,  $|S| \geq \frac{n}{\Delta+1}$ : Charging every node  $u \notin S$  to  $v$  where  $u$  and  $v$  are as in the above algorithm, each node in  $S$  is charged at most  $\Delta$  times; and the bound is due to  $|S| + |V \setminus S| = n$ .
  - Further,  $|S| \geq \frac{1}{\Delta} |OPT|$ : Charging every node  $u$  in  $OPT \setminus S$  to a node  $v$  in  $S \setminus OPT$ , noting  $u$  is a neighbor of  $v$ , the number of nodes charged to a node  $v \in S \setminus OPT$  is at most  $\Delta$ .
- There is a polynomial time 6-approximation algorithm for the maximum independent set problem in planar graphs.
  - Iterative algorithm: Repeatedly remove a node  $v$  of degree at most five and its neighbors from the current graph; place  $v$  into the set being computed. This yields a 6-approximation.
  - Divide and conquer algorithm: Noting planar graph separator theorem yields three sets  $A, B$ , and  $S$  with  $S$  being the separator, remove all the nodes in  $S$ , recursively compute an independent sets for planar graphs induced by  $A$  and  $B$  and return their union. When the number of vertices drops below  $t$ , find the independent set by brute-force, where  $t$  is a parameter to be fixed later.

Setting up a recurrence (for  $n \geq t$ ,  $E(n) = O(\sqrt{n}) + E(\beta n) + E((1 - \beta)n)$  where  $1/3 \leq \beta \leq 2/3$ ), the number of nodes removed over all the recursive calls is  $O(\frac{n}{\sqrt{t}})$ . By setting  $t = \Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$ , the number of nodes thrown away is  $O(n\epsilon)$ . Hence, this algorithm is indeed a PTAS.

- Given a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $n$  pairwise disjoint disks in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , there is a square  $T$  such that (i) at most  $O(\sqrt{n})$  disks in  $\mathcal{D}$  intersect the  $bd(T)$ , (ii) at most  $\frac{4}{5}n$  disks of  $\mathcal{D}$  lie completely in the interior of  $T$ , and (iii) at most  $\frac{4}{5}n$  disks of  $\mathcal{D}$  lie completely in the exterior of  $T$ .

Proof: Let  $T_0$  be the smallest radius square that contains at least  $\frac{n}{5}$  centers of the disks in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Assume  $rad(T_0) = 1$ . Consider the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{T \mid 1 \leq rad(T) \leq 2, center(T) = center(T_0)\}$  of squares. We note each  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  can be covered by four translates of  $T_0$ . Considering the minimality of  $T_0$ , each such translate contains at most  $\frac{n}{5}$  centers. Thus,  $T$  can contain at most  $\frac{4n}{5}$  centers in its interior, implying at least  $\frac{n}{5}$  centers lie in its exterior.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{D \in \mathcal{D} \mid rad(D) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{D \in \mathcal{D} \mid rad(D) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\}$ . As each  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  has radius at most 2,  $bd(T)$  intersects  $O(\sqrt{n})$  disks in  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . And the expected number of disks in  $\mathcal{D}_1$  intersected by the boundary of a square  $T$  picked from  $\mathcal{F}$  uniformly at random is  $\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_1} pr(bd(T) \text{ intersects } D) \leq \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_1} (2 \cdot rad(D)) \leq \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} = O(\sqrt{n})$ .

- Recursively applying the above theorem yields a PTAS for computing the maximum independent set of pairwise disjoint disks in the plane.