

Vector Bundles and p -adic Hodge Theory

Jean-Marc Fontaine

Joint work (in progress) with Laurent Fargues

Introduction

F = algebraically closed field complete with respect to a non trivial absolute value.

$B_?$ = the completion of a reasonably chosen subring for a reasonably chosen topology.

If $F = \mathbb{C}$, we have the Weierstrass preparation theorem.

If F is not archimedean, there is an analogue of Weierstrass preparation theorem (Hensel, Lazard,...)

In this talk, I want to

1 – Redo Lazard's work and extends it to the mixed char. case.

2 – If K is a p -adic field, give a rough idea of how one can redo (and extend) p -adic Hodge theory by viewing a p -adic representation of $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ as a special kind of G_K -equivariant vector bundles over a suitable \ll curve $\gg X$.

The ring A^b

For simplicity we assume $F =$ algebraically closed field complete with respect to a non trivial non archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|$,

$$\mathcal{O}_F = \{a \in F \mid |a| \leq 1\} , \quad \mathfrak{m}_F = \{a \in F \mid |a| < 1\} \text{ and}$$

- (EC) either $A^b = \mathcal{O}_F[[\pi]]$ (equal char. case),
- (MC) $\text{char}(F) = p$ and $A^b = W(\mathcal{O}_F)$ (mixed char. case).

In (EC), set $[a] = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

In (MC) set $[a] = (a, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $\pi = p$.

Any element of A^b can be uniquely written

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n] \pi^n \quad \text{with } a_n \in \mathcal{O}_F .$$

Let $a_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_F$, non zero and $l_0 = ([a_0], \pi)$. Then A^b is a local ring, $\mathfrak{m}_{A^b} = \sqrt{I_0}$ and A^b is separated and complete for the l_0 -topology.

Some prime ideals of A^b (1)

$$k_F = \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F.$$

Proposition

The ideal \mathfrak{p}_0 of A^b generated by the $[a]$ for $a \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ is a prime ideal. Its closure $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_0$, also a prime ideal, is

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} [a_n] \pi^n \in A^b \mid a_n \in \mathfrak{m}_F, \forall n \right\},$$

kernel of the projection of A^b onto $k_F[[\pi]]$ (in the (EC) case) (resp. $W(k_F)$ in the (MC) case).

If $f \in A^b$, we denote \bar{f} its image in $k_F[[\pi]]$ (resp. $W(k_F)$) and set

$$\deg(f) = v(\bar{f}) \ (\in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}).$$

$$\deg(f) = +\infty \iff f \in \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_0,$$

$$\deg(f) = 0 \iff f \text{ is invertible in } A^b.$$

The map θ

Let C be an algebraically closed field of char. 0, complete with respect to a non trivial, non archimedean absolute value, whose residue field is of characteristic $p > 0$.

$$F = F(C) = \{x = (x^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid x^{(n)} \in C, (x^{(n+1)})^p = x^{(n)}\},$$

with $(x + y)^{(n)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (x^{(n+m)} + y^{(n+m)})^{p^m}$, $(xy)^{(n)} = x^{(n)}y^{(n)}$, is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , complete for the absolute value

$$|x| = |x^{(0)}|.$$

The map $\theta : W(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$, defined by

$$\theta((b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} p^n b_n^{(n)},$$

is a surjective homomorphism of rings whose kernel is a principal ideal \mathfrak{p}_C . If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ is such that $\lambda^{(0)} = p$, then $p - [\lambda]$ is a generator.

Some prime ideals of A^b (2)

Proposition

- i) If $f \in A^b$ is of degree 1, the ideal generated by f is prime.*
 - ii) Let \mathfrak{p} a non zero prime ideal of A^b of finite type. There exists $\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ such that \mathfrak{p} is the principal ideal generated by $\pi - [\lambda]$ (of degree 1).*
 - iii) If $A^b = W(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and if $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi - [\lambda])$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, then A^b/\mathfrak{p} is the unit ball \mathcal{O}_{C_p} of a field C_p of characteristic 0, algebraically closed and complete for a non trivial non archimedean absolute value, whose residue field is of characteristic p .*
- The map $\mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F(C_p)}$ sending a to $(\theta_p([a^{p^{-n}}]))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an isomorphism. Using it to identify these two rings, the projection*

$$A^b = W(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$$

is the map θ defined on the previous slide.

The closed points of X

If F is of characteristic p , A^b is equipped with the Frobenius automorphism defined by

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} [a_n] \pi^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} [a_n^p] \pi^n .$$

Y = set of principal prime ideals of A^b , different from $\{0\}$ and (π) . The cyclic group generated by φ acts on Y . We'll define later, for each integer $h \geq 1$ a scheme X_h , whose closed points will be the quotient $Y/\varphi^{h\mathbb{Z}}$. This will be a cyclic covering of degree h of $X = X_1$.

Completions

Fix $q > 1$. For $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, we have an absolute value on B^b defined by :

$$\left| \sum_{n > -\infty} [a_n] \pi^n \right|_{\rho} = \begin{cases} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{|a_n| \rho^n\} & \text{if } \rho \neq 0, \\ q^{-m} \text{ if } a_n = 0 \text{ for } n < m \text{ and } a_m \neq 0 & \text{if } \rho = 0. \end{cases}$$

For any interval $I \subset [0, 1[$, let B_I (resp. B_I^+) be the completion of B^b (resp. $B^{b,+}$) for the family $(|\cdot|_{\rho})_{\rho \in I}$.

Remark : Let $I \subset [0, 1[$ and $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in F (resp. \mathcal{O}_F) such that $|a_n| \rho^n$ goes to 0 when $n \mapsto +\infty$ and when $n \mapsto -\infty$ for all $\rho \in I$. Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_n] \pi^n \in B_I \text{ (resp. } B_I^+).$$

If (EC), any element of B_I (resp. B_I^+) may be written uniquely like that. Doesn't seem to be always true in mixed char..

Special cases

We have natural injective maps $B_I^+ \subset B_I$, $B_J^+ \subset B_I^+$, $B_J \subset B_I$ (if $I \subset J$). Also $B_{+I} = B_{\tilde{I}^1}^+$ if \tilde{I}^1 is the smallest interval containing I whose closure contains 1.

If $\text{char}(F) = p$, φ extends to $B^{b,+}$, to B^b , to isomorphisms

$$B_I \rightarrow B_{\varphi(I)} \text{ and } B_I^+ \rightarrow B_{\varphi(I)}^+ \text{ where } \varphi(I) = \{\rho^p \mid \rho \in I\},$$

and to automorphisms of the rings

$$B^+ = B_{]0,1[}^+, \quad B^- = \varinjlim_{\rho > 0} B_{[0,\rho]} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \varinjlim_{\rho > 0} B_{]0,\rho]}.$$

Get

$$B = B^+ + B^- \quad \text{and} \quad B^{b,+} = B^+ \cap B^-.$$

Factorisation (1)

(Y = set of prime principal ideals of A^b different from $\{0\}$ and (π))

If $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi - [\lambda]) \in Y$, set $|\mathfrak{p}| = |\lambda|$ (independent of the choice of λ).

If $I \subset [0, 1[$ is an interval, set $Y_I = \{\mathfrak{p} \in Y \mid |\mathfrak{p}| \in I\}$, then

$$B_I / (B_I \mathfrak{p}) = C_p \text{ if } \mathfrak{p} \in Y_I \text{ and } B_I \mathfrak{p} = B_I \text{ if } \mathfrak{p} \notin Y_I .$$

Same is true for B_I^+ if 1 belongs to the closure of I .

If I is closed, B_I is a principal domain whose maximal ideals are the $B_I \mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in Y_I$.

In general, if $f \in B_I$ is non zero, set, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in Y_I$,

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = \text{biggest integer } r \text{ such that } f \in (B_I \mathfrak{p})^r .$$

If $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in I$ are such that $0 < \rho_1 \leq \rho_2$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = 0$ for almost all \mathfrak{p} satisfying $\rho_1 \leq |\mathfrak{p}| \leq \rho_2$.

For each $\mathfrak{p} \in Y_I$, choose $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_F$ such that $\rho - [\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ generates \mathfrak{p} .

Factorisation (2)

Weierstrass preparation theorem

Let I be an interval contained in $[0, 1[$ and \tilde{I}^0 the smallest interval containing I and 0. If $f \in B_I$ is non zero and if $\rho \in I$, then $f = f_{\leq \rho} \cdot f_{> \rho}$ with

$$f_{\leq \rho} = \prod_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq \rho} \left(1 - \frac{[\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}]}{\pi}\right)^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)}$$

and $f_{> \rho} \in B_{\tilde{I}^0}$, invertible in $B_{[0, \rho]}$.

Moreover

$$f \in B_I^+ \iff f_{> \rho} \in B^{b, +}.$$

The schemes X_h

$\text{char}(F) = p$, $h \geq 1$. We set

$$X_h = \text{Proj}(P_h). \text{ and } X := X_1 ,$$

where P_h is the graded algebra

$$P_h = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \underbrace{(B^+)^{\varphi^h = \pi^d}}_{P_{h,d}}$$

$P_{h,0} = \mathbb{F}_{p^h}((\pi))$ in (EC) case (resp. \mathbb{Q}_{p^h} in (MC) case)..

Each $P_{h,d}$ is a Banach space over $P_{h,0}$.

P_h is generated, as a \mathbb{Q}_{p^h} -algebra, by $P_{h,1}$.

Factorisation in P_h

Proposition

Let $h \geq 1$ and $x \in Y/\varphi^{h\mathbb{Z}}$. Choose $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi - [\lambda]) \in x$. The set V_x of the $t \in P_{h,1}$ such that $t \in \mathfrak{p}$ is a one dimensional sub- $P_{h,0}$ -vector space of $P_{h,1}$ independent of the choice of \mathfrak{p} .

Proposition

Let $d \geq 2$ and let $u \in P_{h,d}$ non zero. Then

$$u = t_1 t_2 \dots t_d$$

with the t_i 's in $P_{h,1}$, uniquely determined up to permutation and multiplication by a non zero element of $P_{h,0}$.

The curves X_h

$h \geq 1$, $X_h = \text{Proj}(P_h)$, $X := X_1$.

Theorem

1. X_h is a regular noetherian scheme of dimension 1
2. $X_h \simeq X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_{p^h}$ via $\underbrace{(B^+)^{\varphi=\pi^d}}_{P_{1,d}} \hookrightarrow \underbrace{(B^+)^{\varphi^h=\pi^{hd}}}_{P_{h,hd}}$
3. Choose $t \in P_{1,1} \setminus \{0\}$, then $P_1 t$ is an homogeneous prime ideal of P_1 defining a closed point ∞ of X :
 - 3.1 if $\mathfrak{p} \in Y$ contains t , the residue field at ∞ is the fraction field of A^b/\mathfrak{p} .
 - 3.2 $X \setminus \{\infty\} = \text{Spec}(B_e)$ with $B_e = \{x \in B^+[\frac{1}{t}] \mid \varphi(x) = x\}$ and B_e is a principal domain !
4. The map $t \mapsto \infty$ induces a bijection between the $\mathbb{F}_p((\pi))$ (resp. \mathbb{Q}_p)-lines in $P_{1,1}$ and the set $|X|$ of closed points of X .

Line bundles

$$h \geq 1, d \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$\mathcal{O}_{X_h}(d) = \widetilde{P_h[d]}$ is a line bundle on X_h .

$$P_h = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X_h, \mathcal{O}_{X_h}(d))$$

Theorem

1. $d \mapsto [\mathcal{O}_X(d)]$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\deg} \text{Pic}(X)$.
2. $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ (\ll genus 0 \gg like \mathbb{P}^1).
3. $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \neq 0$ (unlike \mathbb{P}^1)
(\Rightarrow there exists a non split short exact sequence
 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow 0$.)

Vector bundles (1)

Any non zero vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X has a rank $r(\mathcal{E}) \in N^*$, a degree $d(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a slope $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = d(\mathcal{E})/r(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

A vector bundle \mathcal{E} is semi-stable if $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$ for any sub vector bundle \mathcal{F} .

The Harder-Narasimhan theorem holds : If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle, there is a unique filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{E}_i \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \subset \mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{E}$$

by strict sub vector bundles such that each $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is non zero and semi-stable and that

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_1/\mathcal{E}_0) > \mu(\mathcal{E}_2/\mathcal{E}_1) > \dots > \mu(\mathcal{E}_m/\mathcal{E}_{m-1}) .$$

Moreover, *the Harder-Narasiman filtration splits* (non canonically).

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, set $\lambda = d/h$ with $h \geq 1$ and $(d, h) = 1$. Set $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda) = \pi_{h*} \mathcal{O}_{X_h}(d)$. Then

Vector bundles (2)

Theorem

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

1. $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ is stable of slope λ .
2. A vector bundle is semi-stable of slope λ if and only if \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$.

A stupid remark : The functor

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$$

induces an equivalence of categories between *semi-stable vector bundles over X of slope 0* and *finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces*. The functor $V \mapsto V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is a quasi-inverse.

An other remark : Let \mathcal{E} a non zero vector bundle over X . Then,

- $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if all the Harder-Narasimhan slopes are < 0 ,
- The dimension over \mathbb{Q}_p of $H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ is finite if and only if all the Harder-Narasimhan slopes are ≤ 0 ,
- If $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ is finite, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \leq r(\mathcal{E})$ with equality if and only if \mathcal{E} is semi-stable of slope 0.

The arithmetic case

K = field of characteristic 0, complete with respect to a discrete valuation, with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$.

\overline{K} = an algebraic closure of K , $C = p$ -adic completion of \overline{K} , $F = F(C)$, $A^b = W(\mathcal{O}_F)$. Then

1. $B^+ = \widetilde{B}_{rig}^+ = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi^n(B_{cris}^+)$,
2. $B^- = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^+$, field of overconvergent functions,
3. $B = \widetilde{R}$ = Robba's ring,
4. With θ and t as above, $B_e = \{x \in B_{cris} \mid \varphi x = x\}$ (where $B_{cris} = B_{cris}^+[\frac{1}{t}])$
5. $B_{dR}^+ = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,\infty}}$ (and $B_{dR} = \text{Frac } B_{dR}^+ = B_{dR}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$).

$G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts on C, B^+, X and fixes ∞ and $X^0 = X \setminus \{\infty\} = \text{Spec}(B_e)$. (therefore G_K acts on $B_e, \mathcal{O}_{X,\infty}, B_{dR}^+, B_{dR}, \dots$)

We may consider G_K -equivariant bundles over X . From the stupid remark, we get an equivalence of categories

p -adic representations of $G_K \iff$ semi-stable G_K -equiv. bundles of slope 0 .

G_K -equivariant bundles over X

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over X . We set

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = \Gamma(X \setminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{E}), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+ = B_{dR}^+ \otimes \mathcal{E}_\infty \text{ (completion of the fiber at } \infty \text{)}$$

and $\iota : B_{dR} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E} \simeq B_{dR} \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+$ the structural isomorphism

To know $\mathcal{E} \iff$ to know the triple

$$(\mathcal{E}^0, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+, \iota)$$

A G_K -equivariant bundle \mathcal{E} over X may be identified to such a triple, with

1. \mathcal{E}^0 a B_e -representation of G_K , i.e. a free B_e -module of finite rank equipped with a semi-linear action of G_K ,
2. $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+$ a B_{dR}^+ -representation of G_K , i.e. a free B_{dR}^+ -module of finite rank equipped with a semi-linear action of G_K ,
3. $\iota : B_{dR} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B_{dR} \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+$ a G_K -equivariant isomorphism of B_{dR} -vector spaces.

Classification of B_{dR}^+ -representations which are B_{dR} -trivial

There is an obvious equivalence of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{dR}^+ \text{-representations of } G_K & \Longleftrightarrow & \text{finite dimensional} \\ \text{which are } B_{dR} \text{-trivial} & & \text{filtered } K\text{-vector spaces} \end{array}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+ \mapsto D_K = D_{dR}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+) = (B_{dR} \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+)^{G_K} \text{ with } F^i D_K = (F^i B_{dR} \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+)^{G_K}$$

$$D_K \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+ = F^0(B_{dR} \otimes_K D_K) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{-i} B_{dR} \otimes_K F^i D_K .$$

The ring B_{log}

Set $B_{rig} = B^+[\frac{1}{t}] = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi^n(B_{cris})$.

If $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker}(\theta : B^+ \rightarrow C) = (p - [\lambda])$, set

$$u = \log\left(\frac{[\lambda]}{p}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\left(\frac{[\lambda]}{p} - 1\right)^n}{n} \in B_{dR}^+.$$

Set

$$B_{log} = B_{rig}[u] \subset B_{dR}.$$

u is transcendental over $\text{Frac} B_{rig}$ and $B_{log} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi^n(B_{st})$ (where $B_{st} = B_{cris}[u]$).

1. B_{log} is stable under G_K and $(B_{log})^{G_K} = K_0 = \text{Frac}(W(k))$ (where k is the residue field of K).
2. φ extends to B_{log} with $\varphi(u) = pu$.
3. $N = -\frac{d}{du} : B_{log} \rightarrow B_{log}$
4. $N\varphi = p\varphi N$.
5. If $g \in G_K$, $\varphi g = g\varphi$ and $Ng = gN$.

log-crystalline B_e -representations

A B_e -representation \mathcal{E}^0 is **log-crystalline** if the B_{log} -representation $B_{log} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E}^0$ is trivial.

A **(φ, N) -module over K_0** is a finite dimensional K_0 -vector space D equipped with two operators $\varphi, N : D \rightarrow D$, with φ semi-linear with respect to the absolute Frobenius on K_0 , N linear and $N\varphi = p\varphi N$.

Proposition

The category of log-crystalline B_e -representations is abelian and the functor

$$\text{log-crystalline } B_e\text{-representations} \rightarrow (\varphi, N)\text{modules over } K_0$$

$$E^0 \mapsto D(\mathcal{E}^0) = (B_{log} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E}^0)^{G_K}$$

is an equivalence of categories. A quasi-inverse is given by the functor

$$D \mapsto (B_{log} \otimes_{K_0} D)_{N=0, \varphi=1}$$

It is a formal consequence of the fact that $(B_{log})_{N=0, \varphi=1} = B_e$.

log-crystalline G_K -bundles

Let \mathcal{E} be a G_K -equivariant vector bundle over X which is log-crystalline (i.e. the B_e -representation $\mathcal{E}^0 = \Gamma(X \setminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{E})$ is log-crystalline). The inclusion $B_{\log} \subset B_{dR}$ implies that \mathcal{E} is trivial at ∞ . Moreover, if we set

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = D(\mathcal{E}^0) = (B_{\log} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E}^0)^{G_K},$$

then $D(\mathcal{E})$ has a natural structure of a *filtered (φ, N) -module over K* , i.e. this is a (φ, N) -module over K_0 and $D_K = K \otimes_{K_0} D(\mathcal{E})$ is a filtered K -vector space (because it may be identified to $D_{dR}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^0)$).

Theorem

The functor

$$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{E})$$

induces an equivalence of categories between log-crystalline G_K -bundles and filtered (φ, N) -modules over K .

This is a formal consequences of classifications of B_{dR} -trivial B_{dR}^+ -repr's and of log-crystalline B_e -representations together with the fact that a vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X is determined by the triple

$$(\mathcal{E}^0, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+, \iota)$$

where ι is the natural isomorphism $B_{dR} \otimes_{B_e} \mathcal{E}^0 \simeq B_{dR} \otimes_{B_{dR}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty^+$.

Applications and complements

- The theorem « weakly admissible implies admissible » is a corollary of the previous theorem (We use the stupid remark and apply the theorem to the G_K -equivariant bundles which are semi-stable of slope 0).
- One can show that any G_K -equivariant vector bundle \mathcal{E} which is trivial at ∞ , or *de Rham* (i.e. such that $B_{dR} \otimes \mathcal{E}^0$ is trivial) is *potentially log-crystalline* (i.e. becomes log-crystalline after replacing K by a suitable finite extension).

This is actually a result on B_e -representations : to any B_e representation which is de Rham, one can associate a G_K -equivariant vector bundle. The Harder-Narasimhan filtration reduces the proof by dévissage to an old result of Shankar Sen and to a computation in Galois cohomology.

- The theorem « de Rham implies potentially log-crystalline » is the semi-stable of slope 0 case of this result.
- If \mathcal{E} is a G_K -equivariant bundle over X which is de Rham, there exists an integer $h \leq 1$ and a finite extension L of K contained in \overline{K} such that $\pi_h^* \mathcal{E}$ is a successive extensions of G_L -equivariant line bundles over X_h (generalisation of the notion of trianguline representations).