**Faculty Development Program**
Climate-Resilient Advanced Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering (CRAGGE 2026)
*National Institute of Technology Patna*

Rainfall-Induced Landslide Susceptibility of Arunachal Pradesh: A Synergy of Remote Sensing and Machine Learning

Dr. Arindam Dey
Associate Professor
Geotechnical Engineering Division
Department of Civil Engineering
**Center for Disaster Management and Research (CDMR)**
IIT Guwahati

Commemorating Some Rainfall-Induced Landslides in India

Kohima Landslide (Nagaland)	17 August 1993	500 people died, 200 houses destroyed; Damage to 5 km road stretch
Leh landslide (Kashmir)	6 August 2010	145 killed, more than 2500 people affected and became homeless
Malin landslide (Maharashtra)	30 July 2014	151 people died, more than 100 were missing
Kuwari landslide (Uttarakhand)	10 March 2018	More than 400 people died, 106 houses perished
Pettimudi landslide (Kerala)	6 August 2020	80 people died, and many casualties occurred
Tupul landslide (Manipur)	30 June 2022	30 Indian Army personnel and 31 civilians were among the deceased
Wayanad Landslide (Kerala)	30 July 2024	254 fatalities, 397 injuries and 118 people missing
Dharali Landslide (Uttarakhand)	5 August 2025	70 people dead (may be understatement), severe damage to properties

Landslide Susceptibility Mapping (LSM)

- **Landslide susceptibility**
  - ❖ Likelihood of a landslide occurrence across a given geographic area

- **Landslide susceptibility mapping (LSM)**
  - ❖ Aid in forecasting of landslide occurrence

- **Aim of landslide susceptibility mapping**
  - ❖ Understanding the potential risks associated with landslides in a particular region
  - ❖ Support decision-making in land use planning, engineering design and emergency management
  - ❖ Decrease the landslide disaster potential

Pham *et al.* (2017)

Landslide Susceptibility Assessment Methods

Qualitative Methods

- Involve visual interpretation and expert judgment of the features of the terrain to identify areas that are susceptible to landslides

- **Used for preliminary assessment (Das et al., 2011; Theiry et al., 2014)**

  - **Expert Opinion**
  - **Field Mapping**
  - **Photointerpretation**

Quantitative Methods

- Involve use of statistical and mathematical models to map the relationships between landslide occurrence and various terrain attributes **(Pardeshi et al., 2013; Marrapu & Jakka, 2014)**

  - **Deterministic Approach**
  - **Geological Approach**
  - **Statistical Approach**
  - **Machine Learning Approach**
  - **Hybrid Approach**

Landslide Susceptibility Assessment Methods

Deterministic Approach

- Traditional, analytical approach that relies on mathematical equations to determine the stability of slopes **(Singh et al., 2016; Das et al., 2020; Sarkar et al., 2020)**

- **Infinite slope stability method**
- **Limit equilibrium method**
- **Finite element method (FEM)**

Limitations

- **Simplified assumptions**
- **Scalability**
- **Flexibility**
- **Limited data availability**

Geological Approach

- Involves assumption that landslides occur in areas with specific geological characteristics

- Approach involves identifying those geological factors that control the occurrence of landslides, such as the type and structure of rocks, geological history, and soil properties **(Magliulo et al., 2008; Gorum et al., 2008; Pavel et al., 2010)**

- **Geomorphological Mapping**
- **Soil Analysis**
- **Geophysical Survey**

Limitations

- **Limited spatial coverage**
- **Limited data availability**
- **Lack of consideration of other factors e.g. weather, land use**

Statistical Approach

- Assume that the relationships between the landslide occurrence and the terrain attributes can be represented by mathematical functions **(Arabameri et al., 2019; Tahnh et al., 2019; Wubalem & Meten, 2020; Hemasinghe et al., 2018; Batar et al., 2021; Getachew & Meten, 2021)**

- **Logistic regression (LR)**
- **Weight of evidence**
- **Multiple Regression**
- **Frequency ratio method**

- **Lack of Causality**

Limitations

- **require large datasets**
- **Assumption of linearity**
- **Limited ability to incorporate expert knowledge**

Landslide Susceptibility Assessment Methods

Machine Learning Approach

- **Data-driven methods**: Various ML algorithms involve the development of a network of artificial neurons that can learn from the data to predict susceptibility **(Pourghasemi et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018; Nefeslioglu et al., 2009; Park et al., 2018; Selamat et al., 2022; Saha et al., 2022)**

- Support Vector Machines (SVM)
- Decision Trees
- Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

Limitations

- Dependence on quality and quantity of input data
- Less Interpretability if used as black boxes
- Limited data availability

Hybrid Approach

- Uses multiple susceptibility assessment methods to take advantage of their strengths and overcome their weaknesses

- Developed by combining statistical methods with ML methods or geomorphic approach or expert opinions **(Shit et al., 2016; Leonardi et al., 2016; Jazouli et al., 2019)**

- Weighted overlay analysis
- Fuzzy logic
- Analytic hierarchy process (AHP)

Limitations

- Lack of Causality
- Require large datasets
- Assumption of linearity
- Limited ability to incorporate expert knowledge

Choice of Landslide Susceptibility Assessment Methods

- **Which technique to adopt?**
  - ❖ Investigation purpose
  - ❖ Extent of the area to be covered
  - ❖ Types of mapping units i.e. the scale of map to be produced
  - ❖ Type of data to be used
  - ❖ Type of landslides
  - ❖ Availability of resources
  - ❖ Capability and skill set of evaluator
  - ❖ Accessibility of the study area

Basic Inputs to Landslide Susceptibility Assessment Methods

Study Area – Arunachal Pradesh

- **Arunachal Pradesh (Land of the rising sun)**
  - ❖ Foothills of eastern Himalayas
    - ▪ Area – 83,743 sq. km. (approx.)
  - ❖ Elevation range
    - ▪ Highest mountains – 7000 m above msl
    - ▪ Urban areas in plain lands – less than 100 m above m.s.l
  - ❖ Slope gradient
    - ▪ 0° (plains) to 84.5° (vertical cliffs) – wide variability
  - ❖ Average annual rainfall
    - ▪ 3000 – 4000 mm
    - ▪ Max. rainfall – July; Min. rainfall – December
  - ❖ Topographic and Climatic condition
    - ▪ Region very conducive to landslides

Indian state Arunachal Pradesh

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur

Itanagar

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Digital Elevation Models (DEM)

➢ Critical component of LSM because they provide information about the topography of the terrain, which is an important factor in determining the likelihood of landslides **(Costanzo et al., 2012)**

➢ Provide three-dimensional visualizations of terrain features

Importance of DEM Resolution

High-Resolution DEMs

Captures more detailed information about the terrain, including small-scale features such as ridges, valleys, and channels.

Low-Resolution DEMs

May not capture small-scale features that could be important in identifying potential landslide locations. This can lead to a less accurate assessment of landslide susceptibility

Is high-resolution DEM is always the coveted one?

While high resolution DEM data can provide more detailed topographic information for landslide assessment, it is not always the best choice for every situation **(Chen et al., 2020)**

High Data volume: Result in large data volumes

High Cost: High resolution DEM data can be more expensive to acquire than lower resolution data, which can be a barrier for some researchers or organizations

Overfitting: High resolution DEM data can sometimes capture noise or unwanted local variations in topography

Resolution vs. accuracy trade off: Increasing the resolution of DEM data may not necessarily result in more accurate landslide assessment results

Other factors, such as the **quality of the data acquisition and processing,** the availability of ground truth data, and the modeling techniques used, also plays a significant role in determining the accuracy of landslide assessment

DEM and Rainfall-Induced Landslide

Engineering Geology 268 (2020) 105523

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Engineering Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/enggeo

ELSEVIER

Influence of digital elevation models on the simulation of rainfall-induced landslides in the hillslopes of Guwahati, India

Chiranjib Prasad Sarma[a], Arindam Dey[a,*], A. Murali Krishna[b]

[a] Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam, India
[b] Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh 517506, India

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Digital elevation models
Rainfall-induced landslides
TRIGRS
Receiver Operating Characteristics
LR_{class}
Rainfall events

ABSTRACT

Topographic input parameters such as slope, curvature, and drainage area are derived from a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) representing the spatial elevation, and are widely used as important sources of geospatial information. Based on the chosen DEM and its derived parameters, physically based GIS models, such as TRIGRS, can compute the transient degradation of the hillslope stability due to rainfall infiltration to identify the landslide occurrences in the considered region. Hence, it is inadvertent that the accuracy of the DEM will significantly affect the outcome of the TRIGRS simulations. Obtaining a high-resolution DEM (using LiDAR, dGPS or other such ground based advanced surveying methods) for a large area is an expensive affair and unavailable in

Sarma, C. P., **Dey, A.** and Murali Krishna, A. (2020) "Influence of digital elevation models on the simulation of rainfall-induced landslides in the hillslopes of Guwahati, India" *Engineering Geology (Elsevier)*, Vol. 268, Article No. 105523, pp. 1-13. (DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2020.105523)

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Applicability of 30 m DEM for Landslide assessment

DEMs with resolutions of 30 m have been widely used for landslide assessment due to their availability and reasonably high accuracy

Several researchers have shown that the 30 m DEM was able to accurately identify the landslide-prone are

- **Ranjan et al., 2018**: **Uttarakhand**
- **Pal et al., 2019**: **North Sikkim**
- **Singh et al., 2017**: **Himachal Pradesh**
- **Das et al., 2019**: **Darjeeling Himalaya**
- **Chang et al., 2019**: **Taiwan**.

Digital Elevation map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Slope

- Slope is considered as the first derivative of the elevation; this is calculated to quantify variation in elevation over a distance.

- Steep slopes are more prone to landslides than gentle slopes: Influence of gravitational drag

- Long and narrow slopes are more susceptible to landslides than short and wide slopes

- Steep slopes also tend to have a thinner soil cover, which reduces the stability of the slope. In contrast, gentle slopes have a thicker soil cover, which provides better support and stability to the slope.

Slope calculation

Slope map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Aspect

➢ Refers to the orientation or direction that a slope is facing, measured in degrees clockwise starting from the north.

➢ Affects the amount of solar radiation and moisture that a slope receives, which in turn affects

     : distribution of vegetation
     : Amount of soil moisture,
     : Rate of soil erosion

➢ Influences the distribution of geological features such as fractures, faults, and joints

➢ Influences the amount of snow accumulation on a slope, which can affect the slope's stability and susceptibility

➢ Slopes that face north in the northern hemisphere tend to have greater snow accumulation than those that face south, and heavy snow loads can increase the weight and water content of the soil **(Maren et al., 2005)**

Aspect map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Curvature: Concavity of Convexity of a slope

Plan curvature

➢ Refers to the curvature of a slope in a horizontal plane, and it is measured along a contour line.
  ➢ A convex slope has a positive plan curvature, while a concave slope has a negative plan curvature (**Nasiri Aghdam et al., 2016**)
  ➢ Slopes with negative plan curvature tend to collect water, which can decrease the shear strength of the soil or rock, making the slope more susceptible to landslides

Profile curvature

➢ Measured perpendicular to a contour line
➢ Measures the acceleration/deceleration of the flow

Curvature of a slope

The presence of negative curvature (i.e. concave slope in either plan or profile can increase the risk of landslides

The convex shape promotes the rapid flow of water across the slope, reducing the accumulation of water on the surface thus reducing the risk of failure.

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Profile curvature map of Arunachal Pradesh

Plan curvature map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Topographic Position Index (TPI)

Mostly the researchers have carried out **landform classification** using survey methods and manual delineation

Integrating remote sensing data and GIS technique can automate identifying the landform using topographic position Index data as one of emote sensing data

- Since the only input required is a DEM, TPI can be readily generated almost anywhere.

TPI compares the elevation of each cell in a DEM to the mean elevation of a specified neighbourhood around that cell

The mean elevation is subtracted from the elevation value at the centre

- Area near the peak of the hill (+ve value).
- Areas at the foot of the hill, (-ve value).
- TPI values near zero or close to zero are either flat areas or areas of constant slope

TPI map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Stream Power Index (SPI)

➢ Identifies areas of occurrence of channel erosion, which can lead to changes in the landscape and potentially contribute to landslides **(Vijith and Wan, 2019)**

➢ Monitors changes in river morphology over time, which can indicate changes in the temporal potential for landslides

➢ If the SPI is high, erosive power of a stream is high, rendering the slopes adjacent to the stream more prone to landslides **(Arabameri et al. 2018)**

➢ A decrease in SPI may indicate that sediment is accumulating in a river channel, which can increase the potential for landslides due to reduction of the stability of the surrounding slopes

➢ SPI < -4 indicates the area highly likely to deposition, while SPI > 3 shows the area likely to be affected by erosion

➢ SPI of the Arunachal Pradesh study area varies from **-13 to 16.4** indicating the differential erosive power of the streams in the region

The flat areas that are more susceptible to sediment deposition are represented by the lowest value

SPI map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Topographic Wetness Index (TWI)

➢ Measure of the relative water saturation of the landscape based on local upslope contributing area and slope angle

  ➢ Primarily used to describe soil moisture conditions

➢ An indicator that measures the potential of water accumulation in a specific region

  ➢ A high index value indicates a high potential of water accumulated due to a low slope and vice versa **(Rozycka et al., 2017)**

➢ TWI is commonly used in soil and vegetation studies, wetland delineation

➢ Important for landslide susceptibility mapping as higher moisture accumulation tends to reduce the strength or resistance of the soil from gravitational drag

TWI map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Soil Typology

➤ Crucial element in assessing the probability and intensity of landslides

➤ Geological factors such as the rock type, orientation, and structure can all influence the susceptibility of a slope to landslides

➤ Different soil types have varying physical and mechanical properties, such as porosity, permeability, shear strength, and cohesion, this affects the stability of slopes **(Das, 2011; Mezughi et al., 2011)**

➤ Loamy soil (sandy-silty-clay) tend to be more susceptible to landslides because they have reasonably low permeability to hold water, thereby increasing the unit weight of the system and reducing shear strength of the soil, while relatively lesser cohesion to provide resistance to soil drag

Soil map of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Land use and Land cover

How land use and land cover can impact landslides?

- **Vegetation cover**

  - Binds the soil together and reduce the chances of soil erosion

- **Land use activities**

  - Land use activities such as mining, quarrying, and construction can alter the natural landscape, making it more vulnerable to landslides

- **Urbanization**

  - Altering the natural drainage patterns of an area, increasing the amount of impervious surfaces, and changing the slope angle of the land

LULC map of Arunachal Pradesh

District-wise LULC of Arunachal Pradesh

Landuse/ Landcover Lohit

- Snow (%) 3.181
- Water (%) 0.000
- Agriculture (%) 1.862
- Barren (%) 0.883
- Grassland (%) 17.284
- Forest (%) 13.012
- BuildUP (%) 56.557

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Kurung Kumey

- Water (%) 0.647
- Agriculture (%) 1.090
- Snow (%) 11.846
- Barren (%) 3.799
- Grassland (%) 3.000
- BuildUP (%) 0.218
- Forest (%) 79.399

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Upper Siang

- Water (%) 0.743
- Agriculture (%) 1.854
- Barren (%) 1.997
- Snow (%) 16.113
- BuildUP (%) 0.199
- Grassland (%) 7.696
- Forest (%) 71.397

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Siang

- Water (%) 6.293
- Agriculture (%) 11.661
- Grassland (%) 3.891
- Barren (%) 3.146
- BuildUP (%) 1.059
- Snow (%) 0.000
- Forest (%) 73.951

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Lower Dibang Valley

- Agriculture (%) 7.164
- Water (%) 5.119
- Barren (%) 2.899
- Snow (%) 6.944
- BuildUP (%) 0.547
- Grassland (%) 6.322
- Forest (%) 71.005

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover West Siang

- Water (%) 0.585
- Agriculture (%) 3.467
- Snow (%) 6.408
- Barren (%) 1.576
- Grassland (%) 3.930
- BuildUP (%) 0.555
- Forest (%) 83.479

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

District-wise LULC of Arunachal Pradesh

Landuse/ Landcover Dibang Valley
- Agriculture (%) 0.838
- Barren (%) 0.819
- Water (%) 0.509
- BuildUP (%) 0.109
- Snow (%) 37.824
- Forest (%) 46.488
- Grassland (%) 13.412

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Upper Subansiri
- Agriculture (%) 1.368
- Barren (%) 0.346
- Water (%) 0.368
- BuildUP (%) 0.241
- Snow (%) 10.758
- Grassland (%) 9.457
- Forest (%) 77.461

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover Changlang
- Snow (%) 2.422
- Water (%) 2.215
- Agriculture (%) 11.846
- Grassland (%) 1.951
- Barren (%) 0.603
- BuildUP (%) 1.050
- Forest (%) 79.913

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover East Kameng
- Grassland (%) 0.018
- Water (%) 1.788
- Agriculture (%) 2.317
- Snow (%) 0.003
- Barren (%) 0.805
- BuildUP (%) 0.418
- Forest (%) 94.651

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Landuse/ Landcover West Kameng
- Water (%) 1.654
- Agriculture (%) 1.499
- Snow (%) 10.596
- Barren (%) 10.979
- Grassland (%) 0.175
- BuildUP (%) 0.420
- Forest (%) 74.676

Legend: ■ Agriculture ■ Barren ■ BuildUP ■ Forest ■ Grassland ■ Snow ■ Water

Collation of all Landslide Conditioning Factors

Elevation

Slope

Aspect

Stream Power Index (SPI)

Profile Curvature

Plan Curvature

Topographic Wetness Index (TWI)

Soil Type

Collation of all Landslide Conditioning Factors

Topographic Position Index (TPI)

LULC

Rainfall map

Landslide Conditioning Factors

Rainfall

Rainfall is a primary element that influences the susceptibility and occurrence of landslides.

It provides moisture that can reduce the shear strength of soil or rock masses, leading to the initiation and propagation of landslides.

Rainfall tends to accumulate in certain areas, leading to localized saturation and increased susceptibility to landslides **(Tseng et al., 2017)**

Features of rainfall influencing landslide occurrence

➢ **Rainfall Duration**

➢ **Rainfall Intensity**

➢ **Rainfall Spatial Distribution**

Rainfall map of Arunachal Pradesh

Rainfall as Major Causative and Triggering Factor for Landslide

Triggering factors recorded for landslide in India

Triggering factors recorded for landslide in Arunachal Pradesh

Landslides: CAUSE and TRIGGER

Stable Slope

Causal Factors

Marginally Stable Slope

Triggering Factors

Slope Failure

- **Causative of landslide**
  - ❖ *Factors that make the slope vulnerable to failure*
  - ❖ *Factors that predisposes the slope to become unstable*

- **Triggering of Landslide**
  - ❖ The single event that finally initiates the landslide

Causes of Landslide

Natural Causes

Anthropogenic Causes

Geological Causes

- ➢ Weathering
  - ▪ Chemical
  - ▪ Physical
  - ▪ Biological
- ➢ Structure
  - ▪ Stratification
  - ▪ Orientation

Morphological Causes

- ➢ Topography
- ➢ Surface Cover
- ➢ Slope inclination
- ➢ Erosion
  - ▪ Toe erosion
  - ▪ Gully erosion
- ➢ Subterranean
  - ▪ Solution
  - ▪ Piping
- ➢ Deposition
  - ▪ On slope face
  - ▪ On crest
- ➢ Tectonic activities
  - ▪ Uplift

Hydrological Causes

- ➢ Rainfall
- ➢ Runoff
- ➢ Infiltration
- ➢ Percolation
- ➢ Seepage

- ➢ Encroachment
- ➢ Unscientific cutting of hill slopes
- ➢ Deforestation
- ➢ Unplanned drainage and Sewerage system
- ➢ Unplanned construction of roads

Landslide Triggers

- **Rainfall**
  - ❖ Sudden intense rainfall
    - ▪ Mostly lead to erosion induced shallow landslides
      - • Predominantly renders surface runoff than percolation
  - ❖ Prolonged heavy rainfall
    - ▪ Mostly lead to deep-seated landslides
      - • Allow deeper percolation of water within the slope
- **Seismicity**
  - ❖ Stress induced due to seismic shaking
  - ❖ Generation of pore water pressure
- **Toe-excavation (in many instances)**
  - ❖ Inhabitation
  - ❖ Transport route development

Rainfall Storm Event of October 2004

Rainfall in May - Aug, 2007

total stress

effective stress, $\sigma' = \sigma - u$

Synergising Remote Sensing and ML to Landslide Susceptibility Assessment

Methodology and Work flow

Preparation of non-Landslide Points for Preliminary LSM

- **Frequency-Ratio Method (FR)**

  ➢ FR is a ratio of the probability of presence and absence of landslide occurrences for each landslide conditioning factor class

  ➢ Higher FR value indicates stronger observed spatial relationship between the landslide occurrence and landslide conditioning factor

  ➢ The FR values is converted into Normalized Frequency Ratio values (NFR) in the range from 0.01 to 0.99 to facilitate the final analysis and interpretation

  ➢ Subsequently, NFR values are used to reclassify all landslide conditioning factors for landslide susceptibility analysis

  ➢ Lastly, LSI is calculated based on the NFR values that have been determined in training process

$$FR = \frac{P_i}{PL_i} = \frac{N_i^{pix}/N}{N_i^{Lpix}/N^L}$$

P_i: Percentage of pixels in each landslide conditioning factor class

PL_i: Percentage of landslide occurrence pixels in each landslide conditioning factor class pixel

N_i: Number of pixels in each landslide conditioning factor class

N: Number of all pixels in total the study area.

N^L: Number of all landslide occurrence pixels in total the study area

A Typical Example of FR Approach for 'Slope' LCF

SN.	Factors	Class	No. of Landslide	Landslide %	Area (No. of Pixel)	Area (%)	FR	Normalization
1.	Slope (Degree)	<5° (Gentle - Slope)	20	9.43	6715510	7.37	1.28	0.50
		5°-10° (Moderate Slope)	27	12.73	4558428	5.0	2.54	1.00
		10° - 16° (Strong Slope)	28	13.2	8355556	9.2	1.44	0.57
		16° - 25° (Very Strong Slope)	43	20.28	20580225	22.6	0.90	0.35
		25°-35° (Extreme slope)	49	23.11	27568745	30.3	0.76	0.30
		35° - 45° (Steep Slope)	34	16.03	17607238	19.3	0.83	0.33
		>45° (Very Steep Slope)	11	5.18	5751399	6.3	0.82	0.32
		Summation	212		91137101	100		

Synergising Remote Sensing and ML to Landslide Susceptibility Assessment

Methodology and Work flow

Preliminary Landslide Susceptibility Mapping of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide susceptibility map of the study area obtained from the frequency ratio method for July month

Preliminary Landslide Susceptibility Mapping of Arunachal Pradesh

Landslide susceptibility map of the study area obtained from the frequency ratio method for December month

Synergising Remote Sensing and ML to Landslide Susceptibility Assessment

ANN   **SVM**   **RF**   **RR**   **DNN/DL**

AI /ML are Data-Driven TOOLS for Mapping and Prediction

Why to use? Where to integrate? Are we intelligently using it?

The PHYSICS and ENGINEERING of the problem is MORE IMPORTANT

SVR   **GB/GBRT/XGBOOST**   **GP/MGGP**

Feature Importance

Feature Importance Based on Random Forest

Model	Test Accuracy	AUC (%)	RMSE	MAE	Kappa Coefficient
Random Forest	0.930	98.0	0.265	0.07	0.859

Receiver-Operator Characteristics (ROC) Curve

Prediction rate curves for the susceptibility maps produced using frequency ratio method considering July month rainfall

Receiver-Operator Characteristics (ROC) Curve

ROC curve Analysis with AUC score of the ML models for July month using validation dataset

**ROC curve Analysis with AUC score of the ML models for December month using validation dataset**

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Tawang District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Upper Subansiri District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Changlang District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Kurung Kumey District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: East Kameng District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Lower Subansiri District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Papum Pare District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Upper Dibang District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: Upper Siang District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: West Kameng District

LSM of Arunachal Pradesh: West Siang District

Conclusions

- **Development of Landslide Susceptibility Mapping of Arunachal Pradesh**

  - ❖ Integration of frequency ratio approach and machine learning method

    - Effective way to develop LSMs of an area, thereby providing a comprehensive and advanced approach to geohazard analysis.

  - ❖ Frequency Ratio (FR) method is efficient

    - Selecting the best possible non-landslide points selection for constructing the preliminary LSM

  - ❖ For this regions, RF technique is found to be the most efficient in developing final LSM

    - With a test accuracy of 93%, the ROC-AUC was achieved to be 98%, while the RMSE, MAE and Kappa coefficient were obtained to be 0.265, 0.07 and 0.859, respectively

      - Exhibiting magnitudes that are indicative of a very reliable and superior prediction of the landslides in the region

  - ❖ Major challenges in developing a reliable LSM for Arunachal Pradesh

    - Scarcity of historical landslide data, limited data on local geological conditions, inadequate monitoring and the absence of a robust early warning system

Future Pathways

- **Visions to strengthen such exercises in future for various regions**
  - ❖ Focus on strengthening landslide inventory
  - ❖ More trials with high-resolution dataset
  - ❖ Updated land use and land cover information owing to progressive urbanization
  - ❖ Updated landslide conditioning factor dataset
  - ❖ Consideration of changing rainfall dynamics
  - ❖ Efforts to be given to develop standardized approach

Acknowledgement

- **Organizers of the Event (NIT Patna and IGS Patna Chapter)**
  - ❖ **A platform to discuss interesting issues related to the Climate-Resilient Advanced Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering (CRAGGE 2026)**

- **A special appreciation to the contributors**
  - ❖ **The workforce to bring out the intricate findings**

- **All those researchers who laid the foundation of present day discussions**

Thank You for Patient Hearing

http://www.iitg.ac.in/arindam.dey/homepage/index.html#

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Arindam_Dey11