CE 601: Numerical Methods Lecture 7

Course Coordinator: Dr. Suresh A. Kartha, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Guwahati.

Drawback in Elimination Methods

There are various drawbacks while using elimination methods using computers

- Theoretically, the elimination methods should give actual solution.
- However in computers we declare or assign variables with only certain precision (say single precision, double precision, etc.)

Due to this there are drawbacks like:

- Accumulation of round-off errors.
- Failure in solving ill-conditioned systems.

- <u>Round-off Errors</u>
- Round-off errors are generated by approximating precision numbers by finite precision numbers.
- O Usually in computer you have single precision numbers (7 significant digits) and double precision numbers (14 significant digits).
- The case of effect of round-off errors in elimination method is demonstrated in next slide.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.0003 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1.0002 \\ 1 \end{cases}$$
Using Gauss elimination method,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.0003 & 3.1.0002 \\ 1 & 1.1 \end{cases} (R_2 = R_2 - (1/0.0003)R_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0.0003 & 3 & 1.0002 \\ 0 & -9999.1 - 3333 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\therefore x_2 = 0.33333333...$$

$$x_1 = 0.6666666...$$

 But while using computer, you need to use finite precision numbers.

Accuracy of significant Digits	Precision	X ₂	<i>x</i> ₁
4	4 decimals	0.3333	1.000
5	5 decimals	0.33333	0.70000
6	6 decimals	0.333333	0.670000
7	7 decimals	0.3333333	0.6670000

- You can see from the above table, how based on the significant digits and precision the round-off errors creep.
- You can reduce round-off errors by doing partial pivoting.
- Ill-conditioned System

Consider the system

$$x_{1} + x_{2} = 2$$

$$x_{1} + 1.0001x_{2} = 2.0001$$

or,
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 \\ 1 & 1.0001 \vdots 2.0001 \end{bmatrix}$$

It's solution is $x_{2} = 1.0$ and $x_{1} = 1.0$.

○ if we give a slight perturbation on the coefficient of x_2 in the above equation, *i.e.*, $x_1 + x_2 = 2$ $x_1 + 0.9999x_2 = 2.0001$ or, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \vdots & 2\\ 1 & 0.999952.0001 \end{bmatrix}$

Now the solutions are $x_2 = -1$ and $x_1 = 3$.

- Huge difference in solution for a very slight changes in the system.
- \odot This is because the system is ill-conditioned.

- An *ill-conditioned* system is one where small changes in the numerical values of coefficient matric [A] or right side vector{b} cause large changes in the solution vector {x}.
- A well-conditioned system is one which produce only small change in the solution vector for small changes in [A] or {b}.

Example of an ill-conditioned system has already been discussed in our previous class.

• Ill-conditioning of a system is determined by its condition number.

- <u>Norms</u>
- Measure of magnitude of a matrix, vector, etc. For [A], {b}, {x} etc, their norms are given as :
 ||A||, ||b||, ||x|| etc.
- ✓ The norm of a matrix or vector shall be always greater than zero.

i.e.,
$$||A|| > 0, ||x|| > 0$$

If
$$||A|| = 0$$
, then $[A] = 0$.

✓ If *k* is a scalar quantity, then *k*[*A*]=[*kA*], but ||kA|| = |k|||A||, (norm of a scalar is its absolute value)

- ✓ For [A] and [B], $||A + B|| \le ||A|| + ||B||$ $||AB|| \le ||A|| ||B||$
- ✓ Norm of a vector $\{x\} \rightarrow ||x||$ You have, $||x||_1 = \sum |x_i|$ (sum of magnitudes) $||x||_2 = ||x||_e = \sum x_i^2 \overset{1/2}{2}$ (Euclidean Norm) $||x||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i|$ (Maximum magnitude Norm)

✓ For an $n \times n$ matrix [*A*],

$$||A||_1 = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$
(Maximum of column sums)

$$||A||_{\infty} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|$$
(Maximum of row sums)

$$\left\|A\right\|_{e} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(Euclidean norm)

- <u>Condition Number</u>
- Measure of sensitivity of the system to small changes in any of its elements.

Recall, $[A]{x} = {b}$ Now it is clear, $||b|| \le ||A|| ||x||$ (from properties of norm) Small change $\{\delta b\}$ in $\{b\}$ will cause change in $\{x\}$, say by $\{\delta x\}$. So, new system will be, $[A] \{x\} + \{\delta x\} = \{b\} + \{\delta b\}$ $\Rightarrow [A]{\delta x} = {\delta b} (:: [A]{x} = {b})$ $\Rightarrow \{\delta x\} = [A]^{-1}\{\delta b\}$ $\left\|\delta x\right\| \le \left\|A^{-1}\right\| \left\|\delta b\right\|$ $\Rightarrow \|b\| \|\delta x\| \le \|A\| \|x\| \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\| \quad (:: \|b\| \le \|A\| \|x\|)$ $\Rightarrow \frac{\left\|\delta x\right\|}{\left\|x\right\|} \le \left\|A\right\| \left\|A^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\delta b\right\|}{\left\|b\right\|}$ where $c(A) = ||A|| ||A^{-1}||$ is called condition number.

i.e.,
$$\frac{\left\|\delta x\right\|}{\left\|x\right\|} \le c(A) \frac{\left\|\delta b\right\|}{\left\|b\right\|}$$

- \checkmark Smaller values of $c(A) \rightarrow$ well conditioning
- ✓ Larger values of $c(A) \rightarrow ill$ conditioning
- Example

11

. 11

Find condition number of $[A] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.0001 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\|A\|_{e} = 2.00005$$

$$[A]^{-1} = 10000 \begin{pmatrix} 1.0001 & -1 \\ -1 & 1.0001 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10001 & -10000 \\ -10000 & 10001 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{e} = 20000.50002$$

$$\therefore c(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = 40002$$

$$c(A) = \text{Very large value} \rightarrow \text{III-conditioned system.}$$